# Semilinear cooperative elliptic systems on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ 
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Riassunto: Si studia il sistema ellittico cooperativo semilineare ( $1-\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ ) definito in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ con $n>2$. In esso $a, b, c$, $d$ sono delle costanti con $b, c>0 ; \rho, f e g$ sono funzioni assegnate e $\rho$ è non negativa ed infinitesima all'infinito. Si stabiliscono in primo luogo le condizioni necessarie e sufficienti sui coefficienti affinché sussista un principio di massimo. Si riconosce poi che queste condizioni assicurano l'esistenza di soluzioni nel caso lineare e quando le funzioni $f$ e $g$ verifichino certe condizioni di "sublinearità". Con certe ipotesi aggiuntive si ottiene anche l'unicità. Infine si estendono $i$ risultati al caso in cui le incognite siano in numero maggiore di 2.

Abstract: We study here the following semilinear cooperative elliptic system defined on $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n>2$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=a \rho(x) u+b \rho(x) v+f(x, u, v) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1-a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(1-\mathrm{b}) \quad-\Delta v=c \rho(x) u+d \rho(x) v+g(x, u, v) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,
$(1-\mathrm{c}) \quad u \longrightarrow 0, v \longrightarrow 0$ as $|x| \longrightarrow+\infty$.
Here $a, b, c, d$ are constants such that $b, c>0 ; \rho, f$ and $g$ are given functions; $\rho$ is nonnegative and tends to 0 at $\infty$. We first establish necessary and sufficient conditions on the coefficients for having a Maximum Principle for the linear System. Then we show that these conditions ensure existence of solutions for the linear System and for the semilinear System when $f$ and $g$ satisfy some "sublinear" condition . Under some additional assumption we also derive uniqueness of the solutions. Finally we show that our results can be extended to $N \times N$ systems, $N>2$.
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## 1 - Introduction

It is well known that the Maximum Principle plays an important role in the theory of nonlinear equations (see e.g. [18]). An analogous theory has been established for semilinear systems by [10-12], [19], [7], [13,14] and [1].

In $[11,12]$ the authors consider System (1) with $\rho(x)=1$ defined on a bounded open set $\Omega$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions. They show that the necessary and sufficient condition for having Maximum Principle is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a<\Lambda, \quad d<\Lambda, \quad(\Lambda-a)(\Lambda-d)>b c \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is the first eigenvalue of the Dirichlet Laplacian defined on $\Omega$.
Here, we extend this result to System (1) when $f$ and $g$ are independent of $u$ and $v$. We make use of an earlier result by [4] and [6] who have studied the eigenvalues of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta u=\lambda \rho(x) u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

They show that for $n>2$, if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists k>0, r>1 \quad \text { such that } \quad 0<\rho<\frac{k}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{r}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then (3) admits an infinite sequence of positive eigenvalues; the first one, which we will denote by $\lambda_{\rho}$, is simple and is associated with a positive eigenfunction $\psi_{\rho}$.

We show in Section 3 that the Maximum Principle holds if and only if $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ holds:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(2_{\rho}-1\right) & a<\lambda_{\rho} \quad, \quad d<\lambda_{\rho} \\
\left(2_{\rho}-2\right) & \left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right)\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right)>b c
\end{array}
$$

Then, we prove existence of solutions for $f, g \in L_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ in Section 4. In Section 5 we study semilinear problems with $f, g$ satisfying some "sublinear" condition; we adapt the method of sub-super solutions for proving
existence of non negative solutions. Moreover, under some further assumptions on $f, g$, we prove uniqueness of the non negative solutions. Finally we extend some of our results to $N \times N$ systems in Section 6.

To establish our results we adapt the proofs of $[13,14]$ and [5].
We recall that throughout the paper, $n>2$.

## 2 - The scalar case

## 2.1 - Some technical results

To prove our theorems we use some notations and results which are established e.g. in [6], Section 4, and that we recall briefly .

Let us introduce

$$
V=\left\{u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1} u^{2}\right) d x<\infty\right\}
$$

with inner product

$$
(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v+\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1} u v\right) d x
$$

Since $n>2$, it follows from Hardy's inequality that:

Lemma 1. The integrodifferential form

$$
l(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \nabla u . \nabla v d x
$$

is an inner product for $V$ which is equivalent to the original one:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)^{-1} u^{2} d x \leq \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x
$$

Moreover, if we denote by $\|u\|_{V}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} d x$, then:

Lemma 2. The quantity $\|\left. u\right|_{-} \rho=\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\rho u^{2}\right) d x\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is also a norm on $V$ which is equivalent to the previous one $\|u\|_{V}$.

If we denote by $(,)_{\rho}$ the inner product in $\mathcal{H}:=L_{\rho}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
(u, v)_{\rho}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u v d x
$$

and by $\tau$ the operator defined by Riesz representation theorem by:

$$
(u, v)_{\rho}=l(\tau u, v) \forall(u, v) \in V \times V
$$

then:

Lemma 3. For $\rho$ satisfying (4), $\tau$ is compact in $V$.

## 2.2 - The eigenvalue problem

The following lemma is also proved in [6], Section 4:

Lemma 4. For $\rho$ satisfying (4), the eigenvalue problem (3) admits a positive principal eigenvalue $\lambda_{\rho}$ which is associated with a positive eigenfunction $\psi_{\rho} \in V$; moreover $\lambda_{\rho}$ is characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u^{2} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \quad \forall u \in V \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equality in (5) holds if and only if $u$ is proportional to $\psi_{\rho}$.

## 2.3 - The scalar case

We study now the scalar case $(N=1)$ :
(E) $\quad-\Delta u=\mu \rho(x) u+f \quad$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad u(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

We establish exactly as when $\Omega$ is bounded:

Proposition 1. For $f \in L_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the Maximum Principle holds for $(E)$ if and only if $\mu<\lambda_{\rho}$.

Proposition 2. For $0 \leq f \in L_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, there exists a unique positive solution $u \in V$ for $(E)$ if and only if $\mu<\lambda_{\rho}$.

## Proof of Proposition 1.

The condition is necessary: Assume that $f \in L_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), f \geq 0$ and that the Maximum Principle holds for $(E)$, i.e. any $u \in V$ solution of $(E)$ is nonnegative. Then, multiplying $(E)$ by $\psi_{\rho}$, the principal eigenfunction defined in II.B and integrating, we obtain:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}-\Delta u \psi_{\rho} d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u \Delta \psi_{\rho} d x=\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \psi_{\rho} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \psi_{\rho} d x
$$

Hence, by (5):

$$
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-\mu\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \psi_{\rho} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \psi_{\rho} d x
$$

since $u, \rho$ and $\psi_{\rho}$ are nonnegative, then $\lambda_{\rho}>\mu$.
The condition is sufficient: Suppose that $f \geq 0$ and that $\mu<\lambda_{\rho}$. We multiply $(E)$ by $u^{-}=\max (0,-u)$ and we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}-\Delta u u^{-} & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \nabla u \nabla u^{-} d x=\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u u^{-} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f u^{-} d x= \\
& =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x=-\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f u^{-} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

by (5) we derive:

$$
0 \leq\left(\lambda_{\rho}-\mu\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left|u^{-}\right|^{2} d x \leq-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f u^{-} d x \leq 0
$$

which implies that $u^{-}=0$ i.e. $u \geq 0$.
Proof of Proposition 2. If $u \geq 0$ is the unique solution of (E), then necessarily by Proposition (1), $\mu<\lambda_{\rho}$. Let us show now that this condition is sufficient.
Assume that $\mu<\lambda_{\rho}$; the sesquilinear form

$$
a(u, v)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla v-\mu \rho u v) d x
$$

is obviously continuous on $V$; moreover it is coercive.
Choose $m \geq 1$ such that $\mu+m>0$ and define on $V$ the equivalent norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{m, \rho}^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+m \rho u^{2}\right) d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then from (5) we have
$a(u, u)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+m \rho u^{2}\right) d x-(\mu+m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u^{2} d x \geq\left(1-\frac{\mu+m}{\lambda_{\rho}+m}\right)\|u\|_{m, \rho}^{2}$.
Hence by Lax Milgram lemma (see e.g.[16]), (E) admits a solution in $V$ which is non-negative by Proposition (1).

## 3 - Maximum principle for linear systems

Now we establish necessary and sufficient conditions for having a Maximum Principle for the following system defined in $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 3$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
(\mathrm{S}-1) & -\Delta u=a \rho u+b \rho v+f(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}  \tag{S-1}\\
(\mathrm{~S}-2) & -\Delta v=c \rho u+d \rho v+g(x) & x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \longrightarrow 0, v \longrightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \longrightarrow \infty \tag{S-3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f, g \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}=L_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$a, b, c$ and $d$ are constants such that $b, c>0$
In this section, we prove that if $f$ and $g$ are non-negative, then any pair $(u, v) \in V \times V$ satisfying (S) in the weak sense is non-negative if and only if $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ is satisfied. More precisely :

Theorem 3. Assume that (4), (7) and (8) hold. System (S) satisfies Maximum Principle if and only if inequalities $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ are satisfied.

## Proof.

The condition is necessary: Assume that $f \geq 0, g \geq 0$ and that the Maximum Principle holds, i.e. if $(u, v)$ is a pair of solutions then $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$. $\left(2_{\rho}-1\right)$ is established as for the scalar case, considering succesively (S-1) and (S-2). Now, multiplying $(S-1)$ by $\psi_{\rho}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we obtain by Green's formula:
$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}-\Delta u \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x=\lambda_{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x=a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x$
i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x-b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly

$$
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x-c \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} g \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x
$$

(9) and ( $9^{\prime}$ ) is a Cramer System in $X=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u . \psi_{\rho} d x$ and $Y=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v \cdot \psi_{\rho} d x$; since by hypothesis the right-hand side member is non-negative as well as $X$ and $Y$, we obtain $\left(2_{\rho}-2\right)$.

The condition is sufficient: Multiplying (S-1) by $u^{-}$and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}-\Delta u \cdot u^{-} d x & =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \nabla u \cdot \nabla u^{-} d x=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla u^{-}\right|^{2} d x= \\
& =a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u u^{-} d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v u^{-} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f u^{-} d x ;
\end{aligned}
$$

we change the signs and, since $f, u^{-} \geq 0$, we deduce from (5):

$$
\lambda_{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} u^{-}\right|^{2} d x \leq a \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} u^{-}\right|^{2} d x+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v^{-} u^{-} d x .
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} u^{-}\right|^{2} d x \leq b\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} u^{-}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} v^{-}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

Similarly:

$$
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} v^{-}\right|^{2} d x \leq c\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} u^{-}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\sqrt{\rho} v^{-}\right|^{2} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
$$

We multiply the two inequalities and combine the result with $(2 \rho-2)$; therefore $u^{-}=0$ or $v^{-}=0$; hence $u \geq 0$ or $v \geq 0$, and by proposition (2), $u \geq 0$ and $v \geq 0$.

## 4 - Existence of solutions for linear systems

By Lax-Milgram lemma, we prove the existence of a solution for System (S) under the same conditions and the same hypotheses (4), (7) and (8) when $\mathcal{H}^{\prime} \ni f, \mathcal{H}^{\prime} \ni g$; moreover, if $f \geq 0, g \geq 0$, this solution is non negative.

Theorem 4. If $\left(2_{\rho}\right),(4),(7)$ and (8) are satisfied, then System (S) has a unique solution $(u, v) \in V \times V$ for $f, g \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$; moreover, if $f, g \geq 0$, then $u, v \geq 0$.

Proof. We first notice that if $(S)$ has a unique positive solution, then inequalities $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ are satisfied by System (3).
Assume now that $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ holds. Choose $m \geq 0$ such that $a+m \geq 0, d+m \geq$ 0 and use again the equivalent norm on $V$ defined by (6): $\|u\|_{m, \rho}$.

Let us consider the bilinear form $a: V^{2} \times V^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
a((u, v),(w, z)) & =\frac{1}{b}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\nabla u \cdot \nabla w+m \rho u w) d x\right)+ \\
& +\frac{1}{c}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\nabla v \cdot \nabla z+m \rho v z) d x\right)-\frac{a+m}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u w d x+ \\
& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v w d x-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u z d x-\frac{d+m}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v z d x
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously a is continuous on $V \times V$. Moreover, we can show that it is coercive:
By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and by (7), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a((u, v),(u, v)) & =\frac{1}{b}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla u|^{2}+m \rho u^{2}\right) d x+\frac{1}{c}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla v|^{2}+m \rho v^{2}\right) d x+ \\
& -\frac{a+m}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u^{2} d x-\frac{d+m}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v^{2} d x-2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u v d x \geq \\
& \geq \frac{1}{b}\left(1-\frac{a+m}{\lambda_{1}+m}\right)\|u\|_{m, \rho}^{2}+\frac{1}{c}\left(1-\frac{d+m}{\lambda_{1}+m}\right)\|v\|_{m, \rho}^{2}+ \\
& -\frac{2}{\lambda_{1}+m}\|u\|_{m, \rho}\|v\|_{m, \rho} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear by $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ that a is coercive. Hence by Lax-Milgram lemma, there exists a unique solution $(u, v) \in V \times V$ for (S). Moreover, if $f, g \geq 0$, this solution is non-negative by the Maximum Principle.

## 5 - Positive solution for semilinear systems

## 5.1-Existence

In this section we adapt the method of sub and super solutions [17] to establish the existence of positive solutions for System (1). Since we
work on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we can't consider a larger domain for constructing a supersolution.

We assume that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For any } u \in \mathcal{H}, v \in \mathcal{H}, x \longrightarrow f(x, u, v) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$ (resp. $g(x, u, v))$ is a Caratheodory function;

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq f(x, u, v) \leq \frac{u}{\alpha} \rho(x) \quad \forall u, v \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{11-a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq g(x, u, v) \leq \frac{v}{\beta} \rho(x) \quad \forall u, v \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{11-b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are (positive) solutions of the following linear system:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \alpha-b \beta=1>0 \tag{12-a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c \alpha+\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right) \beta=1>0 \tag{12-b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Condition (11) is analoguous to conditions of sublinearity when $\Omega$ is bounded.

THEOREM 5. Suppose that (4), (8), (10) and (11) are satisfied. Then, if $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ holds, there exists a positive solution for System (1).

Proof. We claim that:
(i) $\left(u_{\circ}, v_{\circ}\right)=(0,0)$ and $\left(u^{\star}, v^{\star}\right)=\left(\alpha \psi_{\rho}, \beta \psi_{\rho}\right)$ is a coupled sub-supersolution.

Obviously, by (11):

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
-\Delta u_{\circ}-a \rho u_{\circ}-b \rho v_{\circ}-f\left(x, u_{\circ}, v\right) \leq 0 & \forall v \in\left[v_{\circ}, v^{\star}\right] \\
-\Delta v_{\circ}-c \rho u_{\circ}-d \rho v_{\circ}-g\left(x, u, v_{\circ}\right) \leq 0 & \forall u \in\left[u_{\circ}, u^{\star}\right]
\end{array}
$$

We show now that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq-\Delta u^{\star}-a \rho u^{\star}-b \rho v^{\star}-f\left(x, u^{\star}, v\right) \forall v \in\left[v_{\circ}, v^{\star}\right] \tag{13-a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq-\Delta v^{\star}-c \rho u^{\star}-d \rho v^{\star}-g\left(x, u, v^{\star}\right) \forall u \in\left[u_{\circ}, u^{\star}\right] ; \tag{13-b}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition of $u^{\star}, \psi_{\rho}>0$, and by (11-a), and (12-a):

$$
\begin{array}{r}
-\Delta u^{\star}-a \rho u^{\star}-b \rho v^{\star}=\left(\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \alpha-b \beta\right) \rho \psi_{\rho}=\rho \psi_{\rho} \geq f\left(x, u^{\star}, v\right) \\
\forall v \in\left[0, v^{\star}\right]
\end{array}
$$

Similarly we derive (13-b).
(ii) Definition of the operator T :

We introduce $T:(u, v) \in \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow(w, z):=T(u, v) \in V \times V$, where $(w, z)$ is the unique solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(\Delta+m \rho) w=(a+m) \rho u+b \rho v+f \quad \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{14-a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta+m \rho) z=c \rho u+(d+m) \rho v+g \quad \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{14-b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $m>0$ is chosen as above such that $a+m>0, d+m>0$.
Note that by (11.a), for $u \in \mathcal{H}=L_{\rho}^{2}, x \longrightarrow f(x, u, v)$ is in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}=L_{\frac{1}{\rho}}^{2}$.
Equation (14-a) can be rewritten as $-\Delta w=-m \rho w+F$, with $F=$ $(a+m) \rho u+b \rho v+f>0, F \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. By Proposition 2, this equation possesses a solution $w \in V$.

Analogously we show the existence of $z \in V$ and hence T is well defined. We prove now that:
(iii) $K=\left[u_{\circ}, u^{\star}\right] \times\left[v_{\circ}, v^{\star}\right]$ is invariant by T .

For $V \ni u \geq 0$ and $V \ni v \geq 0$, it follows from Proposition (2) that $w$ and $z$ are non-negative.

We show now that if $u \leq u^{\star}$ and $v \leq v^{\star}$ then $w \leq u^{\star}$ and $z \leq v^{\star}$. We substract $(14-a)$ from $(13-a)$ and we obtain

$$
(-\Delta+m \rho)\left(u^{\star}-w\right)=(a+m) \rho\left(u^{\star}-u\right)+b \rho\left(v^{\star}-v\right)-f(x, u, v)+\frac{\rho}{\alpha} u^{\star}=H>0
$$

or equivalently

$$
-\Delta\left(u^{\star}-w\right)=-m \rho\left(u^{\star}-w\right)+H
$$

Then by Proposition $1, u^{\star}-w \geq 0$. Analogously we have: $z \leq v^{\star}$.
(iv) Finally we show that $T: V \times V \longrightarrow V \times V$ is completely continuous:

Let $u_{k} \rightarrow u$ and $v_{k} \rightarrow v$ in $\mathcal{H}$; by (10), (11), $f\left(x, u_{k}, v_{k}\right) \rightarrow f(x, u, v)$ in $\mathcal{H}^{\prime}$. Let us denote by $w_{k}$ and $z_{k}$ the sequences associated with $u_{k}$ and $v_{k}$ by (14); it follows that:

$$
(-\Delta+m \rho)\left(w-w_{k}\right)=(a+m) \rho\left(u-u_{k}\right)+b \rho\left(v-v_{k}\right)+f(x, u, v)-f\left(x, u_{k}, v_{k}\right) .
$$

Multiplying by $w-w_{k}$ and integrating we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla\left(w-w_{k}\right)\right|^{2}+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left(w-w_{k}\right)^{2}= \\
& =(a+m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left(u-u_{k}\right)\left(w-w_{k}\right)+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left(v-v_{k}\right)\left(w-w_{k}\right)+ \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(f(x, u, v)-f\left(x, u_{k}, v_{k}\right)\right)\left(w-w_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $\left\|w-w_{k}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow 0$ as $\left\|u-u_{k}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow$ $0,\left\|v-v_{k}\right\|_{V} \rightarrow 0$. Similarly $z_{k} \rightarrow z$ in $V$.
Now we prove the compactness of $T$. We multiply $(14-a)$ by $w$ and integrate:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla w|^{2}+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w^{2}=(a+m) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho u w+b \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho v w+\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{\alpha f(x, u, v)}{\rho u} \rho u w .
$$

Hence, by (11-a):

$$
\|w\|_{\rho, m} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)
$$

Analogously:

$$
\|z\|_{\rho, m} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}+\|v\|_{\mathcal{H}}^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore if $u_{j}$ and $v_{j}$ are bounded sequences in $V$, the associated sequences $w_{j}$ and $z_{j}$ are bounded in $V$.

We show now that $w_{j}$ and $z_{j}$ are Cauchy sequences in $V$.
Suppose that $\left\|u_{j}\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq M$ and $\left\|v_{j}\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq M$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. Choose $R$ large enough so that $\left(1+R^{2}\right) \rho(R)<\frac{\varepsilon M}{16 \gamma}$, where $\gamma$ is defined in Lemma 1.

Let $B=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}| | x \mid<R\right\}$ and $B^{\prime}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}| | x \mid>R\right\} ;$ since $u_{j}$ is bounded in $V, u_{j}$ is bounded in $H^{1}(B)$; but B is bounded and therefore the embedding $H^{1}(B)$ into $L^{2}(B)$ is compact; hence there exists a convergent subsequence, still denoted by $\left(u_{j}\right)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is a Cauchy sequence and we can choose $j$ and $k$ large enough so that

$$
\int_{B} \rho\left|u_{j}-u_{k}\right|^{2} d x \leq \int_{B}\left|u_{j}-u_{k}\right|^{2} d x<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{B^{\prime}} \rho\left|u_{j}-u_{k}\right|^{2} d x & =\int_{B^{\prime}}\left(1+|x|^{2}\right) \rho(x) \frac{1}{\left(1+|x|^{2}\right)}\left|u_{j}-u_{k}\right|^{2} d x \leq \\
& \leq \frac{\varepsilon M}{16 \gamma} \gamma\left\|u_{j}-u_{k}\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since analogous inequalities hold for $v_{j}$, we can deduce that $w_{j}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $V$. Hence it converges towards $w$. The same holds for $z_{j}$ and therefore, $T$ is compact in $V \times V$.

We can apply Schauder fixed point theorem and we deduce that there exists at least one positive solution $(u, v) \in V \times V$ of System (1) satisfying $u_{\circ} \leq u \leq u^{\star}, v_{\circ} \leq v \leq v^{\star}$.

## 5.2 - Uniqueness

For proving uniqueness, we assume additional assumption on $f, g$ :
(15) We assume that there exists a concave function $(x, u, v) \longrightarrow$ $H(x, u, v)$ such that:

$$
f(x, u, v)=b \frac{\partial H}{\partial u}(x, u, v) \text { and } g(x, u, v)=c \frac{\partial H}{\partial v}(x, u, v)
$$

Then, we have:
THEOREM 6. Assume that (8), $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ and (15) are satisfied, then there exists a unique solution of System (1).

Proof. Assume that $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)$ and $\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$ are solutions of (1). If we set:

$$
w=u_{1}-u_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad z=v_{1}-v_{2}
$$

then

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
-\Delta w & =a w \rho+b z \rho+b\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}\left(x, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}\left(x, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \\
-\Delta z & =c w \rho+d z \rho+c\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v}\left(x, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial v}\left(x, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right) & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n} \\
w & \longrightarrow 0, z \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as }|x| \longrightarrow \infty
\end{array}
$$

Multiplying the first equation by $\frac{w}{b}$ and the second by $\frac{z}{c}$ and integrating over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla w|^{2} d x+c^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|\nabla z|^{2} d x=\frac{a}{b} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w^{2} d x+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w z d x+\frac{d}{c} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho z^{2} d x+ \\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} {\left[\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}\left(x, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial u}\left(x, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right)\left(u_{1}-u_{2}\right)+\right.} \\
&\left.+\left(\frac{\partial H}{\partial v}\left(x, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial v}\left(x, u_{2}, v_{2}\right)\right)\left(v_{1}-v_{2}\right)\right] d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and from (5) and (15), we derive:

$$
b^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w^{2} d x+c^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho z^{2} d x \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w z d x
$$

Let us choose $\delta$ such that:

$$
\frac{\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right)}{b}>\delta^{2}>\frac{c}{\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right)}
$$

then, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w^{2} d x+c^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho z^{2} d x \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho \delta w \frac{1}{\delta} z d x \leq \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho \delta^{2} w^{2} d x+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho \frac{z^{2}}{\delta^{2}} d x \leq b^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\rho}-a\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho w^{2} d x+c^{-1}\left(\lambda_{\rho}-d\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho z^{2} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies $w=z=0$, i.e. $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right)=\left(u_{2}, v_{2}\right)$.
REmARK 1. When $n=2$ it is proved in [6] that there is no positive eigenvalue for problem (3); in fact the non-negative eigenvalue is 0 and we can extend our previous theorem with $\lambda_{\rho}=0$.

REMARK 2. We can apply the method in [12] for studying some non-cooperative systems where (7) is replaced by (16) $b<0, c>0$, and $(d-a)^{2}+4 b c>0$.

## 6 - The case of $\mathbf{N} \times \mathbf{N}$ Systems

We can also extend our results to the case of a system with $N$ equations:

$$
-\Delta U=A \rho U+\mathcal{F}, \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{n}, U \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|U| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

where $U$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}$ ) is a column matrix with elements $u_{i}$ (resp. $f_{i}$ ) and where
(17) $\quad A$ is a $N \times N$ matrix with constant coefficients
(17) The coefficients outside the diagonal are positive.

For such a system analogous results (Maximum Principle and existence of solutions) hold with $\left(2_{\rho}\right)$ replaced by
$\mathcal{B}:=\left(\lambda_{\rho} I-A\right)$ is a nonsingular M-matrix.

Theorem 7. Assume that (4) and (17) hold, and that $F \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime N}$. Then System $\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ satisfies Maximum Principle if and only if inequalities $\left(C_{\rho}\right)$ are satisfied.

The proof of Theorem 7, is very similar to that of [14], with the same change of spaces as above so that we only sketch the proof. We recall some technical results concerning M-matrices (which can be found e.g. in [3], or [14], Lemmas 1 and 2).

## 6.1 - Matricial calculus lemmas

First recall that a nonsingular square matrix $\mathcal{B}=\left(b_{i j}\right)$ is a $M$-matrix if $b_{i j} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j, b_{i i}<0$ and if all principal minors extracted from $\mathcal{B}$ are positive.

We introduce the following notation:
For $1 \leq k \leq N$, we denote by $B_{k}$ the matrix obtained by taking the last $(N-k)$ rows and columns out of the matrix $B:=\left(\lambda_{\rho} I-A\right)$. Then:

Lemma 4. Assume that all principal minors of order $j \leq k$, extracted from $B_{N}$ are positive. If $\operatorname{det} B_{k+1}<0$, then for all $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}, Y>$ 0 , the solution $X \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ of the equation $B_{k+1} X=Y$ is negative.

Lemma 5. Assume that $B_{N}$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix; then for all $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, Y \leq 0($ resp $\geq 0)$, the solution $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ of $B_{N} X=Y$ is non positive (resp. non negative).

## Proof of theorem 7.

The condition is necessary: We assume that the Maximum Principle is satisfied; we prove by induction on $k$ and by contradiction that all the principal minors of order $k$, extracted from $B_{N}$, are positive.

We know from Section 2 -scalar case- that the result holds for $k=1$.
Assume now that it holds for $k$.
i) If $\operatorname{det} B_{k+1}<0$, we can choose $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$, with components $Y_{i}=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k+1, X \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with components $X_{i}=0$ for $k+2 \leq i \leq N$ such that $Y=B_{N} X$. Then $X^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ (resp. $Y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ ) with components $X_{i}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Y_{i}\right), 1 \leq i \leq k+1$ satisfies $B_{k+1} X^{\prime}=Y^{\prime}$.

By Lemma $3, X_{i}<0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k+1$.
Hence, since the system is cooperative, $Y_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{j=k+1}\left(-a_{i, j}\right) X_{j} \geq 0$ for $k+1 \leq i \leq N$.

Then $U=X \psi_{\rho} \leq$ satisfies

$$
-\Delta U=\lambda_{\rho} X \rho \psi_{\rho}=A \rho U+F
$$

with $F=Y \rho \psi_{\rho} \geq 0$, which contradicts the Maximum Principle.
ii) If $\operatorname{det} B_{k+1}=0$, we consider -with the same notations as above$X$, such that $B_{k+1} X^{\prime}=0$. Since $\operatorname{det} B_{k} \neq 0, X_{k+1} \neq 0$ and we can take
$X_{k+1}=-1$. Then $\sum_{p=1}^{p=k}\left(-a_{j, p}\right)=\left(-a_{j, k+1}\right), 1 \leq j \leq k+1$. Since the system is cooperative, $B_{k} X^{\prime \prime} \leq 0$ with $X^{\prime \prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$, with components $X_{i}, i \leq k$. By Lemma $3, X_{i} \leq 0, i \leq k$, and as above, we contradict the Maximum Principle.

It follows from i) and ii) that all the principal minors of order $k+1$, extracted from $B_{N}$ are positive. Hence $\lambda_{\rho} I-A$ is a nonsingular $M$-matrix. The condition is sufficient: This proof is almost the same than when $N=2$. We multiply the i-th equation by $u_{i}^{-}$and integrate. Finally we obtain: $\left(\lambda_{\rho} I-A\right) Z \leq 0$ where $Z$ is the column matrix with elements $\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left|u_{i}^{-}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$.

By Lemma 2 this implies $Z \leq 0$ and hence $U \geq 0$.
We can also extend Theorem 5 and prove the existence of a nonnegative solution for a semilinear system:

$$
-\Delta U=A \rho U+\mathcal{F}(x, U), \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad U \rightarrow 0 \text { as }|x| \rightarrow+\infty
$$

Assume that
For any $u_{i} \in \mathcal{H}$, for any $1 \leq j \leq N U \rightarrow f_{j}(x, U)$ is a Caratheodory function;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { For any } 1 \leq i \leq N, 0 \leq f_{i}(x, U) \leq \frac{u_{i}}{\alpha_{i}} \rho(x) \forall u_{i} \geq 0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a column matrix with components $\alpha_{i}$ such that $\left(\lambda_{\rho} I-A\right) \alpha=\mathbf{1}$; here $\mathbf{1}$ is the column matrix with $N$ elements equal to 1 .

THEOREM 8. Assume that (4) and (17) to (19) are satisfied; if $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\rho}\right)$ holds, then ( $1^{\prime}$ ) possesses one non negative solution in $V^{N}$.

The proof of this theorem is completely similar to that of Theorem 5 and we omit it here.

We also can extend Theorem 1 in [5]:
Theorem 9. Assume that (4), (17) and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\rho}\right)$ are satisfied and that $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{H}^{\prime N}$. Then $\left(\mathrm{S}^{\prime}\right)$ has a unique solution $U \in V^{N}$.

We follow here the proof of [2], which is derived from [5] but a bit shorter.

Proof. If necessary, we first choose $m$ such that $a_{i i}+m>0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq N$ and we write equation $\left(1_{i}\right)$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta+m) u_{i}=\left(a_{i i}+m\right) \rho u_{i}+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \rho u_{j}+f_{i} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\varepsilon \in] 0 ; 1[$, we derive from (20):

$$
\begin{align*}
(-\Delta+m) u_{i}^{\varepsilon}= & \left(a_{i i}+m\right) \rho u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\left[1+\left|\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|\right]^{-1}+ \\
& +\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i, j} \rho u_{j}^{\varepsilon}\left[1+\left|\varepsilon u_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right|\right]^{-1}+f_{i} . \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

We will prove that $u_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $V$ and hence we can deduce from Schauder fixed point theorem that such $\left(u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right)_{1 \leq i \leq n}$ exist.

We first prove:
i) $\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $V$ and tends to 0 strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ and weakly in $V$. We multiply (21) by $\varepsilon^{2} u_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ and integrate over $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since $\left[1+\left|\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|\right]^{-1}<1$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left|\nabla \varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left|\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2} & \leq\left(a_{i i}+m\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho\left|\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}+ \\
& \left.+\sum_{j \neq=i} a_{i j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \rho \mid \varepsilon^{2} u_{i}^{\varepsilon} u_{j}^{\varepsilon}\right) \mid+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \varepsilon^{2} u_{i}^{\varepsilon} f_{i} . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

Set $\left\|u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}}:=\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}}^{n} \rho\left|\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}$. It follows from (5) and from CauchySchwarz inequality that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\lambda_{\rho}-A\right)\left\|u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \leq \varepsilon\left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}(\rho)^{-1}\left|f_{i}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2} . \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence $\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ and tends to 0 strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ as $\varepsilon$ tends to 0 . This result combined with (22) implies that $\varepsilon u_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ and tends to 0 weakly in $V$.
ii) $u_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ is bounded in $V$. Here we follow [5]. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\varepsilon}:=\max \left(\left\|u_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
z_{i}^{\varepsilon}:=u_{i}^{\varepsilon} \cdot t_{\varepsilon}^{-1}
$$

Of course $\left\|z_{i}^{\varepsilon}\right\|_{V} \leq 1$; therefore, there exists $z_{i}$ such that $z_{i}^{\varepsilon}$ tends to $z_{i}$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, strongly in $\mathcal{H}$ and weakly in $V$. Moreover, by (24), as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, f_{i} \cdot t_{\varepsilon}^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ a.e.

Hence, dividing (21) by $t_{\varepsilon}$ and passing through the limit, we deduce:

$$
(-\Delta+m) z_{i}=\left(a_{i i}+m\right) \rho\left(z_{i}\right)+\sum_{j \neq i} a_{i j} \rho\left(z_{j}\right) .
$$

Hence $z_{i}=0$ which contradicts the fact that there exists a sequence $\left(\varepsilon_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{R}}$ such that for one index $i,\left\|z_{i}{ }^{\varepsilon_{k}}\right\|=1$.
Therefore, passing through the limit, $u_{i}^{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u_{i}^{0}$ and $u_{i}^{0}$ satisfies ( $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ).
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