

Irreducible Metrics and Stable Extensions

Bin Xu

USTC

Cortona Italy 20-24 June 2022

Abstract

- 1 Background of cone spherical metrics
- 2 Developing maps
- 3 Viewpoint from Lie Theory
- 4 Viewpoint from vector bundles
- 5 Reducible metrics(if time permitted)

§1 Background of cone spherical metrics

Examples, definitions, open problems and some known results of cone spherical metrics.

Cone metric

A cone (conformal) metric ds^2 on a compact Riemann surface X consists of the following data:

- A finite subset $\{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$ of X and $1 \neq \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n > 0$
- A conformal metric ds^2 on the punctured surface $X \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_n\}$
- $ds^2 = e^{2u} |dz|^2$ near P_j such that

$$u - (\theta_j - 1) \log |z|$$

is continuous at $z(P_j) = 0$.

We say that the cone metric ds^2 has cone angle $2\pi\theta_j$ at the cone singularity P_j . We call that ds^2 represents the real divisor

$D := \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta_j - 1)P_j$ on X .

The Picard-Poincaré Problem

Let X be a compact Riemann surface of genus g_X .

Describe all the real divisors $D = \sum_j (\theta_j - 1)P_j$ with $1 \neq \theta_j > 0$ on X such that there exists a cone metric ds^2 representing D which has constant Gauss curvature $K \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$, called *cone hyperbolic, flat and spherical metric*, respectively.

The Gauss-Bonnet formula gives a *necessary* condition that K has the same sign as the singular Euler number $\chi(X, D) := (2 - 2g_X + \deg D)$ for the existence of such metrics.

Cone hyperbolic and flat metrics

(Picard, Poincaré, Heins, McOwen, Troyanov) There exists a cone **hyperbolic** (**flat**) metric representing D on X iff

$$\chi(X, D) < (=) 0.$$

And the metric representing D is *unique* (**up to scaling**).

Existence of cone spherical metrics is open

The natural necessary condition of

$$\chi(X, D) = (2 - 2g_X) + \deg D > 0$$

given by Gauss-Bonnet is *not* sufficient for the existence. The cone spherical metrics rep. D are **multiple** in general if they exist.

Liouville equations:I

Let Δ_0 , K_0 and dA_0 be the Laplacian, the Gaussian curvature and the area element of a smooth background conformal metric g_0 on X with unit area.

Denote $G(\cdot, Q)$ by the normalized Green function wrt the point Q in X , i.e. it satisfies

$$-\Delta_0 G(\cdot, Q) = \delta_Q - 1, \quad \int_X G(P, Q) dA_0(P) = 0.$$

Recall that $D = \sum (\theta_j - 1) P_j$. Define

$$h_D(P) := 4\pi \sum (\theta_j - 1) G(P, P_j).$$

Liouville equations:II

Observation(Bartolucci-De Marchis-Malchiodi) Existence of a cone spherical metric rep. D is equivalent to that of a classical solution u to the [Liouville equation](#)

$$\Delta_0 u - 2K_0 - 4\pi \sum (\theta_j - 1) + 4\pi \chi(X, D) \frac{2e^{u-h_D}}{\int_X 2e^{u-h_D} dA_0} = 0. \quad (1)$$

We have the C^0 a priori estimate for (1) if D satisfies the non-bubbling condition, i.e.

$$\chi(X, D) \notin \left\{ \mu > 0 \mid \mu = 2k + 2 \sum n_j \theta_j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, n_j \in \{0, 1\} \right\}.$$

We shall give an explicit class of divisors D for which (1) has no a priori estimate.

Relevant results of cone spherical metrics I:

- A sufficient condition by Troyanov for the **sub-critical** case:

$$0 < \chi(X, D) < \min \left\{ 2, 2 \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \theta_j \right\}.$$

- A sufficient condition by Bartolucci-De Marchis-Malchiodi for the **super-critical** case:
 - 1 $g_X > 0$ and $\theta_j > 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$;
 - 2 $\chi(X, D)$ is **greater than 2** and satisfies the non-bubbling condition.

Note that (1) in the above two items have C^0 a priori estimate.

Chen-Lin computed the Leray-Schauder degree of their solutions.

Relevant results of cone spherical metrics:II

- Mondello-Panov, Chen-Li-Song-X, Eremenko: [Angle constraint](#) for cone spherical metrics on compact Riemann surfaces, consisting of the two cases of $g_X = 0$ and $g_X > 0$.
- Lin et al proved many deep and interesting results for $n = 1$ and $g_X = 1$. In particular on rectangular tori.
- Mazzeo-Zhu and Karpukhin-Zhu: Deformation properties and bounded 2-eigenfunctions

The authors above used also other techniques besides Analysis of PDEs so that the non-bubbling condition was not an obstruction for them.

Some open questions

- No general conjecture for the existence problem.
- Finitely or infinitely many if the metrics exist?
- Little known if $g_X \geq 2$ and D violates the non-bubbling condition.
-

Li-Song-X obtained some progresses on the latter two questions if $g_X \geq 2$ and D is an effective divisor $\sum (\theta_j - 1)P_j$, $\theta_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>1}$, by using stable bundles.

Main results (Li-Song-X)

Let $g_X \geq 2$.

- If **odd** = $\deg D > 2g_X - 2$, for **almost every** $D \in \text{Sym}^d(X)$, there exists **finitely many** cone spherical metrics representing D . Note that D satisfies the non-bubbling condition and is realizable by Troyanov and Bartolucci-De Marchis-Malchiodi.
- Let **even** = $\deg D > 2g_X - 2$. Then D **violates** the non-bubbling condition. There exists an effective divisor D' such that D' is linearly equivalent to D , i.e. $D - D' = (f)$ for some meromorphic function f on X , and D' **is realizable**. Note that effective divisors linearly equivalent to D form a projective space $|D|$ of dimension $\deg D - g_X \geq g_X$, called the complete linear system of D .

§2 Developing maps of spherical metrics

Following Liouville, we introduce the developing maps of a cone spherical metrics. **These maps are locally univalent, multi-valued meromorphic functions on $X \setminus \text{supp } D$.**

Orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{S}^2(1)$

Consider the unit 2-sphere $\mathbb{S}^2(1)$ centered at 0 in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Orientation-preserving isometries of $\mathbb{S}^2(1)$ are exactly rotations around lines through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. All of them form the Lie group $\text{SO}(3)$.

As a Riemannian manifold, $\mathbb{S}^2(1)$ is the same as the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}^1 := \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ endowed with the standard conformal metric

$$g_{\text{st}} = \frac{4|dw|^2}{(1 + |w|^2)^2}.$$

The orientation-preserving isometry group of g_{st} coincides with

$$\text{PSU}(2) := \left\{ z \mapsto \frac{az + b}{-bz + \bar{a}} : |a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1 \right\} \subset \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Hence $\text{SO}(3) \cong \text{PSU}(2)$ and we do not distinguish them later on.

Projective functions on Riemann surfaces

Let S be a Riemann surface. Call a multi-valued meromorphic function $f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ *projective* if the monodromy representation of f forms a group homomorphism

$$\mathcal{M}_f : \pi_1(S, B) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Then the Schwarzian derivative of f

$$\{f, z\} = \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^2$$

becomes a single valued meromorphic function on each complex coordinate chart of S .

Developing map (Liouville, Chen-Wu-X)

Let ds^2 be a cone spherical metric rep. $D = \sum_{j=1}^n (\theta_j - 1)P_j$ on X .
Then there exists a projective function $f : X \setminus \text{supp } D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that

- (*Pull-back*) $ds^2 = f^*g_{\text{st}}$.
- (*Monodromy*) The monodromy of f becomes a homo.
 $\mathcal{M}_f : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{supp } D, B) \rightarrow \text{PSU}(2) \subset \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. f extends to a projective function on X if D is effective.
- (*Singularities*) In each complex coordinate (U, z) centered at P_j , the Schwarzian derivative $\{f, z\}$ of f has the principal singular part of $\frac{1-\theta_j^2}{2z^2}$.

Here f is called a *developing map* of ds^2 which is unique up to a pre-composition with a Möbius transformation in $\text{PSU}(2)$.

And vice versa.

Example: pull-back metric by branched cover

- Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a branched cover. Then the pull-back cone spherical metric f^*g_{st} represents the ramification divisor R_f such that

$$\deg R_f = 2 \deg f - 2 + 2g_X = \text{even}.$$

- (Lin-Wei-Ye, Chen-Wu-X, Eremenko-Gabrielov) A cone spherical metric ds^2 with integral cone angles on \mathbb{P}^1 must be such a pull-back metric by some branched cover $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Moreover, an effective divisor $D = \sum_{j=1}^n m_j P_j$ on \mathbb{P}^1 is realizable iff

$$\sum_j m_j = \text{even} = 2d - 2, \quad m_j < d.$$

Equation (1) for ramification divisors D of branched covers have no a priori estimate since the space of solutions to (1) is non-compact.

Associated Lie group of cone spherical metric

Let ds^2 be a cone spherical metric rep. D on X and $f : X \setminus \text{supp } D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ its developing map.

The image of the monodromy homomorphism of f

$$\mathcal{M}_f : \pi_1(X \setminus \text{supp } D) \rightarrow \text{PSU}(2)$$

is *not* a Lie subgroup of $\text{PSU}(2)$ in general. We call its closure the *associated Lie group* of ds^2 , which is unique up to conjugacy in $\text{PSU}(2)$.

Definition of irreducible metric

(Umehara-Yamada) A cone spherical metric ds^2 is called *reducible=coaxial* if its associated Lie group is contained in

$$U(1) = \left\{ z \mapsto e^{\sqrt{-1}t} z : t \in [0, 2\pi) \right\}.$$

Otherwise, it is called *irreducible=non-coaxial*.

Note that the pull-back metrics by branched covers are all *reducible*.

Stable vector bundles: definitions

§4 Viewpoint from vector bundles

Definition (Mumford)

- The slope $\mu(E)$ of a holomorphic vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is defined to be $\mu(E) = \frac{\deg \det E}{\operatorname{rk} E}$.
- We call E *stable* iff $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ for each proper sub-bundle F of E .
- We call an extension $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of a line bundle M by another line bundle L **stable** iff E is a rank 2 stable vector bundle. Then

$$\deg L < \deg M.$$

Stable vector bundles: properties

Theorem (Narasimhan-Seshadri)

- A rank 2 vector bundle $E \rightarrow X$ is stable iff the projective bundle $\mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ comes from an irreducible representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{PSU}(2)$, i.e. **Im ρ is not contained in $U(1) \subset \text{PSU}(2)$** .
- A rank 2 stable bundle E with $\det E = \mathcal{O}_X$ comes from an **irreducible** unitary representation $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{SU}(2)$.

Lange-type theorem

Theorem (Li-Song-X) Let L, M be two line bundles on X with $g_X \geq 2$ such that $\deg M > \deg L$. Then the stable extensions of M by L form a Zariski open subset in $\text{Ext}_X^1(M, L) \cong H^1(X, L \otimes M^{-1})$.

Denote this Zariski open subset by

$$\{0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\}^{\text{stable}}.$$

Since there is a \mathbb{C}^* -action on it, we have the projective version

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\{0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\}^{\text{stable}}\right).$$

Remark We proved this theorem by using the algebraic method in the 1983 Math Ann paper by Lange-Narasimhan.

Integral irreducible metrics and stable extensions

- Let ds^2 be an irr. metric rep. D on X . Then its dev. map $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a projective function such that $R_f = D$ and the monodromy representation $\mathcal{M}_f : \pi_1(X) \rightarrow \text{PSU}(2)$ is irreducible.
- f defines a flat bundle \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $P \rightarrow X$ corresponding to M_f such that f is a section of P .
- There exists a rank 2 stable bundle E such that $\mathbb{P}(E) = P$ since the monodromy of f lies in $\text{PSU}(2)$.
- There exists a stable extension $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M = E/L \rightarrow 0$ such that the embedding $L \rightarrow E$ corresponds to the pre-image of the section $f : X \rightarrow P = \mathbb{P}(E)$ under $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(E)$.

And vice versa.

Surjective map between two moduli spaces

X =compact Riemann surface of genus $g_X \geq 2$

$\mathcal{SE}(X)$ =moduli space of stable extensions $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ of two line bundles over X **moduli the process of tensoring line bundles**

$\mathcal{IM}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ =moduli space of integral irreducible metrics on X

Theorem (Li-Song-X) There exists a canonical **surjective** map

$\sigma : \mathcal{SE}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{IM}(X, \mathbb{Z})$.

- The metric given by stable extension $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ represents an effective divisor lying in $|L^{-1} \otimes M \otimes K_X|$.
- Each metric has at most 2^{2g_X} preimages under σ .

Specifying the divisor by a stable extension

- A stable extension $\mathbb{E} : 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} M \rightarrow 0$ gives a metric rep. $D \in |L^{-1} \otimes M \otimes K_X|$.
- Write the **Chern connection** D_E of the Hermitian-Einstein metric h on E as $D_E = \partial_E + \bar{\partial}_E$, where $\bar{\partial}_E$ is the complex structure of E and $\partial_E : \mathcal{A}^0(E) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{1,0}(E)$ the $(1,0)$ -part of D_E .
- (Li-Song-X) We could obtain an \mathcal{O}_X -linear map $L \xrightarrow{\theta_{\mathbb{E}}} M \otimes K_X$: $L \hookrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\partial_E} E \otimes K_X \xrightarrow{p} M \otimes K_X$. Then $\theta_{\mathbb{E}} \in H^0(\text{Hom}(L, M \otimes K_X))$, $(\theta_{\mathbb{E}}) = D \in |K_X \otimes L^{-1} \otimes M|$, and the **ramification divisor map (RDM)** $\mathfrak{R} : \mathbb{E} \mapsto \text{Div}(\theta_{\mathbb{E}}) = D$,
$$\mathbb{P}(\text{Ext}^1(M, L)) \supset \mathbb{P}(\{0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0\}^{\text{stable}}) \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{R}} |K_X \otimes L^{-1} \otimes M|,$$
which is **real analytic** by the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence.

Express RDM in terms of HE metric

- The HE metric h on E induces Hermitian metrics on L and M such that $M \cong L^\perp$ as complex vector bundles.
- Write the Chern connection D_E of the HE metric h on E as

$$D_E = \begin{pmatrix} D_L & -\beta \\ \beta^{*h} & D_M \end{pmatrix},$$

where β is a harmonic $(0, 1)$ -form with value in $\text{Hom}(M, L)$ and β^{*h} is a holomorphic one-form with value in $\text{Hom}(L, M)$.

- RDM \mathfrak{R} before taking quotient $/\mathbb{C}^*$ expressed by

$$\beta \mapsto \beta^{*h}, \quad \left(\mathcal{H}_{\bar{\partial}}^{(0,1)}(X, L \otimes M^{-1}) \right)^{\text{stable}} \rightarrow H^0(X, L^{-1} \otimes M \otimes K_X).$$

RDM is well defined in the sense β^{*h} does not depend on the representative of the extension class $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$.

Even/odd spherical metrics

Definition Call an integral spherical metric *even* (*odd*) iff the degree of its effective divisor is even (odd).

- (Troyanov, Bartolucci et al) Let $g_X \geq 1$. Each odd effective divisor D with degree $> 2g_X - 2$ could be represented by a cone spherical metric on X , which must be **irreducible**.
- Each integral **reducible** metric is **even**.
- (Li-Song-X) Let $g_X \geq 2$. Each even irreducible metric comes from an stable extension with form $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0$, where E is flat and comes from an irreducible representation $\pi_1(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$.

Existence of even irreducible metrics

Theorem (Li-Song-X) *Let D be an effective divisor of degree even $> 2g_X - 2$ on X with $g_X \geq 2$. There exists $D' \in |D|$ such that D' is realizable. As a consequence, we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \left\{ D \in \text{Sym}^d(X) : D \text{ is realizable} \right\} \geq 2g_X.$$

Proof. Choose line bundle L s.t. $L^{-2} \otimes K_X = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$. Then each D' lying in the image of the ramification divisor map

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\left\{ 0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow E \rightarrow L^{-1} \rightarrow 0 \right\}^{\text{stable}} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{Rt}} |K_X \otimes L^{-2}| = |D|$$

could be represented by an irreducible metric.

Remark I speculate that there exists in $\text{Sym}^d(X)$ an Euclidean open subset whose effective divisors are realizable.

Finiteness of odd spherical metrics

Example (Li-Song-X) *Let $g_X \geq 2$. For almost every $D \in \text{Sym}^{2g_X-1}(X)$, there exists finitely many spherical metrics representing D .*

- Since $\deg D = 2g_X - 1 = \text{odd}$, each metric g rep. D is irreducible.
- There exists stable extension $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ defining g such that $\deg M = 1$ and $|D| = |K_X \otimes M|$.
- Since each non-trivial extension of M by \mathcal{O}_X is stable, the ramification divisor map $\mathfrak{R} : \mathbb{P}(H^1(X, M^{-1})) \cong \mathbb{P}^{g_X-1} \rightarrow |D| \cong \mathbb{P}^{g_X-1}$ is surjective and smooth. It holds true for a.e. divisors in $|D|$ by the Sard theorem. **RDM in this case is both beautiful and mysterious to us!**
- It follows from Fubini and the fibration arising from Riemann-Roch $\mathbb{P}^{g_X-1} \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{2g_X-1}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}^{2g_X-1}(X)$.

I speculate that the metric representing such an effective divisor is **unique**.

Unitary one-forms

Definition Call a meromorphic one-form ω on a Riemann surface S *unitary one-form* iff ω has at most simple poles and all its periods lie in $\sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R}$. In particular, all the residues of ω are real. We call

$$D_\omega := (\omega)_0 + \sum_{P \in \{\text{poles of } \omega\}} (|\text{Res}_P(\omega)| - 1) P$$

the **spherical divisor associated with ω** .

Theorem (H. Weyl) Given $(m+2) \geq 2$ distinct points P_1, \dots, P_{m+2} on a compact Riemann surface X and $(m+2)$ nonzero real numbers a_1, \dots, a_{m+2} which sum up to zero, there exists a unique unitary one-form ω which is holomorphic on $X \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_{m+2}\}$ and has residues a_1, \dots, a_{m+2} at P_1, \dots, P_{m+2} , resp.

Remark We know neither the positions nor the multiplicities of the zeros of ω .

Degree of residue vector

Definition Call a vector $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{m+2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$ a *residue vector* iff all its components are nonzero and sum up to zero. The **degree** of α is defined to be

$$\deg \alpha = \begin{cases} \sum_{\lambda a_j > 0} \lambda a_j & \text{if } \exists \lambda \neq 0 \text{ s.t. } \lambda a_1, \dots, \lambda a_{m+2} \text{ are coprime integers} \\ \infty & \text{Otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Existence theorem of unitary one-forms

Theorem (Chen-Li-Song-X(2016), Wei-Wu-X (2022)) Given a residue vector $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{m+2}) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+2}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ and a partition (m_1, \dots, m_ℓ) of m , there exists on the Riemann sphere a unitary one-form ω with residue vector α and ℓ zeros of multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_ℓ iff

$$\deg \alpha > \max(m_1, \dots, m_\ell).$$

Corollary (Chen-Li-Song-X(2016), Eremenko(2017), Wei-Wu-X(2022)) We found the angle constraint of reducible metrics on the Riemann sphere.

Proof (Chen-Li-Song-X) The 2015 Pacific paper of Chen-Wu-X established a correspondence between reducible metrics and unitary one-forms on general compact Riemann surfaces. In particular, they showed that the divisor represented by a reducible metric coincides with the spherical divisor associated with the unitary one-form corresponding to the metric. QED

Liouville equation for a spherical divisor

Observation (X) Let D be the spherical divisor of a unitary one-form ω on a compact Riemann surface X . Then D must **violate the non-bubbling condition**. Moreover,

$$f_\lambda(z) = \lambda \exp\left(\int^z \omega dz\right), \quad z \in X \setminus \{\text{poles of } \omega\}, \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty)$$

form the one-parameter family of developing maps corresponding to a one-parameter family $\{ds_\lambda^2 := f_\lambda^* g_{st}\}$ of reducible metrics, all of which represent D . Conformal factors of reducible metrics in this a family solves (1) associated with D , but they have no uniform C^0 bound.

I speculate that (1) always has C^0 a priori estimate except D is the spherical divisor of a unitary one-form.

Thank you for your attention!