

# Posteriors and prior almost surely not mutually singular in Bayesian experiments related to observations i.i.d. conditionally on the parameter

C. MACCI

RIASSUNTO: *Consideriamo, al variare di  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , il caso di  $n$  osservazioni i.i.d. condizionatamente al parametro. Il risultato principale permette di caratterizzare (per  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  fissato) il caso in cui, quasi certamente, le distribuzioni finali e quella iniziale non sono mutualmente singolari; questa caratterizzazione consiste in una condizione di assoluta continuità relativa alla distribuzione predittiva. Inoltre useremo questa condizione equivalente per presentare un controesempio.*

ABSTRACT: *In this paper we consider the case of  $n$  observations i.i.d. conditionally on the parameter, varying  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The main result allows to characterize (for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  fixed) the case in which, almost surely, posteriors and prior are not mutually singular; this characterization is given by a condition of absolute continuity concerning the predictive distribution. Furthermore we shall use this equivalent condition to present a counterexample.*

## 1 – Introduction

In this paper we shall refer to the frame of Bayesian experiments (see e.g. [2]). Let  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(S, \mathcal{S})$  be two Polish Spaces, let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $(P^a : a \in A)$  be a family of probability

---

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: *Bayesian experiment – Observations i.i.d. conditionally on the parameter – Mutually singular measures.*

A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION: 60A10 – 62A15 – 62B15

measures on  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $(a \mapsto P^a(X) : X \in \mathcal{S})$  are measurable mappings w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Then let  $(\mathcal{E}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$  be a sequence of probability spaces such that, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_n = (A \times \mathcal{S}^n, \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S}^n, \Pi_n)$$

and

$$(1) \quad \Pi_n(E \times X) = \int_E (P^a)^n(X) d\mu(a), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \forall X \in \mathcal{S}^n.$$

Referring to the *Bayesian experiment*  $\mathcal{E}_n$ , we can consider the following terminology:  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  is the *parameter space*,  $(\mathcal{S}^n, \mathcal{S}^n)$  is the *sample space*,  $\mu$  is the *prior distribution*,  $((P^a)^n : a \in A)$  are the *sampling distributions* and the probability measure  $P_n$  on  $\mathcal{S}^n$  defined as follows

$$(2) \quad P_n(X) = \Pi_n(A \times X), \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{S}^n$$

is the *predictive distribution*. Moreover  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is said to be *dominated* if  $\Pi_n \ll \mu \otimes P_n$ .

Since  $(A, \mathcal{A})$  and  $(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$  are Polish Spaces, each  $\mathcal{E}_n$  is *regular* (see e.g. [2], page 31); in other words we have a family  $(\mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) : s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n)$  of probability measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  (*posterior distributions*) such that

$$(3) \quad \Pi_n(E \times X) = \int_X \mu_n(E | s^{(n)}) dP_n(s^{(n)}), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \forall X \in \mathcal{S}^n.$$

We remark that the family  $(\mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) : s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n)$  satisfying (3) is  $P_n$  a.e. unique.

For our purpose it is useful to introduce the following notation.

Let  $g_n$  be a version of the density of the absolutely continuous part of  $\Pi_n$  w.r.t.  $\mu \otimes P_n$  and assume that the singular part of  $\Pi_n$  w.r.t.  $\mu \otimes P_n$  is concentrated on a set  $D_n \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S}^n$  having null measure w.r.t.  $\mu \otimes P_n$ ; in other words the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\Pi_n$  w.r.t.  $\mu \otimes P_n$  can be expressed as follows:

$$\Pi_n(C) = \int_C g_n d[\mu \otimes P_n] + \Pi_n(C \cap D_n), \quad \forall C \in \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S}^n.$$

Furthermore put

$$s^{(n)} = (s_1, \dots, s_n),$$

$$D_n(a, \cdot) = \{s^{(n)} \in S^n : (a, s^{(n)}) \in D_n\} \quad (\forall a \in A)$$

and

$$D_n(\cdot, s^{(n)}) = \{a \in A : (a, s^{(n)}) \in D_n\} \quad (\forall s^{(n)} \in S^n).$$

Finally we shall denote by  $W_n$  the set

$$W_n = \left\{ s^{(n)} \in S^n : \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) = 0 \right\}.$$

Then, by adapting to the Bayesian experiment  $\mathcal{E}_n$  Proposition 1 and Corollary in [3], we can state the following results.

PROPOSITION 1.  $\mu$  a.e. the Lebesgue decomposition of  $(P^a)^n$  w.r.t.  $P_n$  is

$$(4) \quad (P^a)^n(X) = \int_X g_n(a, s^{(n)}) dP_n(s^{(n)}) + P^a(X \cap D_n(a, \cdot)), \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{S}^n.$$

$P_n$  a.e. the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)})$  w.r.t.  $\mu$  is

$$(5) \quad \mu_n(E | s^{(n)}) = \int_E g_n(a, s^{(n)}) d\mu(a) + \mu_n(E \cap D_n(\cdot, s^{(n)}) | s^{(n)}), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

COROLLARY 2. The following statements are equivalent:

$\mathcal{E}_n$  dominated;

$$\mu(\{a \in A : (P^a)^n \ll P_n\}) = 1;$$

$$P_n(\{s^{(n)} \in S^n : \mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) \ll \mu\}) = 1.$$

REMARK. As a consequence of a remark in [1] (page 58), we can consider a function  $f_n$ , measurable w.r.t.  $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{S}^n$ , such that  $f_n(\cdot, s^{(n)})$  is a version of the density of the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)})$  w.r.t.  $\mu$ . Thus we have

$$\{s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n : \mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) \ll \mu\} = \left\{s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n : \int_A f_n(a, s^{(n)}) d\mu(a) = 1\right\} \in \mathcal{S}^n$$

and

$$\{s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n : \mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) \perp \mu\} = \left\{s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n : \int_A f_n(a, s^{(n)}) d\mu(a) = 0\right\} \in \mathcal{S}^n.$$

Moreover, by reasoning in a similar way, we can also say that

$$\{a \in A : (P^a)^n \ll P_n\} \in \mathcal{A}.$$

By using these results, we can prove the next

PROPOSITION 3. *The following implication holds:*

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 \text{ dominated} \Rightarrow \mathcal{E}_n \text{ dominated} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

PROOF. By taking into account Proposition 1 and Corollary 2, we obtain (6) showing that

$$(7) \quad \mu\left(\left\{a \in A : P^a(X) = \int_X g_1(a, s) dP_1(s), \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{S}\right\}\right) = 1$$

implies

$$(8) \quad P_n(\{s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n : \mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) \ll \mu\}) = 1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus let us start from (7) and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrarily fixed.

By (2), (1) and Fubini theorem we have

$$P_n(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) = \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}),$$

$$\forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}$$

whence we obtain

$$P_n(W_n) = \int_{W_n} \left[ \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s^{(n)}) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) = 0.$$

Then, by using Fubini Theorem and by (1), we have

$$\forall E \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \frac{\int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)} \right] dP_n(s^{(n)}) = \\ &= \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) = \\ &= \int_E \left[ \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) \right] d\mu(a) = \\ &= \int_E (P^a)^n(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) d\mu(a) = \Pi_n(E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (8) follows from (3) and the proof is complete. □

In this paper we shall concentrate the attention on the sets

$$Z_n = \{s^{(n)} \in S^n : \mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)}) \perp \mu\} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

and, in Section 2, we shall give a characterization for  $P_n(Z_n) = 0$  in terms of a condition of absolute continuity concerning  $P_n$  (Theorem 5).

Finally we remark that, as an immediate consequence of Proposition 3 and Corollary 2, we have

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 \text{ dominated} \Rightarrow P_n(Z_n) = 0 \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then in Section 3 we shall use Theorem 5 for presenting a counterexample for the inverse implication of (9).

In this counterexample we shall consider  $A = C[0, 1]$ ; the author thinks the inverse implication of (9) holds when  $A$  is an Euclidean finite-dimensional space.

In the next Sections we shall consider  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrarily fixed; then we shall denote by  $I_k = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  the generic subset of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  having  $k$  elements (thus, in particular, we have  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) and by  $I_k^c = \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-k}\}$  the complementar set of  $I_k$ .

**2 – A characterization for  $P_n(Z_n) = 0$**

In this Section we shall assume  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  arbitrarily fixed.

Moreover, for any  $I_k = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  having  $k$  elements, we shall use the notation  $s_{I_k} = (s_{i_1}, \dots, s_{i_k})$  and we shall consider the family  $(q_{I_k}(\cdot, s^{(n)}) : s^{(n)} \in \mathcal{S}^n)$  of positive measures on  $\mathcal{A}$  defined as follows:

$$q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)}) = \int_{E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_{i_1}) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_{i_k})} \left[ \prod_{j \in I_k^c} g_1(a, s_j) \right] d\mu_k(a|s_{I_k}), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Finally let us introduce the following positive measures on  $\mathcal{S}^n$  which play an important role in this Section:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n, \emptyset}(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) &= \\ (10) \quad &= \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}), \\ &\quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}; \end{aligned}$$

for any  $I_k = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$  having  $k$  elements

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n, I_k}(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) &= \\ (11) \quad &= \int_{[X_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_k}] \times [X_{j_1} \times \dots \times X_{j_{n-k}}]} q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)}) d[P_k \otimes (P_1)^{n-k}](s_{I_k}, s_{I_k^c}), \\ &\quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_{n,I_n}(X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) &= \\
 (12) \quad &= \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \mu_n(D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)}) dP_n(s^{(n)}), \\
 &\quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By taking into account (1) and (4) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi_n(E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) &= \\
 &= \int_E \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \int_{X_i} g_1(a, s_i) dP_1(s_i) + P^a(X_i \cap D_1(a, \cdot)) \right] d\mu(a), \\
 &\quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}
 \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Pi_n(E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) &= \int_E \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{X_i} g_1(a, s_i) dP_1(s_i) \right] d\mu(a) + \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \int_E \left[ \prod_{i \in I_k} P^a(X_i \cap D_1(a, \cdot)) \prod_{j \in I_k^c} \int_{X_j} g_1(a, s_j) dP_1(s_j) \right] d\mu(a) + \\
 &+ \int_E \prod_{i=1}^n P^a(X_i \cap D_1(a, \cdot)) d\mu(a) = \\
 &= \int_E \left[ \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) \right] d\mu(a) + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \int_{E \times X_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_k}} \cdot \\
 &\cdot \left[ \prod_{i \in I_k} 1_{D_1}(a, s_i) \int_{X_{j_1} \times \dots \times X_{j_{n-k}}} \prod_{j \in I_k^c} g_1(a, s_j) d(P_1)^{n-k}(s_{I_k^c}) \right] d\Pi_k(a, s_{I_k}) + \\
 &+ \int_{E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \prod_{i=1}^n 1_{D_1}(a, s_i) d\Pi_n(a, s^{(n)}) = \\
 &= \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) +
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \int_{[E \times X_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_k}] \times [X_{j_1} \times \dots \times X_{j_{n-k}}]} \prod_{i \in I_k} 1_{D_1}(a, s_i) \cdot \\
 & \cdot \prod_{j \in I_k^c} g_1(a, s_j) d[\Pi_k \otimes (P_1)^{n-k}]((a, s_{I_k}), s_{I_k^c}) + \\
 & + \int_{E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \prod_{i=1}^n 1_{D_1}(a, s_i) d\Pi_n(a, s^{(n)}), \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by (3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (13) \quad & \Pi_n(E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n) = \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) + \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \int_{[X_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_k}] \times [X_{j_1} \times \dots \times X_{j_{n-k}}]} q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)}) d[P_k \otimes (P_1)^{n-k}](s_{I_k}, s_{I_k^c}) + \\
 & + \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)}) dP_n(s^{(n)}), \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by taking into account (2), (10), (11) and (12), we have

$$P_n = Q_{n,\emptyset} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} Q_{n,I_k} + Q_{n,I_n}$$

and we can say that the positive measures defined by (10), (11) and (12) are absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $P_n$ .

From now on it will be useful to consider the following set:

$$V_n = \left\{ s^{(n)} \in S^n : \frac{dQ_{n,\emptyset}}{dP_n}(s^{(n)}) = 0 \right\}.$$

The aim of this Section is to give a characterization for the condition  $P_n(Z_n) = 0$ .



For doing this we need a propedeutic result which allows to separate the absolutely continuous part and the singular part of the posteriors w.r.t. the prior. In particular it shows that,  $P_n$  a.e., the singular part of  $\mu_n(\cdot|s^{(n)})$  is concentrated on a subset of  $\cup_{i=1}^n D_1(\cdot, s_i)$  and, by construction, the singular parts of  $\mu_1(\cdot|s_1), \dots, \mu_1(\cdot|s_n)$  are respectively concentrated on  $D_1(\cdot, s_1), \dots, D_1(\cdot, s_n)$ .

LEMMA 4.  $P_n$  a.e. a family  $(\mu_n(\cdot|s^{(n)}) : s^{(n)} \in S^n)$  of posterior distributions satisfies the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_n(E|s^{(n)}) &= \frac{dQ_{n,\emptyset}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} \frac{\int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)} + \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \frac{dQ_{n,I_k}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} \frac{q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)})}{q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)})} + \\ &+ \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n)|s^{(n)}), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. In this formula troubles would arise if

$$\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) = 0$$

or

$$q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)}) = 0.$$

But, by (10) and (11) respectively, we obtain

$$Q_{n,\emptyset}(W_n) = 0$$

and

$$Q_{n,I_k}(\{s^{(n)} \in S^n : q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)}) = 0\}) = 0.$$

Then, if  $P_n(W_n) > 0$ , we have  $P_n(V_n|W_n) = 1$ .

Similarly, if  $P_n(\{s^{(n)} \in S^n : q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)}) = 0\}) > 0$ , we have

$$P_n(\{s^{(n)} \in S^n : \frac{dQ_{n,I_k}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} = 0\} | \{s^{(n)} \in S^n : q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)}) = 0\}) = 1.$$

In conclusion we can say that,  $P_n$  a.e., formula in Lemma 4 is well defined.

PROOF OF LEMMA 4. By taking into account (3), the proof is complete if we show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (14) \quad & \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \frac{dQ_{n,\emptyset}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} \frac{\int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)} + \right. \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \frac{dQ_{n,I_k}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} \frac{q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)})}{q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)})} + \\
 & \left. + \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)}) \right] dP_n(s^{(n)}) = \\
 & = \Pi_n(E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n), \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then (14) follows from (10), (11) and (13).

Indeed, by starting from the left hand side in (14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \frac{dQ_{n,\emptyset}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} \frac{\int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)} + \right. \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \frac{dQ_{n,I_k}(s^{(n)})}{dP_n} \frac{q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)})}{q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)})} + \\
 & \left. + \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)}) \right] dP_n(s^{(n)}) = \\
 & = \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \frac{\int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)} dQ_{n,\emptyset}(s^{(n)}) + \\
 & + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \frac{q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)})}{q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)})} dQ_{n,I_k}(s^{(n)}) + \\
 & + \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)}) dP_n(s^{(n)}) =
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \left[ \int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(P_1)^n(s^{(n)}) + \\
 &+ \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \int_{[X_{i_1} \times \dots \times X_{i_k}] \times [X_{j_1} \times \dots \times X_{j_{n-k}}]} q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)}) d[P_k \otimes (P_1)^{n-k}](s_{I_k}, s_{I_k^c}) + \\
 &+ \int_{X_1 \times \dots \times X_n} \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)}) dP_n(s^{(n)}) = \\
 &= \Pi_n(E \times X_1 \times \dots \times X_n), \quad \forall E \in \mathcal{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall X_1, \dots, X_n \in \mathcal{S}. \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

We remark that,  $P_n$  a.e., the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)})$  w.r.t.  $\mu$  is

$$E \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto \frac{dQ_{n,\emptyset}}{dP_n}(s^{(n)}) \frac{\int_E \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}.$$

Indeed,  $P_n$  a.e., the sets  $D_1(\cdot, s_1), \dots, D_1(\cdot, s_n)$  has null probability w.r.t.  $\mu$  because (for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ) we have

$$\int_{S^n} \mu(D_1(\cdot, s_i)) dP_n(s^{(n)}) = \int_S \mu(D_1(\cdot, s_i)) dP_1(s_i) = [\mu \otimes P_1](D_1) = 0;$$

then we can conclude that,  $P_n$  a.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
 E \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto &\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \frac{dQ_{n,I_k}}{dP_n}(s^{(n)}) \frac{q_{I_k}(E, s^{(n)})}{q_{I_k}(A, s^{(n)})} + \\
 &+ \mu_n(E \cap D_1(\cdot, s_1) \cap \dots \cap D_1(\cdot, s_n) | s^{(n)})
 \end{aligned}$$

is the singular part of  $\mu_n(\cdot | s^{(n)})$  because it is concentrated on a subset of  $\cup_{i=1}^n D_1(\cdot, s_i)$  which has null probability w.r.t.  $\mu$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 4 we have the next

**THEOREM 5.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

$$P_n(Z_n) = 0; \quad P_n \ll Q_{n,\emptyset}.$$

Consequently  $P_n(Z_n) = 0$  implies  $P_n \ll (P_1)^n$ .

PROOF. We know that, in general,  $Q_{n,\emptyset} \ll P_n$ ; thus we have the following equivalence:

$$(15) \quad P_n \ll Q_{n,\emptyset} \Leftrightarrow P_n(V_n) = 0.$$

Thus we must show that

$$P_n(Z_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow P_n(V_n) = 0.$$

We can remark that, as a consequence of (10), we have

$$(16) \quad Q_{n,\emptyset}(W_n) = 0$$

and, moreover, the obvious identity

$$P_n(Z_n) = P_n(Z_n \cap W_n) + P_n(Z_n \cap (W_n)^c)$$

becomes

$$(17) \quad P_n(Z_n) = P_n(Z_n \cap W_n) + P_n(V_n);$$

indeed, as a consequence of Lemma 4,  $P_n$  a.e. the absolutely continuous part of  $\mu_n(\cdot|s^{(n)})$  w.r.t.  $\mu$  is

$$E \in \mathcal{A} \mapsto \frac{dQ_{n,\emptyset}}{dP_n}(s^{(n)}) \frac{\int \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}{\int_A \prod_{i=1}^n g_1(a, s_i) d\mu(a)}.$$

Then, as an immediate consequence of (17), we have

$$P_n(Z_n) = 0 \Rightarrow P_n(V_n) = 0$$

while, if  $P_n(V_n) = 0$ , we obtain  $P_n(Z_n) = 0$  from (17); indeed, by (15) and (16), we have  $P_n(W_n) = 0$ .  $\square$

### 3 – A counterexample for the inverse implication of (9)

First of all it is useful to introduce the following notation. Let  $\lambda$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $[0, 1]$ , let  $m$  be the Lebesgue measure on the real line, let  $h$  be the function

$$h(y, v) = \frac{\exp[-\frac{y^2}{2v}]}{\sqrt{2\pi v}} \quad (\text{with } y \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } v > 0)$$

and, finally, let  $G$  be the probability measure on the real line defined as follows:

$$G(Y) = \int_Y h(y, 1) dm(y), \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then let us consider the following positions:

$(A, \mathcal{A})$  is  $C[0, 1]$  equipped with the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra such that  $(a \mapsto a(t) : t \in [0, 1])$  are Borel mappings (see e.g. [4], page 212);

$(S, \mathcal{S})$  is  $[0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}$  equipped with the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ;

$\mu$  is the Wiener measure (see e.g. [4], page 218);

finally, for any  $a \in A$ , let  $P^a$  be such that

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} P^a(T \times Y) &= \frac{1}{2} [\lambda(\{t \in [0, 1] : (t, a(t)) \in T \times Y\}) + \\ &+ \lambda(T)G(Y)], \quad \forall T \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \text{ and } \forall Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover let us consider the following notation:

$$y_{I_k} = (y_{i_1}, \dots, y_{i_k}) \in \mathbb{R}^k; \quad t_{I_k} = (t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_k}) \in [0, 1]^k;$$

for any  $t_{I_k} \in [0, 1]^k$  such that  $t_{i_h} \neq t_{i_j}$  for  $h \neq j$ , let  $(\sigma(i_1), \dots, \sigma(i_k))$  be the permutation of  $I_k = \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$  such that  $t_{\sigma(i_1)} < \dots < t_{\sigma(i_k)}$  and put

$$w_{t_{I_k}}(y_{I_k}) = \frac{\exp \left[ -\frac{y_{\sigma(i_1)}^2}{2t_{\sigma(i_1)}} - \sum_{j=2}^k \frac{(y_{\sigma(i_j)} - y_{\sigma(i_{j-1})})^2}{2(t_{\sigma(i_j)} - t_{\sigma(i_{j-1})})} \right]}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^k t_{\sigma(i_1)} \prod_{j=2}^k (t_{\sigma(i_j)} - t_{\sigma(i_{j-1})})}}.$$

Now let us consider  $T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]}$  and  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$  be arbitrarily fixed.

By taking into account (2), (1) and (18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_n((T_1 \times Y_1) \times \dots \times (T_n \times Y_n)) &= \Pi_n(A \times (T_1 \times Y_1) \times \dots \times (T_n \times Y_n)) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n [\lambda(T_i \cap \{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}) + \lambda(T_i)G(Y_i)] d\mu(a) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(T_i \cap \{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}) d\mu(a) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \left[ \prod_{j \in I_k^c} \lambda(T_j)G(Y_j) \right] \int_A \prod_{i \in I_k} \lambda(T_i \cap \{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}) d\mu(a) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(T_i)G(Y_i)\mu(A) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_A \left[ \int_{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n} \prod_{i=1}^n 1_{\{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}}(t_i) d(\lambda)^n(t_{I_n}) \right] d\mu(a) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \left[ \prod_{j \in I_k^c} \lambda(T_j)G(Y_j) \right] \cdot \\
&\cdot \int_A \left[ \int_{T_{i_1} \times \dots \times T_{i_k}} \prod_{i \in I_k} 1_{\{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}}(t_i) d(\lambda)^k(t_{I_k}) \right] d\mu(a) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(T_i)G(Y_i) = \\
&= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n} \left[ \int_A \prod_{i=1}^n 1_{\{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}}(t_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(\lambda)^n(t_{I_n}) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \left[ \prod_{j \in I_k^c} \lambda(T_j)G(Y_j) \right] \cdot \\
&\cdot \int_{T_{i_1} \times \dots \times T_{i_k}} \left[ \int_A \prod_{i \in I_k} 1_{\{t \in [0, 1] : a(t) \in Y_i\}}(t_i) d\mu(a) \right] d(\lambda)^k(t_{I_k}) + \\
&+ \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(T_i)G(Y_i) =
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2^n} \int_{T_1 \times \dots \times T_n} \left[ \int_{Y_1 \times \dots \times Y_n} w_{t_{I_n}}(y_{I_n}) dm^n(y_{I_n}) \right] d(\lambda)^n(t_{I_n}) + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{I_k} \left[ \prod_{j \in I_k^c} \lambda(T_j) G(Y_j) \right] \cdot \\
 &\cdot \int_{T_{i_1} \times \dots \times T_{i_k}} \left[ \int_{Y_{i_1} \times \dots \times Y_{i_k}} w_{t_{I_k}}(y_{I_k}) dm^k(y_{I_k}) \right] d(\lambda)^k(t_{I_k}) + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda(T_i) G(Y_i).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $P_n$  admits positive density w.r.t.  $[\lambda \otimes m]^n$ .

Now let us consider the case  $n = 1$ ; we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1(T \times Y) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_T \left[ \int_Y \frac{\exp \left[ -\frac{y^2}{2t} \right]}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} dm(y) \right] d\lambda(t) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda(T) G(Y) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{T \times Y} [h(y, t) + h(y, 1)] d[\lambda \otimes m](t, y),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\forall T \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Then  $P_1$  has positive density w.r.t.  $\lambda \otimes m$  and by noting that

$$[\lambda \otimes m](\{(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{R} : y = a(t)\}) = 0 \quad (\forall a \in A),$$

we can conclude that  $\mathcal{E}_1$  is undominated; indeed  $\{a \in A : P^a \ll P_1\} = \emptyset$ .

Moreover, by taking into account (4) and (18), we have

$$g_1(a, (t, y)) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d[\lambda \otimes G]}{dP_1}(t, y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\frac{d[\lambda \otimes G]}{d[\lambda \otimes m]}(t, y)}{\frac{dP_1}{d[\lambda \otimes m]}(t, y)} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{h(y, 1)}{h(y, t) + h(y, 1)} \right).$$

Then we can say that  $Q_{n, \emptyset}$  also admits positive density w.r.t.  $(\lambda \otimes m)^n$ ; indeed, by (10), we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\forall T_1, \dots, T_n \in \mathcal{B}_{[0,1]} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}} \\
 &Q_{n, \emptyset}((T_1 \times Y_1) \times \dots \times (T_n \times Y_n)) = \\
 &= \frac{1}{4^n} \int_{(T_1 \times Y_1) \times \dots \times (T_n \times Y_n)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^n \exp \left[ -\frac{y_i^2}{2} \right]}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} d[\lambda \otimes m]^n((t_1, y_1), \dots, (t_n, y_n)).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have that  $P_n \ll Q_{n,\emptyset}$  and, by Theorem 5,  $P_n(Z_n) = 0$ . Then, since  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrarily fixed, this is a counterexample for the inverse implication of (9).

### Acknowledgements

I thank the referees. Their comments led to an improvement in readability of the paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] C. DELLACHERIE – P. MEYER: *Probabilités et Potentiel (Chap. V-VIII): Théorie des Martingales*, Hermann, Paris, 1980.
- [2] J. FLORENS – M. MOUCHART – J. ROLIN: *Elements of Bayesian Statistics*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1990.
- [3] C. MACCI: *On the Lebesgue Decomposition of the Posterior Distribution with respect to the Prior in Regular Bayesian Experiments*, *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **26** (1996), 147-152.
- [4] K. R. PARTHASARATHY: *Probability Measures on Metric Spaces*, Academic Press, London, 1967.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 14 dicembre 1995  
modificato il 5 giugno 1996  
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 11 giugno 1996.  
Bozze licenziate il 30 luglio 1996*

INDIRIZZO DELL'AUTORE:

Claudio Macci – Dipartimento di Matematica dell' Università degli Studi di Roma "Tor Vergata" – Viale della Ricerca Scientifica – 00133 Roma, Italia