

# Local Regularity of the Minimum Time Function

Nguyen Van Luong

IMPAN, Warsaw/ University of Padova

joint work with H. Frankowska

Consider the control system:

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) = f(y(t), u(t)) \\ u(t) \in U, \\ y(0) = x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad \text{a.e } t > 0 \quad (1)$$

where the function  $f : \mathbb{R}^N \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  and the control set  $U$ , a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}^M$ , are given.

We often require the following assumptions

- (A1)  $U$  is compact and the set  $f(x, U)$  is convex for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (A2)  $f$  is continuous, locally Lipschitz with respect to  $x$ , uniformly in  $u$  and there exists  $k > 0$  such that  $\sup_{u \in U} |f(x, u)| \leq k(1 + |x|)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .
- (A3)  $D_x f(x, u)$  exists for all  $x, u$  and is locally Lipschitz in  $x$ , uniformly in  $u$ .

Denote by  $U_{ad}$  the set of admissible controls i.e.,

$$U_{ad} = \{u : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow U \mid u(\cdot) \text{ is measurable}\}.$$

Under (A2), for each  $u(\cdot) \in U_{ad}$ , (1) has a unique solution denoted by  $y(\cdot; x, u)$ .

We call  $y(\cdot; x, u)$  the trajectory starting at  $x$  corresponding to the control  $u$ .

We consider a closed nonempty set  $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  which is called **the target**.

Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the minimum time to reach the target  $\mathcal{K}$  from  $x$ , i.e.,

$$\mathcal{T}(x) = \inf\{t \geq 0 | y(t; x, u) \in \mathcal{K}, u \in \mathcal{U}_{ad}\}. \quad (2)$$

A minimizing control in (2), say  $u^*(\cdot)$ , is called an optimal control for  $x$ . The trajectory  $y(\cdot; x, u^*)$  corresponding to  $u^*(\cdot)$  is called an optimal trajectory for  $x$ .

Under (A1) - (A2), the infimum in (2) is attained.

Cannarsa and Sinestrari (1995) proved that :

If the target satisfies an internal sphere condition i.e.,

(A4) There exists  $r > 0$  such that  $\forall x \in \mathcal{K}, \exists x_0 : x \in \bar{B}(x_0, r) \subset \mathcal{K}$ ,  
and Petrov condition holds i.e.,

(A5) For any  $z \in \text{bdry}\mathcal{K}$  and for any  $n_z$  a unit outward normal to  $\mathcal{K}$   
at  $z$ , one has

$$\min_{u \in U} \langle f(z, u), n_z \rangle < 0,$$

then  $\mathcal{T}$  is locally semiconcave (semiconcavity  $\sim$  quadratic perturbation of concavity).

In this case,  $\mathcal{T}$  is twice differentiable a.e. in  $\mathcal{R} := \{x : \mathcal{T}(x) < \infty\}$ .  
However, it may fail to be everywhere differentiable and its differentiability at a point  $x$  does not guarantee continuous differentiability around  $x$ . We identify hypotheses on the dynamic data and the target to ensure continuous differentiability of  $\mathcal{T}$  around a given point.

To prove our result we need the following property:

(P)  $\mathcal{T}$  is differentiable at a point  $x$  if and only if there exists a unique optimal trajectory starting at  $x$ .

In [P. Cannarsa, Sinestrari, On a class of nonlinear time optimal control problems, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems 1 (1995), 285-300], the authors provide some conditions to ensure that the property (P) holds true: namely, they assume that

(F)  $\text{bdry } f(x, U)$  is of class  $C^1$  for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Using (F), they proved:

- If  $y(\cdot)$  is an optimal trajectory for  $x$  then  $\mathcal{T}$  is differentiable at  $y(t)$  for any  $t \in (0, \mathcal{T}(x))$ .

We consider the minimum time problem for the control system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_1(t) = u \\ \dot{y}_2(t) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad u \in U := [-1, 1],$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} = & \{x : 2x_1 - 3x_2 - 2 > 0\} \cap \{x : 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 2 > 0\} \\ & \cap \{x : 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 14 < 0\} \cap \{x : 2x_1 - 3x_2 - 14 < 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The target is the set  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \mathcal{D}$ .

Let  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D} \cap \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 < 4\}$ . Then  $u^* \equiv -1$  is the optimal control for  $x$  we can easily compute that

$$\mathcal{T}(x) = x_1 - \frac{3}{2}|x_2| - 1.$$

If  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathcal{D} \cap \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1 > 4\}$ , then  $u^* \equiv 1$  is the optimal control for  $x$  and

$$\mathcal{T}(x) = -x_1 - \frac{3}{2}|x_2| + 7.$$

We show that (P) still holds true if we replace (F) by  
(A6)  $b_{\mathcal{K}}(:= d_{\mathcal{K}} - d_{\mathcal{K}^c})$  is of class  $C_{loc}^{1,1}$  on a neighborhood of  $\text{bdry}\mathcal{K}$ .



## Theorem (Pontryagin's Maximum Principle)

Assume (A1) - (A6). Let  $x \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ ,  $u(\cdot)$  be an optimal control for  $x$  and  $y(\cdot) := y(\cdot; x, u)$  be the corresponding optimal trajectory. Set  $z = y(\mathcal{T}(x))$  and let  $\zeta$  be the outer unit normal to  $\mathcal{K}$  at  $z$ . Then for any  $\mu > 0$ , the solution of the system

$$\begin{cases} p'(t) &= -D_x f(y(t), u(t))^T p(t) \\ p(\mathcal{T}(x)) &= \mu \zeta \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

satisfies

$$-\langle f(y(t), u(t)), p(t) \rangle = H(y(t), p(t)), \quad (4)$$

for a.e.  $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}(x)]$ .

A nonzero absolutely continuous function  $p(\cdot)$  satisfying (3) for some  $\mu > 0$  is called a dual arc associated to the optimal trajectory  $y(\cdot; x, u)$ .

Let  $H : \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the Hamiltonian associated to (1), i.e.,

$$H(x, p) := \max_{u \in U} \{ \langle -f(x, u), p \rangle \}, \quad (x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (5)$$

If

$$(H1) \quad H \in C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})),$$

Maximum Principle can be written in the form of the Hamiltonian system i.e.,

Let  $y(\cdot)$  be an optimal trajectory for some  $x \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  and let  $p(\cdot)$  be an associated dual arc. Then the pair  $(y(\cdot), p(\cdot))$  solves the

**Hamiltonian system**

$$\begin{cases} y'(t) &= -H_p(y(t), p(t)) \\ p'(t) &= H_x(y(t), p(t)) \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

in  $[0, \mathcal{T}(x)]$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be open and let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be lower semicontinuous.

- (i) The *proximal subdifferential*  $\partial^P f(x)$  of  $f$  at a point  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$  is the set

$$\partial^P f(x) = \left\{ v \in \mathbb{R}^N : \exists c, \rho > 0 \text{ such that } \forall y \in B(x, \rho) \right. \\ \left. f(y) - f(x) - \langle v, y - x \rangle \geq -c|y - x|^2, \right\}.$$

- (ii) The *Fréchet subdifferential*  $D^- f(x)$  of  $f$  at a point  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$  is the set

$$D^- f(x) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^N : \liminf_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y) - f(x) - \langle p, y - x \rangle}{|y - x|} \geq 0 \right\}.$$

- (iii) The *Fréchet superdifferential*  $D^+ f(x)$  of  $f$  at a point  $x \in \text{dom}(f)$  is the set

$$D^+ f(x) = \left\{ p \in \mathbb{R}^N : \limsup_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{f(y) - f(x) - \langle p, y - x \rangle}{|y - x|} \leq 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem (P. Cannarsa, H. Frankowska, C. Sinestrari, 2000)

*Under the same assumptions, in the Maximum Principle, if  $\mu$  is so that  $H(z, \mu\zeta) = 1$ , then the dual arc  $p(\cdot)$  satisfies*

$$p(t) \in D^+T(y(t)), \quad \forall t \in [0, T(x)].$$

### Theorem (H. Frankowska, L., JOTA)

Assume (A1) - (A3). Let  $x \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  and  $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  be an optimal pair for  $x$ . Assume that  $\partial^P \mathcal{T}(x) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $p : [0, \mathcal{T}(x)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a solution of

$$p'(t) = -D_x f(\bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t))^T p(t) \quad (7)$$

satisfying  $p(0) \in \partial^P \mathcal{T}(x)$ . Then for some  $c > 0$  and for all  $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}(x_0))$ , there exists  $r > 0$  such that, for every  $y \in B(\bar{y}(t), r)$ ,

$$\mathcal{T}(y) - \mathcal{T}(\bar{y}(t)) \geq \langle p(t), y - \bar{y}(t) \rangle - c|y - \bar{y}(t)|^2. \quad (8)$$

Consequently,  $p(t) \in \partial^P \mathcal{T}(\bar{y}(t))$  for all  $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}(x))$ .

## Theorem

Assume (A1) - (A3). Let  $x \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  and  $(\bar{y}(\cdot), \bar{u}(\cdot))$  be an optimal pair for  $x$ . Assume that  $D^-T(x) \neq \emptyset$  and let  $p : [0, T(x)] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a solution of

$$p'(t) = -D_x f(\bar{y}(t), \bar{u}(t))^T p(t) \quad (9)$$

satisfying  $p(0) \in D^-T(x)$ . Then one has  $p(t) \in D^-T(\bar{y}(t))$  for all  $t \in [0, T(x))$ .

### Theorem (H. Frankowska, L., JOTA)

*Assume (A1) - (A6) and (H1). The minimum time function is differentiable at a point  $x \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  if and only if there exists a unique optimal trajectory starting at  $x$ .*

We consider the minimum time problem for the control system

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{y}_1(t) \\ \dot{y}_2(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad |u_i| \leq 1, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

with  $y_1(0) = x_1, y_2(0) = x_2$ . The target is the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} = & \{x : x_1 \leq 0\} \cap \left\{x : x_2 \leq 4 + \sqrt{-x_1^2 - 4x_1}\right\} \\ & \cap \{x : x_1 \geq -4\} \cap \left\{x : x_2 \geq -4 - \sqrt{-x_1^2 - 4x_1}\right\} \end{aligned}$$

The Hamiltonian is defined by

$$H(x, p) = |p_1| + |p_2| - 1, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^2, p = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

(A1)- (A6) are satisfied and (H1) is not satisfied.  $\mathcal{T}$  is of class  $C_{loc}^{1,1}(\mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K})$ .

There are multiple optimal trajectories starting at  $x = (1, 0)$ .

Indeed, the trajectories corresponding to the controls

$u_1 \equiv (-1, 0), u_2 \equiv (-1, 1)$  and  $u_3 \equiv (-1, -1)$  are optimal for  $x$ .



We assume that

(A7)  $\text{bdry}\mathcal{K}$  is of class  $C^2$ .

(H2)  $H \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\}))$

Consider the Hamiltonian system

$$\begin{cases} -x'(t) &= H_p(x(t), p(t)) \\ p'(t) &= H_x(x(t), p(t)), \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

on  $[0, T]$  for some  $T > 0$ , with the final conditions

$$\begin{cases} x(T) &= z \\ p(T) &= \varphi(z), \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where  $z$  is in a neighborhood of  $\text{bdry}\mathcal{K}$  and  $\varphi(z) = \mu(z)\nabla b_{\mathcal{K}}(z)$  with

$$\mu(z) = \frac{1}{H(z, \nabla b_{\mathcal{K}}(z))}. \quad (12)$$

For a given  $z$  in a neighborhood of bdry  $\mathcal{K}$ , let  $(x(\cdot; z), p(\cdot; z))$  be the solution of (10) - (11) defined on a time interval  $[0, T]$  with  $T > 0$ .

By differentiating the solution map of (10)- (11) with respect to  $z$ , we obtain that  $(D_z x(\cdot; z), D_z p(\cdot; z))$  satisfies the **variational system**

$$\begin{cases} -X' &= H_{xp}(x(t, z), p(t, z))X + H_{pp}(x(t, z), p(t, z))P, \\ P' &= H_{xx}(x(t, z), p(t, z))X + H_{px}(x(t, z), p(t, z))P, \\ X(T) &= I, \\ P(T) &= D\varphi(z). \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

The solution  $(X, P)$  of (13) is defined in  $[0, T]$  and depends on  $z$ . Note that  $X(t)$  is invertible for  $t$  sufficiently close to  $T$ .

## Definition (Conjugate times)

For  $z \in \text{bdry } \mathcal{K}$ , the time

$$t_c(z) := \inf\{t \in [0, T] : X(s)\theta \neq 0, \forall 0 \neq \theta \in T_{\text{bdry } \mathcal{K}}(z), \forall s \in [t, T]\}$$

is said to be conjugate for  $z$  iff there exists  $0 \neq \theta \in T_{\text{bdry } \mathcal{K}}(z)$  such that

$$X(t_c(z))\theta = 0.$$

In this case, the point  $x(t_c(z))$  is called conjugate for  $z$ .

## Definition (Conjugate times)

For  $z \in \text{bdry } \mathcal{K}$ , the time

$$t_c(z) := \inf\{t \in [0, T] : X(s)\theta \neq 0, \forall 0 \neq \theta \in T_{\text{bdry } \mathcal{K}}(z), \forall s \in [t, T]\}$$

is said to be conjugate for  $z$  iff there exists  $0 \neq \theta \in T_{\text{bdry } \mathcal{K}}(z)$  such that

$$X(t_c(z))\theta = 0.$$

In this case, the point  $x(t_c(z))$  is called conjugate for  $z$ .

## Theorem (H. Frankowska, L., JOTA)

*Assume (A1) - (A7) and (H2). Let  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$  be such that  $\mathcal{T}$  is differentiable at  $\bar{x}$  and  $x(\cdot)$  be the optimal trajectory for  $\bar{x}$ . Set  $\bar{z} = x(\mathcal{T}(\bar{x}))$ . If there is no conjugate time in  $[0, \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})]$  for  $\bar{z}$  then  $\mathcal{T}$  is of class  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ .*

## Theorem (H. Frankowska, L., JOTA)

Assume (A1) - (A7), (H2) and that *the kernel of  $H_{pp}(x, p)$  has the dimension equal to 1* for every  $(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ . If  $\partial^P \mathcal{T}(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is of class  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ .

## Theorem (H. Frankowska, L., JOTA)

Assume (A1) - (A7), (H2) and that the kernel of  $H_{pp}(x, p)$  has the dimension equal to 1 for every  $(x, p) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times (\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \{0\})$ . Let  $\bar{x} \in \mathcal{R} \setminus \mathcal{K}$ . If  $\partial^P \mathcal{T}(\bar{x}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\mathcal{T}$  is of class  $C^1$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{x}$ .

### The idea of proof.

- P. Cannarsa, H. Frankowska, From pointwise to local regularity for solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 49 (2014), 1061 - 1074.
- P. Cannarsa, H. Frankowska, Local regularity of the value function in optimal control, Systems Control Lett. 62 (2013), 791-794.

Basing on the following facts:

- $p(t) \in \partial^P \mathcal{T}(x(t))$ , for all  $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})]$ .
- $0 \neq p \in \ker H_{pp}(x, p), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ .
- $H(x(t), p(t)) = 1$ , for all  $t \in [0, \mathcal{T}(\bar{x})]$ .

$\Rightarrow$  there is no conjugate time.

# Example

Consider the control system with the dynamics given by

$$f(x, u) = h(x) + g(x)u,$$

where  $h : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $g : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^M)$  and the control set  $U$  is the closed ball in  $\mathbb{R}^M$  of center zero and radius  $R > 0$ .

We assume that  $h, g$  are of class  $C^2$ ,  $g$  is surjective and there exists  $k \geq 0$  such that

$$\|h(x)\| + \|g(x)\| \leq k(1 + |x|), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

If the target  $\mathcal{K}$  is of class  $C^2$  and for any  $z \in \text{bdry } \mathcal{K}$ , the classical inward pointing condition

$$\min_{u \in U} \langle n_z, h(z) + g(z)u \rangle < 0$$

hold true then all assumptions in Theorem are satisfied.

Thank you for your attention!