Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII Volume 15, Roma (1995), 621-643

# On the existence of compact scalar-flat Kähler surfaces

### M. PONTECORVO

Dedicato al Prof. Francesco Succi per il suo settantesimo compleanno.

Presentazione: Con questo articolo si prosegue nella politica editoriale di pubblicare anche lavori di rassegna ed orientamento alla ricerca su argomenti di attualità scientifica.

L'articolo è tratto dal testo di una conferenza che l'Autore ha tenuto nella "Giornata di Geometria Reale e Complessa" organizzata presso l'Università "La Sapienza" di Roma il 14 ottobre 1994.

Una varietà riemanniana a quattro dimensioni dotata di una struttura quasi complessa J, compatibile con la metrica g, è una superficie Kähaleriana se e solo se J è parallela nella connessione di Levi-Civita.

Un problema centrale della geometria delle superficie complesse è quello di determinare quali superficie complesse compatte possano essere dotate, nel modo appena descritto, di una metrica Kähleriana per la quale la traccia del tensore di curvatura di Ricci si annulli (scalar flat Kähler surfaces, SFK). Dal punto di vista geometrico questa proprietà può essere espressa come il fatto che il volume delle sfere geodetiche in M cresce come quello delle sfere euclidee, fino al secondo ordine, proprio lo stesso ordine con cui la metrica Kähleriana approssima quella euclidea.

L'importanza di tale studio è adeguatamente giustificata da diverse proprietà interessanti delle superficie SFK:

- le metriche SFK sono metriche critiche nel senso di Calabi, ed in particolare sono minimi assoluti della norma  $L^2$  della curvatura scalare
- le metriche SFK sono minimi assoluti del funzionale riemanniano classico (energia totale associata al tensore di curvatura di Riemann) e del funzionale conforme classico (associato al tensore di curvatura di Weyl).
- le metriche SFK danno soluzioni delle equazioni di Einstein-Maxwell
- le superficie SFK sono caratterizzate da una proprietà olomorfa del loro spazio twisto-

riale. Una superficie complessa compatta che ammette una metrica SFK è necessariamente una superficie rigata.

In questo articolo vengono posti in rassegna i risultati concernenti tre questioni di base che devono essere considerate preliminarmente se si vuole affrontare il problema della determinazione delle superficie complesse compatte suscettibili di essere dotate di una metrica SFK dal punto di vista degli spazi twistoriali:

- determinare condizioni sufficienti affinché lo scoppiamento di un punto in una superficie SFK sia SFK,

 $-(\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_\gamma) \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  è SFK? (qui # denota la somma connessa,  $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  il piano proiettivo complesso con l'orientazione opposta a quella naturale ed  $S_\gamma$  è una superficie di Riemann compatta di genere  $\gamma \geq T_2$ .

- Una superficie rigata di genere  $\gamma < 1$  è SFK?

Il risultato principale che viene presentato in questo lavoro è stato ottenuto in collaborazione con Kim e LeBrun e stabilisce che metriche SFK esistono su opportuni scoppiamenti di una qualsiasi superficie rigata. La dimostrazione è basata su metodi twistoriali e sulla teoria delle deformazioni di coppie di spazi con singolarità a incroci normali

Abstract: A compact complex surface with non-trivial canonical bundle and a Kähler metric of zero scalar curvature must be a ruled surface. It is also known that not every ruled surface can admit such extremal Kähler metrics.

In this paper we review recent joint work with Kim and LeBrun in which deformation theory of pairs of singular complex spaces it is used to show that any ruled surface (M,J) has blow-ups  $(\tilde{M},\tilde{J})$  which admit Kähler metrics of zero scalar curvature.

### 1 - Preliminaries

We would like to report on some recent progress made by the author, Jongsu Kim and Claude LeBrun about the following:

Main Question. Which compact complex surfaces admit Kähler metrics of zero scalar curvature?

The object of our study is then a compact four-dimensional manifold (M,g,J) equipped with a riemannian metric g and a compatible almost complex structure J - i.e. an endomorphism of the tangent bundle  $J:TM\to TM$  satisfying  $J^2=-id$  and  $J^*g=g$  - such that J is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of g:  $\nabla J=0$ . It is well known that this condition forces J to be a complex structure on M so that there are complex coordinates  $z_1, z_2$  with respect to which J

becomes multiplication by i on  $\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial z_1}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \rangle$ ; the fundamental (1,1)-form  $\omega(X,Y) := g(X,JY)$  is also parallel and therefore closed. In this way (M,g,J) becomes a compact Kähler surface and from now on we will fix on M the orientation defined by the complex structure J.

Finally, we require that the scalar curvature of the metric g - i.e the trace of the Ricci-curvature - vanishes identically:  $s = Scal(g) = g^{kl}R^j_{kjl} = 0$ . Geometrically, this means that up to order 2, the volume of geodesics balls in M grows like the volume of geodesic balls in flat-space. A scalar-flat Kähler surface is then a complex surface which admits a metric with the above properties.

## 1.1 - Motivations

We will take the point of view that one of the goals of riemannian geometry is to look for metrics with some "nice" property and then try to equip a given manifold with such a "canonical" metric. For example it is often very useful to know that a Riemann surface admits constant-curvature metrics or that a K3 surface admits a Ricci-Flat Kähler metric.

In order to motivate our work, let us now point out some nice properties of scalar-flat Kähler metrics on compact 4-manifolds:

1.1.1. Scalar-flat Kähler metrics are critical metrics in the sense of Calabi [6] because of course they are absolute minima of the  $L^2$ -norm of the scalar curvature.

Furthermore any Ricci-flat Kähler metric is certainly scalar-flat so that we can also consider the classification problem of scalar-flat Kähler surfaces as a generalization of the following problem due to Calabi and which was completely solved by Yau: in each Kähler class of a compact Kähler manifold M there exists a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric if and only if the first Chern class vanishes in real cohomology:  $c_1^{\mathbb{R}}(M) = 0$ ; if and only if , in the case of surfaces, M is a complex torus, a K3 surface or one of their finite quotients: hyperelliptic surfaces and Enriques surfaces. In light of this result we will only consider compact scalar-flat Kähler surfaces with  $c_1^{\mathbb{R}} = 0$  and use the following terminology, see also Remark 2.6.

NOTATION 1.1.2. From now on a SFK surface M will denote a compact complex surface admitting Kähler metrics of zero-scalar curvature which are not Ricci-flat - i.e. with  $c_1(M) \neq 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$ .

1.1.3. Let M be a compact 4-manifold and consider the space of Riemannian metrics on M modulo homothety then:

scalar-flat Kähler metrics are absolute minima of the following riemannian  $L^2$ -functionals.

The total energy functional

$$\int_{M} ||R||^2 dvol$$

and the conformal energy functional

$$\int_{M} \|W\|^2 dvol$$

where R and W are respectively the Riemann and the Weyl curvature tensor of the metric. The above is a consequence of the Gauss-Bonnet formulas — given below — which relate the Euler characteristic  $\chi$  and the topological signature  $\tau$  of the four-manifold M with the decomposition – also given below – of the curvature tensor R into irreducible components under the action of SO(4)), see [19] for all the details.

This curvature decomposition stems from the fact that  $\mathfrak{so}(4)$  is not a simple Lie algebra: on any oriented riemannian four-manifold (M,g,or.) the bundle of 2-forms splits into two subbundles

$$\Lambda^2 M = \Lambda^2_+ \oplus \Lambda^2_-$$

where  $\Lambda_{\pm}^2$  denotes the  $\pm 1$ -eigenspace of the Hodge-star operator  $\star: \Lambda^2 M \to \Lambda^2 M$  since  $\star^2 = id.$ ; sections of  $\Lambda_{\pm}^2$  are called (anti-)self-dual 2-forms.

The famous gauge theory of Donaldson and the very recent one of Seiberg-Witten [36] show that the above decomposition has very strong consequences on the differential geometry and topology of four-manifolds coming from the fact that the curvature of a connection is a bundle-valued 2-form.

In a similar way one can consider the curvature operator of a riemannian manifold as a symmetric endomorphism of 2-forms  $\mathcal{R}: \Lambda^2 \to \Lambda^2$  and in the four-dimensional case use the decomposition  $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_+^2 \oplus \Lambda_-^2$  to split  $\mathcal{R}$  into four blocks of  $3 \times 3$  matrices:

(4) 
$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} W_{+} + \frac{s}{12}I & R_{0} \\ & & \\ {}^{t}R_{0} & W_{-} + \frac{s}{12}I \end{pmatrix}$$

here s is the scalar curvature,  $R_0$  the trace-free part of the Ricci tensor and  $W = W_+ + W_-$  is the Weyl tensor of the metric.

We can now write the Gauss-Bonnet formula in four-dimension:

(5) 
$$\tau(M) = \frac{1}{12\pi^2} \int_M \|W_+\|^2 - \|W_-\|^2$$

(6) 
$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \|W_+\|^2 + \|W_-\|^2 + \frac{s^2}{24} - \frac{1}{6} \|R_0\|^2.$$

- 1.1.4. Further motivation comes from Gravitational Physics because scalar-flat Kähler metrics give solutions of the Eienstein-Maxwell equations, [19], [20].
- 1.1.5. But for us the main technical motivation which was the key ingredient of the new constructions of SFK surfaces we wish to present here comes from the *twistor theory* of Penrose [27] which we briefly describe now:

DEFINITION 1.1.6. A riemannian metric g on a four-manifold M is said to be anti-self-dual if  $W_{+}=0$ .

These metrics are of interest not only because they minimize the conformal energy functional (2) but also because they provide a strong link between four-dimensional riemannian geometry and three-dimensional complex geometry. This is achieved thanks to the twistor theory of Penrose [27] and the following basic result of Atyiah-Hitchin-Singer.

THEOREM 1.1.7. [1] The twistor space  $(Z, \mathbb{J})$  of the oriented riemannian 4-manifold (M, g, or.) is a complex 3-manifold if and only if the metric g is anti-self-dual.

At this point we should say that the twistor space  $(Z, \mathbb{J})$  is a  $S^2$ -bundle over  $(M^4, g, or.)$  which can be defined as follows. As a smooth manifold Z is the bundle of almost complex structures on M which are compatible with the given metric and orientation

(7) 
$$Z = Z(M, g, or.) := \{ J \in SO(TM) | J^2 = -id. \} = SO(TM)/U(2)$$

and we will denote by  $t: Z \to M$  the 'twistor fibration'.

The 'tautological' almost complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$  of Z is constructed in the following way: the Levi-Civita connection of g gives a splitting of each tangent space of Z = SO(TM)/U(2) into horizontal and vertical part. If  $z \in Z$  with t(z) = p we then write  $T_z Z = \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$ ; here  $\mathcal{V}$  is the tangent space to the fiber  $t^{-1}(p) \cong \mathbb{CP}_1$  and therefore comes equipped with a natural complex structure  $J_2$ , while the horizontal space  $\mathcal{H}$  is identified to  $T_p M$  via  $t_*$  and we let  $J_1$  be the complex structure on  $T_p M \cong \mathcal{H}$  defined by the point z itself. Finally we set  $\mathbb{J} = J_1 \oplus J_2$  and call it the 'tautological' twistor complex structure on Z; theorem 1.1.7 says that the Nijenhuis tensor of  $\mathbb{J}$  vanishes identically if and only if g is anti-self-dual.

Finally, the link between anti-self-dual - and therefore twistor theory - and SFK metrics is provided by the following result of Gauduchon.

PROPOSITION 1.1.8. [10] A Kähler surface (M, g, J) is anti-self-dual, with respect to the complex orientation, if and only if the scalar curvature of g is identically zero.

As a consequence the twistor space of a SFK surface is a complex 3-fold and in fact our constructions are heavily based on Proposition 1.1.12 which characterizes SFK surfaces, compact or not, by means of a holomorphic property of their twistor spaces; first we need the following simple observation.

If we think of a compatible hermitian structure of (M,g,or.) as a section of the twistor space Z it can be shown [4] that the image  $\Sigma:=J(M)$  is a complex hypersurface of Z; similarly let  $\overline{\Sigma}:=-J(M)$  be the image of the complex structure -J. Then we consider the effective divisor  $X=\Sigma+\overline{\Sigma}$  of Z and let X also denote the associated holomorphic line bundle. Using the facts that X meets every fiber of the smooth fibration  $t:Z\to M$  - notice that t is never holomorphic - in exactly

2 points and that Z is always a spin manifold, one can show [32] that  $c_1(X) = -\frac{1}{2}c_1(K_Z)$  where as usual  $K_Z$  denotes the canonical bundle of holomorphic 3-forms on Z and has a square root because Z is spin. Finally we have:

Theorem 1.1.9. [32] X and  $-\frac{1}{2}K_Z$  are isomorphic as holomorphic line bundles on Z if and only if the metric g is conformal to a SFK metric.

The strength of the twistor construction is that Theorems 1.1.7 and 1.1.9 have a converse. More precisely let us pose the following definition, see [12]

Definition 1.1.10. A complex 3-manifold Z is called a twistor space if the following conditions hold:

- (i) there exists a fixed-point free anti-holomorphic involution  $\sigma: Z \to Z$ .
- (ii) Z is foliated by  $\sigma$ -invariant  $\mathbb{CP}_1$ 's with normal bundle  $\nu = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$ .

The reason is that starting from Z we can reconstruct the 4-manifold M, its orientation and conformal class [g] as follows: the  $\mathbb{CP}_1$ 's above form a smooth complex 4-dimensional family  $\mathcal{M}$  of submanifolds of Z by Kodaira's theory [13] and if we restrict our attention to those fibers which are invariant under  $\sigma$  we obtain a real 4-manifold M together with a smooth projection  $t:Z\to M$ . Finally, the conformal class [g] of the anti-self-dual metric and the orientation of M come again from Kodaira's theory by identifying the tangent space of  $T_pM$  with the real part of  $H^0(\mathbb{CP}_1, \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)) \cong \mathbb{C}^4$ . More precisely the anti-self-dual conformal metric [g] is completely determined by the requirement that a complex tangent vector  $v \in \mathbb{C} \otimes TM \cong T\mathcal{M}$  is null i.e. g(v,v)=0 if and only if the corresponding section in  $H^0(\mathbb{CP}_1, \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1))$  has a zero.

Putting this discussion together with Proposition 1.1.8 and Theorem 1.1.9 we have that

Proposition 1.1.11. Constructing a SFK surface (M, g, J) is equivalent to construct a twistor space Z, that is a complex 3-fold as in

1.1.10, together with a reducible hypersurface X which is linearly equivalent to  $-\frac{1}{2}K_Z$ .

This proposition lies at the heart of our constructions which will be described in the last section.

REMARK 1.1.12. We have insisted that SFK does not include the Ricci-flat Kähler case. However proposition 1.1.12 certainly holds for Kähler metrics with vanishing Ricci tensor as well. To distinguish between SFK and Ricci-flat metrics one has to look at the algebraic dimension a(Z) of the twistor space. The result is that a(Z) = 0 for SFK surfaces while a(Z) = 1 in the Ricci-flat case [32], [28].

# 1.2 - Spin and Spin<sub>c</sub>-structures

It is also useful to describe the twistor space of  $(M^4, g, or.)$  in terms of spin bundles. The first observation, see also 1.1.3, is that the double covering of SO(4) splits as  $Spin(4) = SU(2) \oplus SU(2)$  and the associated two irreducible representations of SU(2) on  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{H}$  are given by left and right multiplication by unit quaternions - the unit sphere  $SU(2) = S^3 \subset \mathbb{H}$  - and we indicate them by  $SU_{\pm}(2)$ .

Assume for a moment that M is spin - i.e. there is a principal Spin(4)-bundle Spin(M) which is a double covering of SO(M) - this is a topological condition equivalent to the vanishing of the first two Stiefel-Withney classes  $w_1(M) = w_2(M) = 0$ , then the spin bundle also splits as a fiber product over M:

$$Spin(M) = SU_2^+(M) \times SU_2^-(M)$$

where  $SU_2^{\pm}(M)$  are the principal bundles associated to the above representations of SU(2) on  $\mathbb{R}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathbb{H}$ . Now for the twistor space of M we have

$$\begin{split} Z &= SO(M)/U(2) = Spin(M)/U(1) \times SU(2) \\ &= SU_2^+(M) \times SU_2^-(M)/U(1) \times SU(2) = SU_2^+(M)/U(1) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{S}_+) \end{split}$$

This shows that Z can also be thought of as the projectivization of the  $+\frac{1}{2}$ spin bundle  $S_+$  which is defined to be the rank-2 complex vector bundle

on M associated to the principal bundle  $SU_2^+(M)$ . When M is oriented but not spin - i.e.  $w_1(M) = 0$  and  $w_2(M) \neq 0$  - the transition functions of  $\mathbb{S}_+$  are defined only up to sign but its projectivization  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{S}_+) = Z$  is always globally defined on M. A similar discussion holds for  $\mathbb{S}_-$ .

When M is not spin one would still like to have spin bundles in order to be able to define a Dirac operator. This can be done for every oriented 4-manifold by considering the more general notion of  $\operatorname{Spin}_c$ -structure. Such a structure on  $M^4$  can be thought of as a complex rank-2 vector bundle  $\mathbb{V}_+$  whose projectivization satisfies  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V}_+) = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{S}_+)$  [36].

Since we will soon specialize to complex surfaces let us introduce the canonical  $Spin_c$ -structure of a hermitian manifold (M, g, J). In general this is given by considering forms of type (0,q). In the case of surfaces we have:

(8) 
$$\mathbb{V}_{+} = \Lambda^{0,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \quad \text{while} \quad \mathbb{V}_{-} = \Lambda^{0,1}$$

This result follows by considering the interplay between spinors and the two decompositions of 2-forms given by the riemannian and the complex structures:

$$\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^2_+ \oplus \Lambda^2_-$$
 and also  $\mathbb{C} \otimes \Lambda^2(M) = \Lambda^{0,2} \oplus \Lambda^{1,1} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2}$ .

Finally, the Dirac operator of the canonical  ${\rm Spin}_c$ -structure (8) can be identified with

$$\overline{\partial} + \overline{\partial}^* : \Lambda^{0,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2} \to \Lambda^{0,1}$$

where  $\overline{\partial}^*$  is the adjoint of  $\overline{\partial}$  with respect to the hermitian metric g. The index of this operator is the Todd genus of M ([17], p.400).

Remark 1.2.1. A useful observation is that the above discussion gives an alternative description of the twistor space  $Z = \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{V}_+) = \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^{0,0} \oplus \Lambda^{0,2})$  as the projectivization of a holomorphic bundle so that when (M,g,J) is a SFK surface Z also carries a complex structure  $\mathbb{I} \neq \mathbb{J}$  which makes the twistor projection  $t:(Z,\mathbb{I}) \to (M,J)$  into a holomorphic  $\mathbb{CP}_1$ -bundle.

We then have the following result:

PROPOSITION 1.2.2. [30] The twistor space of any SFK surface is an example of a smooth 6-manifold Z which carries both a projective

algebraic structure  $\mathbb{I}$  and a non-kählerian complex structure  $\mathbb{J}$  of algebraic dimension zero.

As it was first observed by Kato [13] these examples provide an answer to a problem posed by Catanese [7].

### 2 - Ruled Surfaces

We now go back to the Main Question and try to understand the complex structure of (M, J). Since we are looking for compact SFK surfaces the following vanishing theorem of Yau applies:

Theorem 2.1.. [37], [38] Let M be a compact Kähler manifold of non-negative total scalar curvature

$$\int_{M} s \ dvol \ge 0$$

then one and only one of the following properties applies:

- (i)  $c_1(M) = 0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  and M admits Ricci-flat Kähler metrics.
- (ii)  $H^0(M, K^n) = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is all plurigenera of M vanish or in other words the Kodaira dimension  $Kod(M) = -\infty$ .

Since we are looking for scalar-flat Kähler surfaces M with  $c_1^{\mathbb{R}}(M) \neq 0$  - SFK surfaces - we can exclude possibility (i) and conclude that our surfaces must have Kodaira dimension  $-\infty$ . At this point, the Enriques-Kodaira classification of surfaces ([2], p.188) tell us that (M, J) is either  $\mathbb{CP}_2$  or it can be obtained by blowing up a geometrically ruled surface. However, the topological signature  $\tau(\mathbb{CP}_2) = 1$  and therefore  $\mathbb{CP}_2$  cannot admit any anti-self-dual metric with respect to the complex orientation by (5); notice that the Fubini-Study metric is self-dual indeed. We can then conclude that:

Proposition 2.2. A SFK surface (M, J) is obtained by blowing up a geometrically ruled surface N of genus  $\gamma$ .

Let us recall here that a geometrically ruled surface is nothing else than the projectivization of a holomorphic rank-2 vector bundle E over a Riemann surface  $S_{\gamma}$  of genus  $\gamma$  [2]. That is  $N = \mathbb{P}(E) \to S_{\gamma}$  and then N is said to have genus  $\gamma$ . More generally, a ruled surface of genus  $\gamma$  is the blow up of a geometrically ruled surface of the same genus.

We can also give a lower bound on the number of points to be blown up in terms of the genus  $\gamma$ . In fact the Chern number  $c_1^2$  of an almost complex 4-manifold is a topological invariant:  $c_1^2 = 2\chi + 3\tau$  and from the Chern-Weil formulas (5) and (6) we deduce that

(9) 
$$c_1^2 = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int 2\|W_+\|^2 + \frac{1}{24}s^2 - \frac{1}{6}\|R_0\|^2$$

and therefore:

PROPOSITION 2.3. [3] If (M, J) is a SFK surface then  $c_1^2(M) < 0$ . As a consequence M is obtained by blowing up m points on a geometrically ruled surface N of genus  $\gamma$  where  $m \geq 9$  if  $\gamma = 0$  while  $m \geq 1$  if  $\gamma = 1$ .

PROOF. Since M is SFK we have s=0 but  $R_0 \neq 0$ , while  $W_+=0$  by 1.1.8 and therefore  $c_1^2(M) < 0$ . On the other hand  $c_1^2(N) = 2\chi(N) = 4(2-2\gamma)$  and blowing up a point is topologically equivalent to perform the connected sum with  $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  - by which we mean  $\mathbb{CP}_2$  equipped with the opposite orientation - therefore  $c_1^2(M) = 8(1-\gamma) - m$ .

Notice that there is no constrain on m if  $\gamma \geq 2$ . In fact a complete list of existence results which where known before [14], [15] is:

### Examples 2.4.

- (i) Let  $S_{\gamma}$  be a compact Riemann surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  and on  $M = S_{\gamma} \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  consider the product of the  $\mp 1$ -constant curvature metrics. It is not hard to show that the scalar curvature vanishes identically and therefore M is a SFK surface.
- (ii) The twisted version of the above example is the following: if  $E \to S_{\gamma}$  is either a split or a stable rank-2 vector bundle of degree zero over a compact Riemann surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  then  $M = \mathbb{P}(E)$  can be equipped with a metric which is locally a product as above and is therefore SFK, [26], [5].

- (iii) LeBrun [18] constructed explicit examples of SFK metrics on the blow up of  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_{\gamma}$ , with  $\gamma \geq 2$ , at  $m \geq 2$  points placed in special position.
- (iv) Lebrun and Singer [20] have shown that any versal family of deformations of the complex structure of a SFK surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  contains an open set of SFK surfaces.

REMARK 2.5. In relation with example (iii) above we should notice that the complex surface obtained by blowing up  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_{\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma \geq 2$  at only one point cannot admit Kähler metrics of constant-scalar curvature because its Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields is not reductive, [23], [24].

Taking this remark into account and in light of the fact that the examples of (ii) are all diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_{\gamma}$  one is lead to wonder about:

QUESTION 1. Find sufficient conditions for the 1-point blow up of a SFK surface to admit SFK metrics.

QUESTION 2. Does the smooth manifold  $(\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_{\gamma}) \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  support SFK (or even just anti-self-dual) metrics, for  $\gamma \geq 2$ ?

Perhaps, however a more compelling problem which is left open by the above list of examples is the following:

QUESTION 3. Can a ruled surface of genus  $\gamma \leq 1$  admit SFK metrics?

REMARK 2.6. A consequence of Theorem 2.1 is that the blow up  $\tilde{M}$  of a Ricci-flat Kähler surface M cannot admit Kähler metrics of zero scalar curvature. The reason is that on the one hand if m is the number of blown up points then  $c_1^2(\tilde{M}) = c_1^2(M) - m = -m$  - i.e.  $c_1(M) \neq 0$ ; but on the other hand  $Kod(\tilde{M}) = Kod(M) = 0$  because the Kodaira dimension is a bimeromorphic invariant. This shows that Question 1 is not interesting without the restriction of 1.1.2.

### 3 - Main Results

In the joint work with KIM [14] we give a satisfactory answer to Question 1, i.e. we find a weak sufficient condition, see Theorem 3.1 below. This condition is in fact necessary in the sense of Remark 3.2, and it also provides a positive answer to Question 2.

Question 3 receives a positive answer in [15], see Theorem 3.5 below. Furthermore the combination of Theorems 3.1 and 3.5 yields a powerful existence result à la Taubes which was conjectured to be true in [20], see Theorem 3.6 and 4.1.3.

Our answer to Question 1 is provided by the following result, let us explain the notation of the statement. With  $H^0(\Theta_M)$  we denote the Lie algebra of holomorphic vector fields on M. By 'any blow up' we mean the blow up at any number  $m \geq 0$  of points, placed in any arbitrary position and possibly with multiplicity. Finally we point out that it is not important the order in which the (possibly trivial) deformation and the blow up occur.

THEOREM 3.1. [14] Let M be a compact SFK surface with  $c_1^{\mathbb{R}}(M) \neq 0$  then any blow up of M and any of its small deformation admits SFK metrics or else M satisfies one of the following equivalent conditions:

- (i) M is a minimal ruled surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  with non-trivial holomorphic vector fields:  $H^0(\Theta_M) \neq 0$ .
- (ii) M is the projectivization of a split rank-2 vector bundle of 0-degree over a Riemann surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  i.e.  $M = \mathbb{P}(L \oplus \mathcal{O}) \to S_{\gamma}$  with  $\deg L = 0$  and  $\gamma \geq 2$ .

REMARKS 3.2. (i) The sufficient condition of theorem 3.1 is pretty weak because it holds, for examples, for any non-minimal SFK surface; in particular for any ruled surface of genus  $\gamma \leq 1$  (at the moment however no such example was available).

(ii) The above condition is also necessary in the following weak sense: suppose  $M = \mathbb{P}(L \oplus \mathcal{O}) \to S_{\gamma}$  is SFK and (therefore necessarily) satisfies condition (ii) of Theorem 3.1; take any number of points on the zero-section of L and blow them up. The resulting surface  $\tilde{M}$  cannot admit SFK metrics because  $H^0(\Theta_{\tilde{M}}) \cong \mathbb{C}$  is generated by the Euler vector field  $\Xi$  and the Futaki invariant  $\mathcal{F}(\Xi, [\omega]) \neq 0$  for any admissible Kähler class  $[\omega]$ , ([20], Corollary 3.4 (b)).

We are now ready to answer Question 2:

COROLLARY 3.3. For any  $n \geq 0$  there exist SFK metrics on the smooth 4-manifold  $(\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_{\gamma}) \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$ .

PROOF. Observe that the only open question was for n=1. Take M as in Example 2.4 (ii) with E stable, then  $H^0(\Theta_M)=0$  so that the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.1.

The examples mentioned in the proof above lead to the following result which says that SFK surfaces are 'generic' among non-minimal ruled surfaces of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$ .

COROLLARY 3.4. In any versal family of deformations of compact non-minimal ruled surfaces of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  there exists an open and dense subset of SFK surfaces.

PROOF. Any non-minimal ruled surface M can be obtained by bowing up a geometrically ruled surface  $N = \mathbb{P}(E)$  with the property that  $\deg E = 0$ . (For example the blow up of the Hirzebruch surface  $\Sigma_1$  is also the blow up of  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  [2]. The result then follows from Example 2.4 (ii), Theorem 3.1 and the fact that stable bundles are open and dense among vector bundles of fixed degree over a given Riemann surface [25].

An 'ad hoc' geometric construction which first appeared in [21] gives a positive answer to Question 3. The result is the following:

THEOREM 3.5. [15] There exist SFK metrics on the following surfaces:

- (i)  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  blown up at 13 suitably chosen points,
- (ii)  $E \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  blown up at 6 suitably chosen points, where E is any elliptic curve.

Although the above result only concerns two examples its proof involves several general theorems and together with Theorem 3.1 produces a simple proof of the following remarkable result which was conjectured by Lebrun-Singer [20]. It is the exact Kähler analogue of the powerful existence theorem 4.1.3 of Taubes on anti-self-dual metrics on 4-manifolds [35].

THEOREM 3.6. [15] Let M be any ruled surface - i.e. a compact surface with a holomorphic map onto a compact Riemann surface with generic fiber  $\mathbb{CP}_1$  - then there exists a blow up  $\tilde{M}$  of M at sufficiently many points with the property that any blow up of  $\tilde{M}$  admits SFK metrics.

PROOF. Any two ruled surfaces M and N over the same curve  $S_{\gamma}$  are bimeromorphic to each other [2] i.e. there exists a ruled surface  $\tilde{M}$  which is a blow up of both M and N. If the genus is  $\gamma \geq 2$  we can let N be the projectivization of a stable rank-2 vector bundle, see Example 2.4 (ii). Otherwise  $\gamma \leq 1$  and we let N be the corresponding example in Theorem 3.5. Now, in all these cases Theorem 3.1 applies to N and we conclude that any blow up of N and in particular of  $\tilde{M}$  admits SFK metrics.

An argument of LEBRUN-SIMANCA [22] based on a inverse function theorem also gives:

COROLLARY 3.7. [15] Let M be a compact complex surface which admits a Kähler metric of positive total scalar curvature. Then M has a blow up  $\tilde{M}$  such that any blow up of  $\tilde{M}$  admits Kähler metrics of constant positive scalar curvature.

PROOF. By Theorem 2.1 and the classification of surfaces M is a ruled surface and therefore by Theorem 3.6 there is a blow up  $\tilde{M}$  with the property that any blow up of  $\tilde{M}$  is SFK; the result of LEBRUN-SIMANCA [22] implies that there is also a Kähler metric of constant positive scalar curvature.

# 4-Idea of the proofs

In this section we would like to sketch the proof of theorems 3.1 and 3.5. In both cases we have to generalize some techniques introduced by Donaldson-Friedman [8] who where investigating the existence of anti-self-dual metrics on the connected sum  $M_1 \# M_2$  of two anti-self-dual 4-manifolds.

### 4.1 – The Donaldson-Friedman Construction

636

Because the Penrose construction is invertible it is enough to construct the twistor space - in the sense of 1.1.10 - of the desired metric on  $M_1 \# M_2$ . This is done in two steps which will be called 'geometric construction' and 'deformation theory'. Let  $t_i: Z_i \to M_1$  denote the twistor fibration for i = 1, 2.

THE GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION. We build a singular complex 3-fold  $Z_0$  which will be called the 'singular twistor space' of  $M_1 \# M_2$  in the following way. First blow up  $Z_i$ , i=1,2 along a fiber of the twistor fibration and then identify the resulting two exceptional divisors, a quadric  $Q_i = \mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  in each  $Z_i$  with normal bundle  $\nu = \mathcal{O}(1,-1)$ , by switching the factors in such a way that the resulting complex space

$$Z_0 = \widetilde{Z}_1 \cup_Q \widetilde{Z}_2$$

is a singular 3-fold with only normal crossing singularities along Q satisfying the d-semistable condition [8].

It is known that this condition is necessary in order for  $Z_0$  to admit smooth deformations and the key result [8] is that if  $Z_0$  admits smooth deformations then it is possible to find small deformations  $Z_t$  of  $Z_0$  which are smooth 3-folds satisfying Definition 1.1.10 - i.e. they are twistor spaces - and it is not too difficult to see that the underlying 4-manifold is diffeomorphic to  $M_1 \# M_2$  which therefore admits anti-self-dual metrics, in this case.

DEFORMATION THEORY. Because of what we just said it is enough to understand when the singular twistor space  $Z_0$  admits smoothings. Using the deformation theory of complex spaces with normal crossings satisfying the d-semistable condition Donaldson-Friedman find that the obstruction to smooth out  $Z_0$  lies in  $H^2(\tau_{Z_0}^0)$  the second cohomology group of the sheaf of derivations of  $Z_0$ . Then there is a natural 'normalization' exact sequence relating the cohomology of the tangent bundles  $\Theta_{Z_i}$  of the twistor spaces  $Z_i$ , i = 1, 2 to the obstruction space  $H^2(\tau_{Z_0}^0)$ ; this sequence yields the following sufficient condition:

THEOREM 4.1.1. [8] If  $H^2(\Theta_{Z_i}) = 0$  for i = 1, 2 then  $Z_0$  admits smoothings and the connected sum  $M_1 \# M_2$  admits anti-self-dual metrics.

Since the twistor space of the Fubini-Study metric on  $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  is the flag manifold  $F_{12}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  one obtains for example:

COROLLARY 4.1.2. [8] For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  the connected sum  $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2 \# \cdots^n \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  admits anti-self-dual metrics.

The same result was proved around the same time by FLOER [9] using PDE's techniques. Floer's method was then generalized by Taubes who proved that

THEOREM 4.1.3. [35] Let M be any compact oriented 4-manifold. Then there exists  $n_0 \geq 0$  such that for every natural number  $n \geq n_0$  the connected sum  $M \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2 \# \cdot ^n \cdot \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  admits anti-self-dual metrics.

### 4.2 - Proof of Theorem 3.1

The geometric construction. Given a SFK surface M we want to investigate the existence of SFK metrics on the 1-point blow up  $\widetilde{M}$ of M. Since M is diffeomorphic to the connected sum  $M\#\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  the Donaldson-Friedman construction applies. Let  $Z_1$  be the twistor space of M and  $Z_2 = F_{12}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  the twistor space of  $\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$ ; we are now going to construct a singular twistor space  $Z_0$  as before. However, since we are looking for SFK metrics, rather than just anti-self-dual, it will not suffice to have a smoothing  $Z_t$  of  $Z_0$ ; by Proposition 1.1.12 we also need to obtain a certain complex hypersurface  $X_t$  sitting inside  $Z_t$ . Because of this when we construct the singular twistor space  $\mathbb{Z}_0$  we have to use extra care and make sure to have an appropriate divisor  $X_0$  in  $Z_0$ . In fact it is always possible to achieve this because M is assumed to be SFK so that  $Z_1$  comes with a hypersurface  $X_1$ . Let  $\widetilde{Z}_1$  be the blow up of  $Z_1$  as before and take  $X_1$  to be the proper transform of  $X_1$ . Similarly in  $Z_2 = F_{12}(\mathbb{C}^3)$ we can easily find a divisor  $X_2 = D_2 \cup \overline{D}_2$  such that  $D_2 \cap \overline{D}_2 =$  the twistor line  $l_2$ ; if we let  $\widetilde{Z}_2$  be the blow up of  $Z_2$  along  $l_2$  we will have a smooth hypersurface  $\tilde{X}_2 \subset \tilde{Z}_2$ .

Finally,  $Z_0 = \tilde{Z}_1 \cup_Q \tilde{Z}_2$  contains a divisor  $X_0 = \tilde{X}_1 \cup_{l\bar{l}} \tilde{X}_2$  with only normal crossing singularities satisfying the d-semistable condition. Here l and  $\bar{l}$  are two disjoint lines in Q and it is not hard to see that  $X_t$  always

admits smooth deformations of the right topological type. We also already understand smoothings of  $Z_0$  from the previous section and our task now is to show that if  $Z_0$  admits smoothings which contain smoothings of  $X_0$  then we can choose small deformations  $Z_t$  containing  $X_t$  which are twistor spaces of a SFK metric on  $M\#\overline{\mathbb{CP}}_2$  because of proposition 1.1.12.

DEFORMATION THEORY. Given the above situation we now need to understand the deformations of the pair  $(Z_0, X_0)$  with  $X_0 \subset Z_0$  and find a sufficient condition for the existence of simultaneous smoothings  $Z_t$  of  $Z_0$  and  $X_t$  of  $X_0$  with the property that  $X_t \subset Z_t$ . Such a theory of relative deformations of singular complex spaces does not appear to be a simple extension of the 'absolute' deformation theory of Donaldson-Friedman. Instead we have to use the theory of deformations of maps due to ZIV RAN [33], [34]. This involves the computation of various local  $\mathcal{E}xt$  sheaves and global  $\mathbf{E}xt$  groups and it yields the following:

THEOREM 4.2.1. ([14], 4.6) Let M be a SFK surface with twistor space Z and hypersurface  $X \subset Z$  as in Proposition 1.1.12. Let  $\mathcal{I}_X$  be the ideal sheaf of X in Z and  $\Theta_Z$  the holomorphic tangent bundle. If  $H^2(Z,\Theta_Z\otimes\mathcal{I}_X)=0$  then the singular pair  $(Z_0,X_0)$  admits simultaneous smoothings. As a consequence the blow up of M at any point and any of its small deformations admit SFK metrics

Theorem 3.1 is a direct consequence of the above result plus the following powerful vanishing theorem of LEBRUN-SINGER [20], see also the Appendix in [15].

THEOREM 4.2.2. [20] Let M be a compact SFK surface with  $c_1 \neq 0$  and hypersurface  $X \subset Z$ . Then  $H^2(Z, \Theta_Z \otimes \mathcal{I}_X) = 0$  or else one of the following equivalent conditions apply:

- (i) M is a minimal ruled surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$  and  $H^0(M, \Theta_M) \neq 0$ .
- (ii)  $M = \mathbb{P}(L + \mathcal{O}) \to S_{\gamma}$  is the projectivization of a split rank-2 vector bundle over a Riemann surface of genus  $\gamma \geq 2$ .

# 4.3 - Proof of Theorem 3.5

The basic idea of the proof is the same as before and it involves again a geometric construction followed by a relative-deformation argument. However in this case both steps are considerably more difficult to carry out.

THE GEOMETRIC CONSTRUCTION. For the proof of Theorem 3.5 we not only need to generalize the geometric construction of Donaldson-Friedman to a relative situation as in the proof of 3.1; but we also need a generalized connected sum of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifolds. This new geometric idea is due to LeBrun-Singer [21] who used it to construct new examples of anti-self-dual 4-manifolds. Again we want to produce a singular twistor space  $Z_0$  with a singular hypersurface  $X_0$ . As before  $Z_0 = Z_1 \cup_{Q_j} Z_2$  will be constructed by identifying a finite number of quadrics  $Q_j$   $j = 1, \ldots, k$  contained in two smooth 3-folds  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$ ; at the same time we also get a hypersurface  $X_0 \subset Z_0$  with  $X_0 = X_1 \cup_{l_j \bar{l}_j} X_2$  where  $l_j$  and  $\bar{l}_j$  are disjoint lines in  $Q_j$ .

To construct  $Z_1$  we start with the twistor space  $t: Z_N \to N$  of a SFK surface N and assume that N admits a holomorphic isometry  $\Phi$ with only isolated fixed points  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  and satisfying  $\Phi^2 = id$ . Let  $L_1, \ldots, L_k \subset Z_N$  be the twistor lines corresponding to the fixed points i.e.  $L_j = t^{-1}(p_j), j = 1, ..., k$ ; and let  $\tilde{Z}_N$  be the blow up of  $Z_N$  along the lines  $L_j$ 's. The exceptional divisors  $Q_1^1, \ldots, Q_k^1$  in  $\tilde{Z}_N$  are quadrics with normal bundle  $\nu = \mathcal{O}(1, -1)$  just as in the previous proof; if we now consider the induced holomorphic involution  $\tilde{\Phi}: \tilde{Z}_N \to \tilde{Z}_N$  we realize that  $\Phi$  fixes each quadric  $Q_j$  and acts on its normal bundle by -1; the crucial point is that because  $\Phi^2 = id$ , the quotient space  $Z_1 = \tilde{Z}_N/\tilde{\Phi}$  is a smooth complex 3-fold, the images  $Q_i^1 \subset Z_1$  of the  $Q_j$ 's are again smooth quadrics but with normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(2,-2)$ . We can also trace what happens to the reducible hypersurface  $X_N \subset Z_N$ . Recall that  $X_N$  is the disjoint union of  $D_N$  and  $\overline{D}_N = \sigma(D_N)$  where  $D_N$  and  $\overline{D}_N$  are respectively biholomorphic to  $(N, \pm J_N)$ . If we fix our attention on  $D_N$  we can see that  $Z_1$  has a smoothly imbedded hypersurface  $D_1$  which is obtained by first taking the proper transform of  $D_N$  in  $\tilde{Z}_N$  and then projecting this hypersurface to  $Z_1$ ; this exactly amounts to say that  $D_1$  is obtained from  $N/\Phi$  by replacing each singular point with a  $\mathbb{CP}_1$  of self-intersection -2; finally we let  $l_i^1 = Q_i \cap D_1$ . Similarly for  $\overline{D}_N$  and we obtain the desired smooth hypersurface  $X_1 = D_1 \coprod \overline{D}_1$  in  $Z_1$  containing 2k rational curves  $l_i^1$  and  $\overline{l}_i^1$ of self-intersection -2.

The next step is to construct  $Z_2$  and we will just say here that  $Z_2$ 

consists of k distinct copies of the smooth 3-fold  $\tilde{Z}_{EH}$  obtained from the orbifold twistor space of the conformally compactified Eguchi-Hanson metric by blowing up the twistor line at infinity. We refer to [21] and [15] for an explicit description of  $Z_2$  and we just mention here that  $Z_2$  will contain  $Q_1^2, \ldots, Q_k^2$  quadrics with normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(2, -2)$  and a smooth hypersurface  $X_2$  intersecting each quadric in two disjoint lines  $l_j^2 \coprod \overline{l}_j^2 = X_2 \cap Q_j$  of self-intersection -2 in  $X_2$ .

Finally, our singular twistor space  $Z_0 = Z_1 \cup_{Q_j} Z_2$  is obtained by identifying each quadric  $Q_j^1 \cong \mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  of  $Z_1$  with the corresponding  $Q_j^2 \in Z_2$  in such a way that the two factors are switched; in this way we also obtain a singular divisor  $X_0 \subset Z_0$ . As before the pair  $(Z_0, X_0)$  is a pair of singular complex spaces with only normal crossing singularities satisfying the d-semistable condition.

One important remark we wish to make at this point is that in order for  $Z_0$  and  $X_0$  to have only normal crossing singularities we need that all of the spaces  $Z_1, Z_2$  and  $X_1, X_2$  are smooth manifolds and the fact that this can be achieved by a simple blowing up process, described above, is only because we are considering  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -orbifolds rather than more general orbifold singularities; this is analogous to the familiar Kummer construction of K3 surfaces.

DEFORMATION THEORY. The same deformation arguments used in the proof of 3.1 show that in the non-obstructed case - i.e.  $H^2(Z_N, \Theta_{Z_N} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{X_N}) = 0$  - the singular pair  $(Z_0, X_0)$  admits smoothings  $(Z_t, X_t)$  which are twistor spaces of SFK surfaces; however the technical details of the computations are more complicated than before.

Finally, the above discussion proves the following quotient result:

THEOREM 4.3.1. [15] Let N be a non-minimal compact SFK surface. Assume there is a holomorphic isometry  $\Phi: N \to N$  with only isolated singularities and  $\Phi^2 = id$ . Then there are SFK metrics on the complex surface M which is obtained from  $N/\Phi$  by replacing each singular point with a  $\mathbb{CP}_1$  of self-intersection -2.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 3.5 we 'only' need to find a SFK surface N with a holomorphic isometry  $\Phi$  satisfying the conditions of the quotient Theorem 4.3.1. To find such an isometry we need to know the SFK metric explicitly and this can be achieved using the hyperbolic

ansatz of Lebrun [18], [19]. Very briefly, the construction is the following: to prove Theorem 3.5 (i) we take N to be the blow up of  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times S_2$  at two points lying on the same  $\mathbb{CP}_1$ -fiber, here  $S_2$  is a Riemann surface of genus 2 and therefore a 2-fold branched cover of  $\mathbb{CP}_1$ . For the proof of part (ii) of the same theorem we construct a genus-2 Riemann surface  $S_2$  as a 2-fold branched cover of  $E, p: S_2 \to E$ , consider a certain line bundle L over E and then N is obtained from  $\mathbb{P}(p^*L \oplus \mathcal{O}) \to S_2$  by blowing up four points on the zero-section of  $p^*L \subset \mathbb{P}(p^*L \oplus \mathcal{O})$ .

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank, for their kind invitation, the organizers of "Giornata di Geometria Reale e Complessa" – Roma, Università "La Sapienza" – October 14, 1994. I am also grateful to the Erwin Schrödinger Institute in Vienna, where the actual writing of this work took place, for financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.F. ATIYAH N.J. HITCHIN I.M. SINGER: Self-duality in four dimensional riemannian geometry, Proc. R. Soc. Lond., Ser. A 362 (1978), 425-461.
- [2] W. Barth C. Peters A. Van de Ven: Compact complex surfaces, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York Tokio, 1984.
- [3] C.P. BOYER: Conformal duality and compact complex surfaces, Math. Ann., 274 (1986), 517-526.
- [4] D. Burns P. de Bartolomeis: Applications harmoniques stables dans  $\mathbb{CP}_n$ , Ann. Sci. Ëcole Norm. Sup. (4) **21** (1988), 159-177.
- [5] D. Burns P. de Bartolomeis: Stability of vector bundles and extremal metrics, Inv. Math., 92 (1988), 403-407.
- [6] E. CALABI: Extremal Kähler metrics, Seminar on differential geometry ed. S.T. Yau, Ann. Math. Stud., 102 (1982), Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 259-290.
- [7] F. CATANESE: Moduli and classification of irregular Kähler manifolds (and algebraic varieties) with Albanese general type fibrations, Inv. Math., 104 (1991), 263-289.
- [8] S.K. Donaldson R. Friedman: Connected sums of self-dual manifolds and deformations of singular spaces, Nonlinearity, 2 (1989), 197-239.

- [9] A. Floer: Self-dual conformal structures on lCP₂, J. Diff. Geom., 33 (1991), 551-573.
- [10] P. GAUDUCHON: Surfaces Kählériennes dont la Courbure Vérifie Certaines Conditions de Positivité, Géometrie Riemannienne en Dimension 4, Séminaire A. Besse, 1978/1979, eds. Bérard-Bergery, Berger, Houzel, CEDIC/Fernand Nathan, 1981.
- [11] P. GAUDUCHON: Structures de Weyl et théorèmes d'annulation sur une variété conforme autoduale, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa. 18 (1991), 563-629.
- [12] N.J. HITCHIN: Kählerian twistor spaces, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) 43 (1981), 133-150.
- [13] M. Kato: A non-Kähler structure on an S<sup>2</sup>-bundle over a ruled surface, preprint (1991).
- [14] J. KIM M. PONTECORVO: A new method of constructing scalar-flat Kähler metrics, J. Differential Geom. 41 (1995), 449-477.
- [15] J. KIM C. LEBRUN M. PONTECORVO: Scalar-flat Kähler surfaces of all genera, preprint (1994).
- [16] K. Kodaira: A theorem of completeness of characteristic systems for analatic families of compact submanifolds of complex manifolds, Ann. Math., 75 (1962), 450-459.
- [17] B.H.JR. LAWSON M.-L. MICHELSON: Spin Geometry, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1989.
- [18] C. LeBrun: Scalar-flat Kähler metrics on blown-up ruled surfaces, J. reine angew. Math., 420 (1991), 161-177.
- [19] C. LEBRUN: Self-dual manifolds and hyperbolic geometry, Einstein manifolds and Yang-Mills connections (T. Mabuchi and S. Mukay, eds.), Marcel Dekker, 1993, pp. 99-131.
- [20] C. LEBRUN M. SINGER: Existence and deformation theory for scalar-flat Kähler metrics on compact complex surfaces, Inv. Math., 112 (1993), 273-313.
- [21] C. LEBRUN M. SINGER: A kummer-type construction of self-dual 4-manifolds, Math. Ann., 300 (1994), 165-180.
- [22] C. LEBRUN S. SIMANCA: On Kähler surfaces of constant positive scalar curvature, J. Geom. An., (1994).
- [23] A. LICHNEROWICZ: Sur les transformations analytiques des varietes k\u00e4hleriennes, C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 244 (1957), 3011-3014.
- [24] Y. Matsushima: Sur la structure de group d'homeomorphisms d'une certaine variete kählerienne, Nagoya Math. J., 11 (1957), 145-150.
- [25] M.S. NARASIMHAN S. RAMANAN: Deformations of the moduli space of vector bundles over an algebraic curve, Annals of Math., 101 (1975), 391-417.
- [26] M.S. NARASIMHANM C.S. SESHADRI: Stable and unitary vector bundles on a compact Riemann surface, Ann. Math., 82 (1965), 540-567.

- [27] R. Penrose: Non-linear gravitons and curved twistor theory, Gen. Rel. Grav., 7 (1976), 31-52.
- [28] M. PONTECORVO: Algebraic dimension of twistor spaces and scalar curvature of anti-self-dual metrics, Math. Ann., 291 (1991), 113-122.
- [29] M. PONTECORVO: On twistor spaces of anti-self-dual hermitian surfaces, Trans. Am. Math. Soc., **331** (1992), 653-661.
- [30] M. Pontecorvo: On the complex geometry of twistor spaces, Seminari di Geometria. Universitá di Bologna (to appear).
- [31] Y.S. POON: Algebraic dimension of twistor spaces, Math. Ann., 282 (1988), 621-627.
- [32] Y.S. Poon: Twistor spaces with meromorphic functions, Proc. Am. Math. Soc., 111 (1991), 331-338.
- [33] Z. RAN: Deformation of maps, Lectures Notes in Math. (E. Ballico, C. Ciliberto, eds) vol. 1389 Springer Berlin 1989, pp. 247-253.
- [34] Z. RAN: Stability of certain holomorphic maps, J. Diff. Geom., 34 (1991), 37-47.
- [35] C. TAUBES: The existence of anti-self-dual metrics, J. Differential Geom., 36 (1992), 163-253.
- [36] E. WITTEN: Monopoles and four-manifolds, Math. Res. Lett., 1 (1994), 769-796.
- [37] S.T. YAU: On the curvature of compact Hermitian Manifolds, Invent. Math., 25 (1974), 213-239.
- [38] S.T. YAU: On the Ricci-curvature of a complex Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equations, Comment. Pure Appl. Math., 31 (1978), 339-411.

Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 10 luglio 1995 ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 19 ottobre 1995

#### INDIRIZZO DELL'AUTORE:

Massimiliano Pontecorvo - Dipartimento di Matematica della Terza Università di Roma - Via C. Segre 2 - 00146 Roma - Italy