

On certain inequalities in weighted Orlicz spaces

A. FIORENZA^(*)

RIASSUNTO: *Detta Φ una N -funzione sia (B_Φ) la classe, introdotta da Bagby, dei pesi $w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow]0, \infty[$ tali che la funzione massimale secondo Hardy-Littlewood verifichi la disuguaglianza debole con peso w , e sia (A_Φ) la classe, introdotta da Kerman-Torchinsky, dei pesi w tali che sia verificata la disuguaglianza forte con peso w . Si dimostra che ogni $w \in (B_\Phi)$ è una misura "doubling" e che se $\tilde{\Phi}$ è sottomoltiplicativa, allora le classi (A_Φ) e (B_Φ) coincidono.*

ABSTRACT: *For Φ an N -function let (B_Φ) be the class, introduced by Bagby, of the weights w such that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function verifies the w -weighted weak inequality, and (A_Φ) be the class, introduced by Kerman - Torchinsky, of the weights such that the w -weighted strong inequality is verified. It is shown that any $w \in (B_\Phi)$ is doubling and if $\tilde{\Phi}$ is submultiplicative, then the classes $(A_\Phi), (B_\Phi)$ are the same.*

KEY WORDS: *Integral inequalities - Maximal function - Weighted Orlicz spaces.*

A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION: 42B25 - 46E30

1 - Notations

Let Φ be an N -function, i.e. a function of the type $\Phi(t) = \int_0^t \varphi(s) ds$ where $\varphi : [0, \infty[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous, nondecreasing, and such that

$$\varphi(s) > 0 \quad \forall s > 0, \quad \varphi(0) = 0, \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(s) = +\infty.$$

^(*)This work has been performed as a part of a National Research Project supported by M.U.R.S.T.

Let φ^{-1} be the generalized inverse of φ defined by $\varphi^{-1}(t) = \sup\{s : \varphi(s) \leq t\}$, and $\tilde{\Phi}(t) = \int_0^t \varphi^{-1}(s) ds$. The function Φ is said to verify the Δ_2 condition, and we will write $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, if there exists $c > 0$ such that $\Phi(2t) \leq c\Phi(t) \quad \forall t \geq 0$ (see [7]).

The letters u, w will denote "weight" functions, i.e. measurable positive functions on \mathbf{R}^n ; we will say that weight functions define "doubling" measures (see [8]) if there exists $c > 0$ such that $w(2Q) \leq cw(Q) \quad \forall Q$ cube in \mathbf{R}^n , where $w(Q) = \int_Q w dx$ and $2Q$ denotes the cube having the same center as Q , but double edges length. We will denote by $|Q|$ the Lebesgue measure of Q .

Following [1], we define

$$\|f\|_{\Phi, w, Q} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \Phi \left(\frac{|f|}{\lambda} \right) w dx \leq 1 \right\};$$

for sake of simplicity, we will write $\|f\|$ in place of $\|f\|_{\tilde{\Phi}, w, Q}$.

2 - Main Results

The weight w is said to verify the condition (B_Φ) if one of the two following equivalent conditions holds:

$$(B_\Phi^1) \quad \exists c > 0 : \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx \right) \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \leq c \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$$

$$(B_\Phi^2) \quad \exists c > 0 : \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{cw(Q)}{|Q| w(x)} \right) w(x) dx \leq 1 \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n.$$

First of all, we will show that if $w \in (B_\Phi)$, then w is doubling.

We have the following result by BAGBY (see [1]):

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $w \in (B_\Phi)$. If $\tilde{\Phi}$ is submultiplicative, i.e. verifies the condition*

$$(\Delta') \quad \exists c : \tilde{\Phi}(st) \leq c\tilde{\Phi}(s)\tilde{\Phi}(t) \quad \forall s, t > 0,$$

then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that $w \in (B_\Psi)$ for $\tilde{\Psi}(t) = [\tilde{\Phi}(t)]^{1+\delta}$.

In [1] it is also shown that the condition (B_Φ) is equivalent to the weak type inequality

$$w(\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Phi\left(c \frac{|f|}{\lambda}\right) w dx.$$

We now recall the following definition: under the assumption $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$, the weight w is said to verify the condition (A_Φ) if

$$\exists c : \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \epsilon w dx \right) \varphi \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon w} \right) dx \right) \leq c, \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

About (A_Φ) condition it is well-known the following result obtained by KERMAN-TORCHINSKY (see [4]):

THEOREM 2. *If w satisfies the (A_Φ) condition, then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that w verifies (A_Ψ) for $\tilde{\Psi}^1(t) = [\varphi^{-1}(t)]^{1+\delta}$.*

In [4] it is also proved that if $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$, then $w \in (A_\Phi)$ iff the maximal operator verifies the strong type inequality

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Phi(Mf) w dx \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Phi(|f|) w dx$$

The condition (A_Φ) is a generalization of the well-known condition (A_p) of MUCKENHOUPT ([6],[8],[5]) which characterize weights w such that the maximal Hardy-Littlewood operator is bounded in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n, w dx)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

Bagby remarked that the assumption in theorem 1 that $\tilde{\Phi}$ is submultiplicative is essential to get the assertion: in fact, it is sufficient to consider the function $\tilde{\Phi}$ such that $\tilde{\Phi}(t) = \left(\frac{t}{2+t}\right)^2 \forall 0 \leq t \leq 1$, $\tilde{\Phi}(t) = \left(\frac{t}{3+\log t}\right)^2 \forall t > 1$. In the same assumptions of theorem 1 we will show that the class (B_Φ) is equivalent to (A_Φ) , and so if $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ we can deduce theorem 1 by simply applying the result of Kerman-Torchinsky.

With the assumption $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$, from the results of [1], [4], we have $w \in (A_\Phi) \Rightarrow w \in (B_\Phi)$: we will give a direct proof of this assuming

only $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$, without assuming that $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ and without using maximal functions. Similarly, without assuming that $\Phi \in \Delta_2$ and without using maximal functions, we will prove also the following

PROPOSITION 1. *If $\tilde{\Phi} \in (\Delta')$, then $w \in (B_{\tilde{\Phi}}) \Rightarrow w \in (A_{\Phi})$.*

We will give two proofs of the Proposition 1, the first of which makes use of the following proposition (for related results, see [2], [10]).

PROPOSITION 2. *If $\tilde{\Phi} \in (\Delta')$, then there exist positive constants c', c'' such that we have:*

$$(i) \quad \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi}(|u(x)|)w(x)dx \leq \tilde{\Phi}(c' \|u\|) \quad \forall u \in L_{\tilde{\Phi}}(w dx) \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$$

$$(ii) \quad w \in (B_{\tilde{\Phi}}) \Rightarrow \varphi \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) dx \right) \leq c'' \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n.$$

We remark that an immediate consequence of the above statements is the following

COROLLARY 1. *If $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, $\tilde{\Phi} \in (\Delta')$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $w \in (B_{\tilde{\Phi}})$ implies $w \in (B_{\Psi})$ with $\tilde{\psi}^1(t) = [\varphi^{-1}(t)]^{1+\delta}$.*

3 – Proofs

The fact that $w \in (B_{\tilde{\Phi}})$ implies w doubling is consequence of the following chain of inequalities, in which the constant c is given by $(B_{\tilde{\Phi}}^1)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{c} &< \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{3^n |Q|}{|2Q|} = \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{w(2Q)}{|2Q|} \int_{2Q} \frac{1}{w(x)} \cdot 3^n \chi_Q(x) \cdot \frac{w(x)}{w(2Q)} dx \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{w(2Q)}{|2Q|} \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\|_{\tilde{\Phi}, w, 2Q} \| 2 \cdot 3^n \chi_Q \|_{\Phi, w, 2Q} \leq \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{c} \cdot \frac{w(2Q)}{|2Q|} \cdot \frac{c|2Q|}{w(2Q)} \cdot \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{2Q} \Phi \left(\frac{2 \cdot 3^n \chi_Q(x)}{\lambda} \right) w(x) dx \leq w(2Q) \right\} = \\ & = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_Q \Phi \left(\frac{2 \cdot 3^n}{\lambda} \right) w(x) dx \leq w(2Q) \right\} \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\int_Q \Phi(2 \cdot 3^n c) w(x) dx > w(2Q) \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We prove now that $w \in (A_\Phi)$ implies $w \in (B_\Phi)$.

For any $\epsilon > 0$

$$(1) \quad \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon w(x)} \right) dx \leq |Q| \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{c|Q|}{\epsilon w(Q)} \right) \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n;$$

on the other hand, being $\varphi^{-1}(0+) = 0$, there exists $c_0 > q$ such that

$$(2) \quad \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{c}{c_0} \right) < \frac{c}{c_0},$$

where q is such that $t\varphi^{-1}(t) \leq q\tilde{\Phi}(t) \forall t \geq 0$ (the existence of such a q follows from the assumption $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$). We have $\tilde{\Phi}(t) \leq t\varphi^{-1}(t)$, and therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|w(x)} \right) w(x) dx & \leq \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|w(x)} \right) \frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|w(x)} w(x) dx \\ & = \frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|w(x)} \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Put $\epsilon = \frac{c_0|Q|}{w(Q)}$ in (1), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|w(x)} \right) w(x) dx & \leq \frac{w(Q)}{c_0|Q|} |Q| \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{cw(Q)|Q|}{c_0|Q|w(Q)} \right) \\ & = w(Q) \frac{1}{c} \frac{c}{c_0} \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{c}{c_0} \right) \leq q w(Q) \frac{1}{c} \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{c}{c_0} \right) < \frac{q}{c_0} w(Q) < w(Q) \quad \forall Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion follows. \square

We will give now two proofs of the Proposition 1, the first of which makes use of an inequality relating a Jensen mean with an Orlicz norm (see [10]), that will be proved here in the more general case of the weighted Orlicz spaces.

PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION 2. (i) - Let us denote in this proof by c the constant of the condition (Δ') satisfied by $\tilde{\Phi}$. We remark that for any $K > 0$ it is possible to choice K' such that $\frac{1}{K'} \leq \tilde{\Phi}^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{cK}\right)$, and so such that

$$K\tilde{\Phi}(t) = K\tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{K'}K't\right) \leq cK\tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{K'}\right)\tilde{\Phi}(K't) \leq \tilde{\Phi}(K't) \quad \forall t \geq 0$$

By convexity of $\tilde{\Phi}$ and the fact that $\tilde{\Phi} \in (\Delta')$ implies $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$, there exists $c_1 \geq 1$ such that

$$\tilde{\Phi}(s) \leq s\varphi^{-1}(s) \leq c_1\tilde{\Phi}(s) \quad \forall s \geq 0,$$

so if we put $c_2 = cc_1$, we have

$$\varphi^{-1}(st) \leq \frac{c_1}{st}\tilde{\Phi}(st) \leq c\frac{c_1}{st}\tilde{\Phi}(s)\tilde{\Phi}(t) \leq c_2\varphi^{-1}(s)\varphi^{-1}(t) \quad \forall s, t > 0.$$

Now, if $u \equiv 0$ the assertion is obvious. If $u \not\equiv 0$, let c' be the constant obtained by c using the remark above. Then $c\tilde{\Phi}(t) \leq \tilde{\Phi}(c't) \forall t \geq 0$, and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi}(|u(x)|)w(x)dx &= \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi}\left(\|u\| \frac{|u(x)|}{\|u\|}\right)w(x)dx \\ &\leq c\tilde{\Phi}(\|u\|)\frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{|u(x)|}{\|u\|}\right)w(x)dx \leq c\tilde{\Phi}(\|u\|) \leq \tilde{\Phi}(c'\|u\|). \end{aligned}$$

(ii) - Since $w \in (B_*)$, there exists $H > 0$ such that $\|\frac{1}{w}\| \leq \frac{H|Q|}{w(Q)}$; applying the remark made above to the function φ^{-1} , it follows that there exist $K' > 0$ such that $c_1c'H\varphi^{-1}(t) \leq \varphi^{-1}(K't) \forall t \geq 0$. Put $c'' = K'c'$, by (i) applied to $u = w^{-1}$ it follows that

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)dx \leq \frac{c_1}{|Q|} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{w}\right)w dx \leq \frac{c_1w(Q)}{|Q|} \tilde{\Phi}\left(c'\left\|\frac{1}{w}\right\|\right) \leq$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq c_1 c' \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \varphi^{-1} \left(c' \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \right) \leq c_1 c' H \varphi^{-1} \left(c' \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \right) \\ &\leq \varphi^{-1} \left(K' c' \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \right) = \varphi^{-1} \left(c'' \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \right), \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion follows. \square

Now we can prove the Proposition 1. From (ii) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx \right) \varphi \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) dx \right) \leq \\ &\leq c'' \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w dx \right) \left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \leq c'' H \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion follows for $\epsilon = 1$. The same proof applies to ϵw , and so the assertion is proved in the general case.

Let us give now another

PROOF OF THE PROPOSITION 1. We have seen that φ^{-1} is submultiplicative, and so

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) dx}{\varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{H|Q|}{w(Q)} \right)} \leq c_2 \frac{\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{H|Q|}{w(Q)} \right) \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{H|Q|w(x)} \right) dx}{\varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{H|Q|}{w(Q)} \right)} \\ &= cc_1 \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{H|Q|w(x)} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where H is such that $\left\| \frac{1}{w} \right\| \leq \frac{H|Q|}{w(Q)}$, and so such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{c_1 H |Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{H|Q|w(x)} \right) dx \leq \frac{1}{w(Q)} \int_Q \tilde{\Phi} \left(\frac{w(Q)}{H|Q|w(x)} \right) w(x) dx \\ &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have, by the remark of the proof of Proposition 2 (i) applied to φ^{-1} :

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) dx \leq cc_1^2 H \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{H|Q|}{w(Q)} \right) \leq \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi \left(\frac{1}{c^2 c_1^2 H} \right)} H \frac{|Q|}{w(Q)} \right)$$

i.e.

$$\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q \varphi^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{w} \right) dx \right) \leq \frac{H}{\varphi \left(\frac{1}{c_1^3 H} \right)},$$

from which the assertion follows for $\epsilon = 1$. The same proof applies to ϵw , and so the assertion is proved in the general case. \square

We now show that if $\Phi \in \Delta_2$, $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta'$, then $w \in (B_\Phi)$ implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $w \in (B_\Psi)$ with $\tilde{\Psi}(t) = [\tilde{\Phi}(t)]^{1+\delta}$.

PROOF. By Corollary 1 there exists $\sigma > 0$ such that $w \in (B_{\Phi_1})$ with $\Phi_1(t) = \int_0^t \varphi_1(s) ds$, $\varphi_1^{-1}(t) = [\varphi^{-1}(t)]^{1+\sigma}$.

Now, let $p > 1$ such that $\frac{\tilde{\Phi}(t)}{t^p}$ be nondecreasing (the existence of such a p follows from the assumption $\Phi \in \Delta_2$). Let $\delta = \sigma \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ and $K = [\tilde{\Phi}(1)]^{\frac{\sigma}{p}}$; by Hölder inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Phi}_1(t) &= \int_0^t \varphi_1^{-1}(s) ds = \int_0^t [\varphi^{-1}(s)]^{1+\sigma} ds \geq t^{-\sigma} [\tilde{\Phi}(t)]^{1+\sigma} \\ &= [\tilde{\Phi}(t)]^{1+\sigma(1-\frac{1}{p})} \left[\frac{\tilde{\Phi}(t)}{t^p} \right]^{\frac{\sigma}{p}} \geq K [\tilde{\Phi}(t)]^{1+\delta} \quad \forall t \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and therefore, putting $\tilde{\Psi}(t) = [\tilde{\Phi}(t)]^{1+\delta}$, we have $\tilde{\Phi}_1(t) \geq K \tilde{\Psi}(t) \forall t \geq 1$. Then it follows that $\exists h : \Psi(t) \leq h \Phi_1(t)$ near infinity, and therefore $w \in (B_{\Phi_1})$ implies $w \in (B_\Psi)$, from which the assertion follows. \square

4 – A final remark

Let us now consider again the strong type inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(Mf) w dx \leq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi(f) w dx$$

which is true in the assumption $\Phi, \tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$ iff $w \in (A_\Phi)$. Let us drop the condition that $\tilde{\Phi} \in \Delta_2$. If we take, for instance, $\Phi(t) = t$, then the inequality is generally false, even if $w = 1$ a.e., because of the Stein's

result $Mf \in L^1 \Leftrightarrow f \in L \log L$ ([9]). Problems about conditions on Ψ to be assumed in order to obtain the inequality

$$\int_{Q_0} \Psi(Mf) dx \leq c \int_{Q_0} \Phi(f) dx$$

are treated in ([3]). We remark that applying Proposition 4.2 of [3] we can deduce the inequality

$$(3) \quad \int_{Q_0} \log |Mf| dx \leq c \int_{Q_0} |f| dx$$

and take the opportunity to advertise that the inequality (10) in [3] is wrong and should be replaced by (3).

REFERENCES

- [1] R.J. BAGBY: *Weak bounds for the maximal function in weighted Orlicz spaces*, Studia Math, **95** (1990), 195-204.
- [2] A. FIORENZA: *An Inequality for Jensen Means*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA, **16**, 2 (1991), 191-198.
- [3] A. FIORENZA: *Some remarks on Stein's $L \log L$ result*, Differential and Integral Equations, **5**, 6 (1992), 1355-1362.
- [4] R.A. KERMAN - A. TORCHINSKY: *Integral inequalities with weights for the Hardy maximal function*, Studia Math, **71** (1981/82), 277-284.
- [5] V. KOKILASHVILI - M. KRBEC: *Weighted inequalities in Lorentz and Orlicz Spaces*, World Scientific (1991).
- [6] B. MUCKENHOUT: *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207-226.
- [7] M.M. RAO - Z.D. REN: *Theory of Orlicz Spaces*, Marcel Dekker (1991).
- [8] C. SBORDONE: *Some Reverse Integral Inequalities*, Atti Acc. Pont. **33** (1984), 17-31.
- [9] E. M. STEIN: *Note on the class $L \log L$* , Studia Math. **31** (1969), 305-310.

- [10] B. STROFFOLINI: *Medie di tipo Jensen in spazi di Orlicz*, Rend. Acc. Sc. Fis. Mat. Napoli, 4, 54 (1987), 29-38.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 3 dicembre 1992
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 31 marzo 1993*

INDIRIZZO DELL'AUTORE:

Alberto Fiorenza -Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni "Renato Caccioppoli" - Università Monte S. Angelo - via Cintia - 80126 NAPOLI (Italy)