

Non-linear elliptic systems involving measure data

M. FUCHS – J. REULING

RIASSUNTO: *Si dimostra l'esistenza di soluzioni a valori vettoriali per sistemi del tipo $-\partial_\alpha(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\partial_\alpha u) = T$ in un insieme aperto e limitato Ω con valore nullo sulla frontiera $\partial\Omega$; T è una distribuzione assegnata che agisce sullo spazio delle funzioni test.*

ABSTRACT: *We prove the existence of vectorvalued solutions for systems of the type $-\partial_\alpha(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\partial_\alpha u) = T$ in a bounded open set Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, where T is a given distribution acting on the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ of testfunctions.*

– Introduction

For a smooth bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$, and a given distribution T acting on the space $C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ of vectorvalued testfunctions we want to solve the nonlinear Dirichlet–problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\partial_\alpha(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\partial_\alpha u) = T \text{ on } \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases},$$

where $p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$ denotes a fixed real number and equation (1) has to be understood in the sense that

$$(2) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = T(\varphi)$$

holds for all $\varphi \in C_o^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. For T sufficiently regular it is easy to construct a unique solution u of (1) in the space $H_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ for example by applying variational methods. On the other hand the lefthand side of (2) makes sense for functions u in the space $H_0^{1,p-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ which suggests to relax the assumptions concerning the integrability of ∇u . In fact, certain applications in physics (see [1]) lead to the study of equations ($N = 1$) of the type (1) where $T = \sum_{i=1}^l \delta_{a_i}$, $a_i \in \Omega$, is a finite sum of Dirac-measures. In [7] and [8] KICHENASSAMY and VERON proved the existence of solutions u in this case. Moreover, they showed

$$|u(x)| \approx \text{const } |x - a_i|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} \quad \text{at least locally,}$$

that is

$$u \in \bigcap_{1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)} H_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}).$$

The results of [7] are valid for any exponent p in the range $(1, \infty)$. But if we consider the fundamental solution of the p -Laplacian $\gamma(x) = c(n, p)|x|^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}}$, ($N = 1$, $p < n$) we see $\nabla \gamma \in L^1$ near 0 if and only if $p > 2 - \frac{1}{n}$. This motivates the lower bound for p .

Independently BOCCARDO and GALLOUËT [2] discussed equation (1) ($N = 1$!) for signed Radon-measures T and obtained existence theorems in $H_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)$. In this note we concentrate on the vectorial case $N > 1$ and prove

THEOREM 1. *Let T denote a vectorial Radon-measure on Ω such that $\mathcal{L}^n(\text{spt } T) = 0$ and $|T|(\Omega) < \infty$. Then (1) admits a solution u in the space*

$$\bigcap_{1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)} H_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

REMARKS.

1. The theorem applies to measures of the form $\sum_{i=1}^L E_i \delta_{a_i}$, $E_i \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $a_i \in \Omega$, $i \in \{1, \dots, L\}$, or $\mathcal{H}^m \llcorner f$, $\mathcal{H}^m = m$ -dimensional Hausdorff-measure, $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ continuous with support contained in some m -dimensional submanifold of Ω , $m < n$.

2. The condition $\mathcal{L}^n(\text{spt } T) = 0$ excludes measures like $\mathcal{L}^n \llcorner f$ with $f \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. The case of arbitrary Radon-measures causes some technical difficulties which can be overcome with the help of the “blow-up lemma” from [3]. Since the details are rather involved we will present them in a subsequent paper.

3. In the linear case we can consider N equations and quote the results of LITTMAN, STAMPACCHIA and WEINBERGER [9]. For $p > n$ problem (1) is solvable with direct methods in $H_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ for any vectorial Radon-measure of finite mass because T is in the dual space of $H_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

1 – Proof of the Theorem

From now on we assume that the hypothesis of the Theorem are satisfied and that $p \in (2 - \frac{1}{n}, n]$. The first step in the proof is to approximate the distribution T by a sequence of regular distributions T_{h_k} , generated by functions $h_k \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $T_{h_k} \rightarrow T$ in the sense of distributions.

Replacing T by T_h , $h \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ we can rewrite equation (2) as

$$(1.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi = \int_{\Omega} h \cdot \varphi \quad \forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N).$$

Next we recall an apriori estimate for u satisfying (1.1).

LEMMA 1. *Let $u \in H_0^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ denote the solution of (1.1). For every $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)$ there exists a positive constant C depending only on $n, N, \Omega, \|h\|_1$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{1,q} \leq C.$$

The proof of Lemma 1 follows the lines in [2] where the scalar case is treated. We just have to modify the testfunctions; define

$$\psi_1(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & : t > k+1 \\ t-k & : k \leq t \leq k+1 \\ 0 & : -k < t < k \\ t+k & : -k-1 \leq t \leq -k \\ -1 & : t < -k-1 \end{cases}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and test (1.1) with

$$\varphi^j := \psi_1(u^j) e^j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, N\},$$

where e^j is the j -th unitvector in \mathbb{R}^N .

We get

$$\int_{[k \leq |u^j| \leq k+1]} |\nabla u^j|^p \leq \|h\|_1 \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Choosing

$$\psi_2(t) = \begin{cases} L & : t > L \\ t & : -L \leq t \leq L \\ -L & : t < -L \end{cases}, \quad L \in \mathbb{N}$$

and testing with $\varphi^j := \psi_2(u^j) e^j$ gives

$$\int_{[|u^j| \leq L]} |\nabla u^j|^p \leq L \|h\|_1 \quad \text{for every } j \in \{1, \dots, N\}.$$

Let q^* denote the Sobolev exponent for q : $q^* := \frac{nq}{n-q}$. Hölder's inequality and Sobolev's imbedding Theorem imply (see [2] for details):

$$\|u^j\|_{q^*}^q \leq C$$

and

$$\|\nabla u^j\|_q^q \leq C(n, N, \Omega, \|h\|_1)$$

for every $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. □

Next we choose an approximating sequence $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $\text{spt}(h_k) \subset K_k := \{x \in \Omega \mid \text{dist}(x, K) \leq \frac{1}{k}\}$, $K := \text{spt}(T)$ and $\sup_k \|h_k\|_1 < \infty$. Let $u_k \in H^{1,p}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ denote a solution of

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{cases} -\partial_\alpha(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \partial_\alpha u) = h_k & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

and fix a ball B_R in Ω such that $B_R \cap K_k = \emptyset$.

Lemma 1 implies $\|u_k\|_{H^{1,q}} \leq C$ for every $1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)$. Consequently there exists $u \in \bigcap_{1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)} H_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ such that $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Since u_k is p -harmonic on B_R we have Caccioppoli's estimate

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla u_k|^p \leq \frac{C}{R^p} \int_{B_R} |u_k|^p.$$

Using (1.2) for u_k and $u_{k'}$ with the testfunction $\varphi = \eta^p (u_k - u_{k'})$, where η is the usual cutoff function

$$\eta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{on } B_{R/4} \\ 0 & \text{on } \Omega \setminus B_{R/2} \end{cases}, \quad 0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \quad |\nabla \eta| \leq \frac{C}{R}$$

we get with an ellipticity argument (in case $p \geq 2$)

$$\begin{aligned} (1.4) \quad & \int_{B_{R/4}} |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^p \leq \\ & \leq \int_{B_{R/2}} \eta^p |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^p \\ & \leq c \int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k - |\nabla u_{k'}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k'}) \nabla (u_k - u_{k'}) \eta^p \\ & \leq c \int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k - |\nabla u_{k'}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k'}) (u_k - u_{k'}) \nabla \eta \eta^{p-1} \\ & \leq C(R) \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k|^p + |\nabla u_{k'}|^p) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} |u_k - u_{k'}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (1.4) together with (1.3) gives

$$(1.5) \quad \int_{B_{R/4}} |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^p \leq C(R) \left(\int_{B_R} |u_k - u_{k'}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left(\int_{B_R} |u_k|^p + |u_{k'}|^p \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}.$$

For $2 - \frac{1}{n} < p < 2$ we argue as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{R/2}} \eta^p |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^p \leq \\
& \leq c \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} \eta^2 |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^2 (|\nabla u_k| + |\nabla u_{k'}|)^{p-2} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k| + |\nabla u_{k'}|)^p \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \leq \\
& \leq c \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k - |\nabla u_{k'}|^{p-2} \nabla u_{k'}) \eta^2 (\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \cdot \\
& \quad \cdot \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k|^p + |\nabla u_{k'}|^p) \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \leq \\
& \leq C(R) \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} |u_k - u_{k'}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla u_k|^p + |\nabla u_{k'}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the appropriate version of (1.4). Quoting (1.3) we also obtain an inequality of the form (1.5).

We now use the compactness of the imbedding $H_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ which is true if $p < q^*$. Since $q^* < \left(\frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)\right)^* = \frac{n(p-1)}{n-p}$, this restriction is fulfilled if $n < p^2$. In this case (1.5) gives

$$\int_{B_{R/4}} |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^p \longrightarrow 0.$$

We summarize

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla u_k \longrightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{in } L_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega \setminus K, \mathbb{R}^{nN}) \\
& \nabla u_k(x) \longrightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \setminus K \\
& \nabla u_k(x) \longrightarrow \nabla u(x) \text{ a.e. on } \Omega \text{ at least for a subsequence.}
\end{aligned}$$

Here our assumption $\mathcal{L}^n(K) = 0$ enters. Since $|\nabla u_k|^{p-1}$ is bounded in $L^{\frac{q}{p-1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$, $\frac{q}{p-1} < \frac{n}{n-1}$ we have $|\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \rightharpoonup F$ in $L^{\frac{q}{p-1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$.

With Egoroffs theorem we conclude $|\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \rightarrow |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ in $L^{\frac{q}{p-1}}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$. Finally

$$\int_{\Omega} h_k \cdot \varphi = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k \cdot \nabla \varphi \longrightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi,$$

i.e. $u \in \bigcap_{1 \leq q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)} H_0^{1,q}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is a solution of equation (1).

To get rid of the restriction $p^2 > n$ we have to establish a maximum principle, i.e. we have to show

$$(1.6) \quad \sup_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla u_k|^p \leq \text{const}.$$

To prove (1.6) we show at first that u_k is a subsolution of a certain elliptic operator in B_R .

LEMMA 2. *Let us suppose that $p \geq 2$ and $v \in H^{1,p}(B_R, \mathbb{R}^N)$ is p -harmonic on B_R . Then $|\nabla v|^p$ solves*

$$\int_{B_R} A_{\alpha\beta}(x) \partial_{\beta} (|\nabla v|^p) \partial_{\alpha} \eta \leq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in H_0^{1,2}(B_R), \eta \geq 0,$$

with coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta} \in L^{\infty}(B_R)$ satisfying

$$A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} \geq |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

PROOF. We follow the lines in [5] and compute $A_{\alpha\beta}$ as

$$A_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{p}{2} \delta_{\alpha\beta} + p \left(\frac{p}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{\partial_{\alpha} v^i(x) \partial_{\beta} v^i(x)}{|\nabla v(x)|^2} \chi_{[\nabla v \neq 0]}. \quad \square$$

Now we can quote [4, p.86 "Remark"] (see also [10] for a complete proof) to get

$$\sup_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla u_k|^p \leq c(R, \alpha) \left(\int_B |\nabla u_k|^{p\alpha} \right)^{1/\alpha} \quad \forall \alpha > 0.$$

For $\alpha < \frac{n}{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$ we arrive at

$$\sup_{B_{R/2}} |\nabla u_k|^p \leq c(R, q) \left(\int_B |\nabla u_k|^q \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n} \frac{p}{p-1}}$$

for every $q < \frac{n}{n-1}(p-1)$ and we end up with (1.6). The case $2 - \frac{1}{n} < p < 2$ requires some minor technical modifications. The necessary changes can be found in [6] or [11].

It is now immediate that $\|u_k\|_{H^{1,p}(B_{R/2})} \leq c(R)$ and therefore $u_k \rightarrow u$ strongly in $L^p(B_{R/2})$.

The same calculation with η defined as above gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{R/2}} \eta^p |\nabla u_k - \nabla u_{k'}|^p &\leq \\ &\leq C(R) \underbrace{\left(\int_{B_{R/2}} (|\nabla u_k|^p + |\nabla u_{k'}|^p) \right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}}_{\leq C(R) < \infty} \underbrace{\left(\int_{B_{R/2}} |u_k - u_{k'}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}_{\rightarrow 0}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u_k &\rightarrow \nabla u && \text{in } L^p(B_{R/2}, \mathbb{R}^{nN}) \\ \nabla u_k &\rightarrow \nabla u && \text{in } L^p_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \setminus K, \mathbb{R}^{nN}) \\ \nabla u_k(x) &\rightarrow \nabla u(x) && \text{a.e. on } \Omega \setminus K \\ \nabla u_k(x) &\rightarrow \nabla u(x) && \text{a.e. on } \Omega \text{ at least for a subsequence,} \end{aligned}$$

and the proof can be finished as before. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S.L. ADLER – T. PIRAN: *Relaxation methods for gauge field equilibrium equations*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **56** (1984), 1-40.
- [2] L. BOCCARDO – T. GALLOUËT: *Non-linear Elliptic and Parabolic Equations Involving Measure Data*, J. Functional Anal. **87** (1989), 149-166.
- [3] M. FUCHS: *The Blow-up of p -harmonic Maps*, manuscripta math. **8 1** (1993), 89-94.
- [4] M. GIAQUINTA: *Introduction to Regularity Theory for Nonlinear Elliptic Systems*, Lecture in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, 1993.
- [5] M. GIAQUINTA – G. MODICA: *Remarks on the Regularity of Minimizers of certain Degenerate Functionals*, manuscripta math. **57** (1986), 55-99.
- [6] C. HAMBURGER: *Regularity of differential forms minimizing degenerate elliptic functionals*, J. reine angew. Math. **431** (1992), 7-64.
- [7] S. KICHENASSAMY: *Quasilinear Problems with Singularities*, manuscripta math. **57** (1987), 281-313.
- [8] S. KICHENASSAMY – L. VERON: *Singular Solutions of the p -Laplace Equation*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), 599-615.
- [9] W. LITTMAN – G. STAMPACCHIA – H.F. WEINBERGER: *Regular points for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*, Ann. Scuola norm. sup. Pisa, Sci. fis. mat., III Ser. **17** (1963), 43-77.
- [10] L. SIMON: *Lecture notes on P.D.E.*, unpublished.
- [11] P. TOLKSDORF: *Everywhere-Regularity for Some Quasilinear Systems with a Lack of Ellipticity*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **134** (1983), 241-266.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 26 ottobre 1994
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 15 febbraio 1995.
Bozze licenziate il 10 aprile 1995*

INDIRIZZO DELL'AUTORE:

Martin Fuchs – Jürgen Reuling – Fachbereich Mathematik – Universität des Saarlandes – D - 66041 Saarbrücken – Germany