

Bounds for products of singular values of a matrix

R. PELUSO – G. PIAZZA

RIASSUNTO: Assegnata una matrice complessa quadrata di ordine n con valori singolari $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A) > 0$, in questo articolo sono presentati limiti inferiori e superiori per $\prod_{i \in I} \sigma_i(A)$, $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In particolare sono migliorati alcuni noti limiti inferiori per il più piccolo valore singolare di A .

ABSTRACT: Given an order n complex matrix with singular values $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A) > 0$, in this paper some lower and upper bounds for $\prod_{i \in I} \sigma_i(A)$, $I \subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, are shown. In particular some note lower bounds for the smallest singular value of A are improved.

1 – Introduction

Let A be a square complex matrix of order n , $n \geq 3$, and let $\sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A) > 0$ be the singular values of A . In this paper we show some lower and upper bounds for the product of k singular values of A , $k < n$, involving only the determinant of A and the 2-norms of the rows of A , that we denote by r_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since the singular values of A are the same of PA , where P is a permutation matrix in the following we suppose $r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_n > 0$, without loss of generality.

The simplest inequalities are

$$(1) \quad \sigma_1(A)\sigma_2(A)\dots\sigma_k(A) \leq P_k \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k r_i, \quad P_k \leq 1$$

and

$$(2) \quad \sigma_{n-k+1}(A)\sigma_{n-k}(A)\dots\sigma_n(A) \geq Q_k \left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} r_i}, \quad Q_k \geq 1.$$

Paying attention to the rows of A inequality (1) can be modified in the following way

$$\sigma_1(A)\sigma_2(A)\dots\sigma_k(A) \leq R_k \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k r_i \left(\frac{k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n t_i}{n}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}, \quad R_k \leq 1$$

where

$$t_i = \left(\frac{r_i}{r_k}\right)^2, \quad i = k+1, \dots, n.$$

While inequality (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sigma_{n-k+1}(A)\sigma_{n-k}(A)\dots\sigma_n(A) \geq \\ & \geq T_k \left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\left(\frac{n-k + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n t_i}{n}\right)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} r_i}, \end{aligned}$$

with $T_k \geq 1$ and where

$$t_i = \left(\frac{r_i}{r_{n-k}}\right)^2, \quad i = n-k+1, \dots, n.$$

The constants P_k, Q_k, R_k and T_k depend on matrix A and an arbitrary parameter ψ , $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$. If $\psi = 0$ then $P_k = Q_k = R_k = T_k = 1$. For $k = 1$ and $\psi = 0$ (hence $Q_1 = 1$), inequality (2) becomes

$$\sigma_n(A) \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i},$$

that is the some lower bound for the smallest singular values given in [2] (considering only the rows of A). This bound is improved in [4]. We prefer to rewrite the bound given in [4], referring only to rows of A , as

$$\sigma_n(A) \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{n-1+t}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}G\right) \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i}, \quad t = t_n,$$

where

$$G = \frac{|\det A|^2}{r_{n-1}^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i^2\right) \left(\frac{n-1+t}{n-1}\right)^n}.$$

The bound is better than bound (3) with $k = 1$ and $\psi = 0$. Moreover with an oportune choise for ψ , inequality (3) gives, for $k = 1$:

$$\sigma_n(A) \geq \left(\frac{n-1}{n-1+t}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(\frac{1-G}{1-\frac{n}{n-1}G}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i},$$

that improves the bound given in [4].

Moreover in this paper the following bound is proved

$$\sigma_n(A) \geq \min\left(\frac{r_{n-2}}{\gamma}, r_n\right) \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{1 - S^2}}$$

where

$$S = \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^n r_i} \leq 1.$$

and

$$\gamma = \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{1 - S^2}}.$$

Since $1 \leq \gamma \leq \sqrt{2}$, it is improved also another bound given in [4], which can be written

$$\sigma_n(A) \geq \min\left(\frac{r_{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}}, r_n\right) \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{1 - S^2}}.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In sections 2, 3, 4 and 5 starting from the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality bounds for the product of k among n positive numbers σ_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are derived. These bounds involve $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$ and $\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i$. In section 6 these results are applied to obtain bounds for the product of k singular values of a matrix A involving the determinant and the Frobenius norm of A . Starting from these bounds and taking a nonsingular arbitrary matrix X new bounds are obtained rewriting the previous for matrix $X^{-1}A$ and using classical inequalities for the singular values of the product of two matrices. In section 7 for some particular choices for matrix X the results written previously are obtained. Finally in section 8 the bounds founds in this paper are compared with those given in [2] and [4].

Throughout the paper we use the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi &:= \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i & \sigma^2 &:= \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2 \\ I &\subset \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, I \neq \emptyset, & I &\neq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}; \\ \bar{I} &\text{ the complementary set of } I; \\ p(I) &:= \prod_{i \in I} \sigma_i & s^2(I) &:= \sum_{i \in I} \sigma_i^2 \\ \|A\|_F &\text{ the Frobenius norm of } A. \end{aligned}$$

When it is not essential to specify the set, we also write $p = p(I)$, $s = s(I)$ $\bar{p} = p(\bar{I})$ and $\bar{s} = s(\bar{I})$.

2 – The Main Lemma

LEMMA 2.1. *For $p := p(I)$, $s := s(I)$, $card(I) := k$, the following inequalities hold:*

$$(4) \quad p^2 \geq \frac{\Pi^2}{\left[\frac{\sigma^2 - k(p^2)^{1/k}}{n - k} \right]^{n-k}}$$

$$(5) \quad s^2 \geq \frac{k(\Pi^2)^{1/k}}{\left[\frac{\sigma^2 - s^2}{n - k} \right]^{\frac{n-k}{k}}},$$

and the equality occurs if and only if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_n$.

PROOF. From arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we have

$$(6) \quad \Pi^2 \leq \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^n; \quad p^2 \leq \left(\frac{s^2}{k}\right)^k; \quad \bar{p}^2 \leq \left(\frac{\bar{s}^2}{n-k}\right)^{n-k};$$

with equality if and only if $\sigma_1 = \sigma_2 = \dots = \sigma_n$. Furthermore, since

$$(7) \quad p\bar{p} = \Pi,$$

using the third inequality in (6), we have

$$p^2 \geq \frac{\Pi^2}{\left[\frac{\bar{s}^2}{n-k}\right]^{n-k}} = \frac{\Pi^2}{\left[\frac{\sigma^2 - s^2}{n-k}\right]^{n-k}}.$$

Now (4) follows from the second inequality in (6). Analogously, (5) is a consequence of $s^2 + \bar{s}^2 = \sigma^2$, and of the second inequality in (6). \square

COROLLARY 2.1. Put

$$q_k = \frac{n-k}{k} (\Pi^2)^{\frac{1}{n-k}}$$

and

$$g_k(x) = x^{\frac{n}{k}} - \frac{\sigma^2}{k}x + q_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, n-1$$

we have

i) the inequality in Lemma 2.1 is equivalent to

$$g_k(x) \leq 0, \quad x > 0$$

with $x = (p^2)^{1/(n-k)}$;

ii) the inequality in Lemma 2.1 is equivalent to

$$g_k(x) \leq 0, \quad x > 0$$

with $x = (s^2/k)^{k/(n-k)}$.

\square

3 – On the function $g_k(x)$

Let $g_k(x)$ be the function defined in Corollary 2.1. Evidently $g_k''(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$, and

$$(8) \quad \hat{x} = \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)^{\frac{k}{n-k}}$$

is the unique point such that

$$g_k(\hat{x}) = \min_{x \geq 0} g_k(x).$$

Also from the first inequality in (6):

$$(9) \quad g_k(\hat{x}) = \frac{n-k}{k} \left[(\Pi^2)^{\frac{1}{n-k}} - \left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)^{\frac{n}{n-k}} \right] \leq 0.$$

Furthermore, $g_k(0) = q_k > 0$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} g_k(x) = +\infty$. Hence $g_k(x)$ admits only two zeros r'_k, r''_k , with $r'_k \leq r''_k$. Consequently, from Corollary 2.1, the following bounds for p and s are established:

$$(10) \quad (r'_k)^{n-k} \leq p^2 \leq (r''_k)^{n-k}$$

$$(11) \quad k(r'_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k}} \leq s^2 \leq k(r''_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k}}.$$

LEMMA 3.1. *The following equalities hold*

$$(12) \quad (r'_k)^{n-k} (r''_{n-k})^k = \Pi^2$$

$$(13) \quad k(r'_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k}} + (n-k)(r''_{n-k})^{\frac{k}{n-k}} = \sigma^2.$$

PROOF. A simple calculus reveals that

$$g_{n-k}(x) = \frac{x^{\frac{n}{n-k}}}{q_k} g_k \left(\frac{(\Pi^2)^{\frac{1}{n-k}}}{x^{\frac{k}{n-k}}} \right),$$

from which (12) follows evaluating $g_{n-k}(x)$ in r''_{n-k} . Now (13) is a consequence of (12) and $g_k(r'_k) = 0$. \square

REMARK. Exchanging the role of k and $n - k$ in (12) we have

$$(14) \quad \left(\frac{r''_k}{r'_k} \right)^{n-k} = \left(\frac{r''_{n-k}}{r'_{n-k}} \right)^k.$$

Now assuming

$$\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_n > 0$$

from (10) and (11) it follows

$$(15) \quad (r'_k)^{n-k} \leq \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \sigma_{n-j}^2 \leq \prod_{j=1}^k \sigma_j^2 \leq (r''_k)^{n-k}$$

and

$$(16) \quad k(r'_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k}} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \sigma_{n-j}^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^k \sigma_j^2 \leq k(r''_k)^{\frac{n-k}{k}}.$$

REMARK. For $k = 1$ and $k = n - 1$ (15) furnish, respectively

$$\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_n^2} \leq \left(\frac{r''_1}{r'_1} \right)^{n-1}$$

and

$$\frac{\sigma_1^2}{\sigma_n^2} \leq \frac{r''_{n-1}}{r'_{n-1}}.$$

Equation (14) shows that these are the same bound.

4 – Lower and upper bounds for the zeros of $g_k(x)$

Let

$$x_0 = t \frac{(\Pi^2)^{\frac{1}{n-k}}}{\sigma^2}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Evaluating $g'_k(x)$ in $x = x_0$, we have

$$g'_k(x_0) = \frac{\sigma^2}{k} \left[\frac{t^{\frac{n-k}{k}}}{n^{\frac{n-k}{k}}} \left(\frac{\Pi^2}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} \right)^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} - 1 \right].$$

Therefore

$$g'_k(x_0) \leq 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad t \leq n,$$

and

$$g'_k(x_0) = 0 \quad \text{if and only if } \sigma_1 = \dots = \sigma_n, \text{ and } t = n.$$

Putting

$$(17) \quad \rho = \frac{\Pi^2}{\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)^n} \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \psi = \frac{t}{n}, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 1.$$

it is

$$g'(x_0) < 0, \quad \text{if } (\rho, \psi) \neq (1, 1).$$

Supposing $\sigma_i \neq \sigma_j$, for any $i \neq j$, it is $\rho < 1$. In the following we shall assume that $\rho < 1$. Then the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $\rho < 1$, for every ψ , $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$, it is*

$$(18) \quad (n - k) \frac{(\Pi^2)^{\frac{1}{n-k}}}{\sigma^2} C_k(\psi, \rho) \leq r'_k \leq r''_k \leq \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{k C_{n-k}(\psi, \rho)} \right]^{\frac{k}{n-k}}.$$

where

$$(19) \quad C_k(\psi, \rho) = \frac{1 - \psi^{\frac{n}{k}} \rho^{\frac{1}{k}}}{1 - \psi^{\frac{n-k}{k}} \rho^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1$$

PROOF. Since

$$0 = g_k(r'_k) = g_k(x_0) + g'_k(x_0)(r'_k - x_0) + g''_k(\xi) \frac{(r'_k - x_0)^2}{2},$$

$$\xi \in (x_0, r'_k) \quad \text{or} \quad \xi \in (r'_k, x_0).$$

and $g''_k(x) > 0$, for $x > 0$, it follows

$$x_0 - \frac{g_k(x_0)}{g'_k(x_0)} \leq r'_k.$$

Thus, computing $g_k(x_0)$, it follows

$$(n - k) \frac{(\Pi^2)^{\frac{1}{n-k}}}{\sigma^2} C_k(\psi, \rho) \leq r'_k$$

and, as a consequence of (12) we have

$$r''_k \leq \left[\frac{\sigma^2}{k C_{n-k}(\psi, \rho)} \right]^{\frac{k}{n-k}}. \quad \square$$

5 – On the function $C_k(\psi, \rho)$

For the function

$$C_k(\psi, \rho) = \frac{1 - \psi^{\frac{n}{k}} \rho^{\frac{1}{k}}}{1 - \psi^{\frac{n-k}{k}} \rho^{\frac{1}{k}}}, \quad 0 \leq \psi \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \rho < 1$$

we have:

- a) $C_k(\psi, \rho) \geq 1$, and $C_k(\psi, \rho) = 1$ if and only if $\psi = 0$ or $\psi = 1$;
- b) $C_k(\psi, \rho)$ assumes the maximum value in $\psi_k(\rho)$, unique solution in $[0, 1]$ of the equation

$$(20) \quad C_k(\psi, \rho) = \frac{n}{n-k} \psi$$

or equivalently

$$(21) \quad \frac{k}{n-k} \psi^{\frac{n}{k}} \rho^{\frac{1}{k}} - \frac{n}{n-k} \psi + 1 = 0;$$

- c) if $\psi_k = \psi_k(\rho)$ is the solution of (21), then

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \psi_k(\rho) = 1$$

and

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \psi'_k(\rho) = \infty;$$

- d) from (20) and c):

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} C_k(\psi_k, \rho) = \frac{n}{n-k} \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \psi_k(\rho) = \frac{n}{n-k}.$$

Moreover we observe that, for n even and $k = n/2$, (21) reduces to

$$\rho^{\frac{2}{n}}\psi^2 - 2\psi + 1 = 0$$

from which

$$(22) \quad \psi_{n/2}(\rho) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \rho^{\frac{2}{n}}}}{\rho^{\frac{2}{n}}}.$$

Equation (22) can be considered as an approximation to $\psi_k(\rho)$, solution of (21) for every k .

Moreover it is easy to verify that for every function $\psi = \psi(\rho)$, such that

$$(23) \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \psi(\rho) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} \psi'(\rho) = \infty,$$

it is

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 1} C_k(\psi(\rho), \rho) = \frac{n}{n - k}.$$

6 – Application to singular values

Let A be an order n nonsingular complex matrix with singular values

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \sigma_1(A) \geq \sigma_2(A) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_n(A) = \sigma_{\min}(A).$$

In this case

$$\Pi = |\det A| \quad \sigma^2 = \|A\|_F^2$$

$$\rho = \frac{|\det A|^2}{\left(\frac{\|A\|_F^2}{n}\right)^n}.$$

Evidently $\rho = 1$ if and only if A is proportional to a unitary matrix. Now, from (15) and Theorem 4.1, we have, for an arbitrary function $\psi = \psi(\rho)$, $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$,

$$(24) \quad \left[\frac{n - k}{n} C_k(\psi, \rho)\right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\left[\frac{\|A\|_F^2}{n}\right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}}} \leq \sigma_n \dots \sigma_{n-k+1} \leq \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_k \leq \left[\frac{n}{k C_{n-k}(\psi, \rho)}\right]^{\frac{k}{2}} \left[\frac{\|A\|_F^2}{n}\right]^{\frac{k}{2}}.$$

We note that if ψ is chosen to satisfy (23), then (24) is an equality when A is proportional to a unitary matrix.

In [1] it is shown a bound for the condition number of a matrix A involving the determinant and the Frobenius norm of A , as in inequality (24). Finally, to improve the bounds in (24) we remark that if A and B are two order n complex matrices (see [3], p. 193), then

$$\prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{i_r+j_r-r}(AB) \leq \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{i_r}(A) \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{j_r}(B), \quad k = 1, \dots, n.$$

In particular, if $i_r = j_r = r, r = 1, \dots, k$, then

$$\prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(AB) \leq \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(A) \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(B),$$

while, for $j_r = n - r + 1, i_r = r, r = 1, \dots, k$, we have

$$\prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{n-r+1}(AB) \leq \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(A) \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{n-r+1}(B).$$

From the previous inequality it follows, for every nonsingular matrix X :

$$\prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(A) = \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(XX^{-1}A) \leq \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(X) \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(X^{-1}A),$$

and

$$\prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{n-r+1}(X^{-1}A) \leq \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(X^{-1}) \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_{n-r+1}(A).$$

Therefore

$$(25) \quad \sigma_1(A) \dots \sigma_k(A) \leq \left[\frac{n}{k C_{n-k}(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A))} \right]^{\frac{k}{2}} \prod_{r=1}^k \sigma_r(X) \left[\frac{\|X^{-1}A\|_F^2}{n} \right]^{\frac{k}{2}},$$

and

$$(26) \quad \left[\frac{n-k}{n} C_k(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A)) \right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\frac{|\det X|}{\prod_{r=n-k+1}^n \sigma_r(X)} \left[\frac{\|X^{-1}A\|_F^2}{n} \right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}}} \leq \\ \leq \sigma_n(A) \dots \sigma_{n-k+1}(A)$$

with $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$.

7 – Some choices for the matrix X

For $i = 1, \dots, n$, let r_i be the euclidean norm of the i -th row of A and, with no loss of generality, we assume

$$r_{\max} = r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots \geq r_n = r_{\min} > 0.$$

Put

$$X = \text{diag}(r_1, \dots, \underbrace{r_{n-k}, \dots, r_{n-k}}_{k+1 \text{ times}})$$

and

$$t_i = \left(\frac{r_i}{r_{n-k}} \right)^2, \quad i = n - k + 1, \dots, n,$$

from (26) it follows

$$(27) \quad \left[\frac{n-k}{n} C_k(\psi, \rho) \right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\left[\frac{n-k + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n t_i}{n} \right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k} r_i} \leq \\ \leq \sigma_n(A) \dots \sigma_{n-k+1}(A)$$

where:

$$\rho = \rho(X^{-1}A) = \frac{|\det A|^2}{\left[\frac{n-k + \sum_{i=n-k+1}^n t_i}{n} \right]^n r_{n-k}^{2(k+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{n-k-1} r_i^2}.$$

Also, choosing

$$X = \text{diag}(r_1, \dots, \underbrace{r_k, \dots, r_k}_{n-k+1 \text{ times}})$$

and putting

$$t_i = \left(\frac{r_i}{r_k} \right)^2, \quad i = k + 1, \dots, n,$$

from (25) it follows

$$(28) \quad \sigma_1(A) \dots \sigma_k(A) \leq \left[\frac{n}{k C_{n-k}(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A))} \right]^{\frac{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k r_i \left[\frac{k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n t_i}{n} \right]^{\frac{k}{2}}$$

where

$$\rho(X^{-1}A) = \frac{|\det A|^2}{\left[\frac{k + \sum_{i=k+1}^n t_i}{n} \right]^n r_k^{2(n-k+1)} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} r_i^2}.$$

The bounds (27) and (28) can be vary sharp when $t_i \ll 1$, also choosing $C_k = C_{n-k} = 1$. Hence if

$$X = \text{diag}(r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$$

we obtain

$$(29) \quad \sigma_1(A) \dots \sigma_k(A) \leq \left[\frac{n}{kC_{n-k}(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A))} \right]^{\frac{k}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^k r_i$$

and

$$(30) \quad \left[\frac{n-k}{n} C_k(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A)) \right]^{\frac{n-k}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} r_i} \leq \sigma_n(A) \dots \sigma_{n-k+1}(A)$$

where

$$\rho(X^{-1}A) = \frac{|\det A|^2}{\prod_{i=1}^n r_i^2}.$$

REMARK. For $k = 1$ and $C_1(\psi, \rho) = 1$, equation (30) gives the same lower bound shown in [2] for σ_{\min} .

If $\psi = \psi(\rho)$ is chosen in order to satisfy (23), then relations (27), (28), (29) and (30) become equalities when A is unitary.

Equations (23) are satisfied if $\psi(\rho)$ is defined as in (22). After this choice of the function $\psi = \psi(\rho)$, relations (29) and (30) become equalities, when the rows of A are pairwise orthogonal.

In fact in this case $\sigma_i(A) = r_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and $\rho(X^{-1}A) = 1$.

8 – An improvement for some known bounds

In [4] authors give the following lower bound for $\sigma_{\min}(A)$:

$$\left(\frac{n-1}{n-1+t}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}G\right) \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i} \leq \sigma_{\min}(A),$$

where

$$G = \frac{|\det A|^2}{r_{n-1}^2 \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i^2\right) \left(\frac{n-1+t}{n-1}\right)^n}$$

and $t = t_n = r_n^2/r_{n-1}^2$. Instead, for $k = 1$, (27) furnishes

$$\left[\frac{n-1}{n-1+t}\right]^{\frac{n-1}{2}} [C_1(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A))]^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^{n-1} r_i} \leq \sigma_{\min}(A)$$

where

$$\rho(X^{-1}A) = \left(\frac{n}{n-1}\right)^n G.$$

Putting $\psi = (n-1)/n$

$$\begin{aligned} \left[C_1\left(\frac{n-1}{n}, \rho(X^{-1}A)\right)\right]^{\frac{n-1}{2}} &= \left(\frac{1-G}{1-\frac{n}{n-1}G}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} > \\ &> \left(1 + \frac{G}{n-1}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} > 1 + \frac{1}{2}G, \quad \text{for } n \geq 3. \end{aligned}$$

Again in [4] the following further bound for $\sigma_{\min}(A)$ is proved:

$$(31) \quad \min\left(\frac{r_{n-2}}{\sqrt{2}}, r_n\right) \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{1 - S^2}} \leq \sigma_{\min}(A)$$

where

$$S = \frac{|\det A|}{\prod_{i=1}^n r_i} \leq 1.$$

Now we show that choosing

$$X = \text{diag}(r_1, \dots, r_{n-2}, \gamma r_{n-1}, \gamma r_n), \quad \gamma \geq 1$$

the bound (31) can be slightly improved.

In fact, since $\sigma_n(X) = \min\{r_{n-2}, \gamma r_n\}$, from (26):

$$\left[\frac{n-1}{n-1+b} C_1(\psi, \rho(X^{-1}A)) \right]^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \min\left(\frac{r_{n-2}}{\gamma}, r_n\right) S \frac{1}{\gamma} \leq \sigma_{\min}(A)$$

where:

$$\rho(X^{-1}A) = \frac{S^2}{\gamma^4 \left[\frac{n-1+b}{n} \right]^n}$$

and

$$b = \frac{2}{\gamma^2} - 1.$$

Imposing that

$$(32) \quad \frac{S^2}{\gamma^4} = b,$$

we have

$$C_1 = \frac{1 - \psi^n \frac{b}{\left(\frac{n-1+b}{n}\right)^n}}{1 - \psi^{n-1} \frac{b}{\left(\frac{n-1+b}{n}\right)^n}}.$$

From (21) it is easy to verify that C_1 assumes the maximum value in

$$\psi = \frac{n-1+b}{n}$$

for which (see (20)):

$$C_1 = \frac{n-1+b}{n-1}.$$

Now, from (32)

$$\gamma^2 = 1 + \sqrt{1 - S^2}$$

and therefore $1 \leq \gamma \leq \sqrt{2}$.

Finally

$$\sigma_{\min}(A) \geq \min\left(\frac{r_{n-2}}{\gamma}, r_n\right) \frac{S}{\gamma} = \min\left(\frac{r_{n-2}}{\gamma}, r_n\right) \sqrt{1 - \sqrt{1 - S^2}}.$$

REMARK. The bounds given in [2] and [4] involve also the column of A . But the bounds exhibited for $\prod_{i \in I} \sigma_i(A)$ and in particular for $\sigma_{\min}(A)$ and $\sigma_{\max}(A)$ involving the rows of A can be rewritten involving the columns of A . In fact it is enough to observe that $\sigma_i(A) = \sigma_1(A^*)$, for all i .

REFERENCES

- [1] H. W. GUGGENHEIMER – A. S. EDELMAN – C. R. JOHNSON: *A simple estimate of the condition number of a linear system*, College Mathematics Journal, **26** (1995), 2-5.
- [2] Y. P. HONG – C. T. PAN: *A lower bound for the smallest singular value*, Lin. Alg. and Appl., **172** (1992), 27-32.
- [3] R. A. HORN – C. R. JOHNSON: *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1985.
- [4] YU YI-SHENG – GU DUN-HE: *A note on a lower bound for the smallest singular value*, Lin. Alg. and Appl., **253** (1997), 25-38.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 8 luglio 1999
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 23 dicembre 1999.
Bozze licenziate il 15 marzo 2000*

INDIRIZZO DEGLI AUTORI:

R. Peluso – G. Piazza – Dipartimento di Matematica – Politecnico di Bari – Via E. Orabona 4 – 70125 Bari (Italy)

The work has been supported by the M.U.R.S.T., 40% project.