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## $C^{1, \alpha}$ and Glaeser type estimates

## ITALO CAPUZZO DOLCETTA - ANTONIO VITOLO

## Ad Umberto Mosco con ammirazione ed affetto

AbStract: In this paper we are concerned with gradient estimates for viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations of the form $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ with bounded right-hand side. We generalize to a nonlinear setting the results of Yan Yan Li and Louis Nirenberg [12] about the so-called Glaeser estimate. We improve also some qualitative results in this direction contained in [4].

## 1 - Introduction and results

The Glaeser's inequality, see [7], states that for any non-negative function $u \in C^{2}([-R, R])$ with $\left|u^{\prime \prime}(t)\right| \leq M$ for all $t \in[-R, R]$, the following estimates hold:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq \sqrt{2 u(0) M} \quad \text { if } \quad M \geq \frac{2 u(0)}{R^{2}} \\
\left|u^{\prime}(0)\right| \leq\left(\frac{u(0)}{R}+\frac{R}{2} M\right) \quad \text { if } \quad M<\frac{2 u(0)}{R^{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The issue of bounding the first derivative of $u$ in terms of $u$ and $u^{\prime \prime}$ is a classical one since the works of Hadamard [9], Kolmogorov [10] and Landau [11]. For more recents results in this direction see [5], [13] and [14].
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In the recent paper [12], Y.Y.Li and L.Nirenberg showed the validity of the Glaeser inequality for smooth non-negative functions with bounded Laplacian in an $n$-dimensional ball. More precisely, they proved that if $0 \leq u \in C^{2}\left(B_{R}\right) \cap$ $C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is any solution of the Poisson equation

$$
\Delta u=f
$$

in the ball $B_{R}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|<R\right\}$, then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
|D u(x)| \leq C \sqrt{u(0) M} & \text { if } & 2|x| \leq \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}} \leq R  \tag{1.1}\\
|D u(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{u(0)}{R}+M R\right) & \text { if } & 2|x| \leq R \leq \sqrt{\frac{u(0)}{M}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $M=\sup _{x \in \bar{B}_{R}}|f(x)|$. The constant $C$ in the above inequalities depends only on the dimension $n$ and $C=\sqrt{2}$ is optimal for $n=1$.

Extensions to non-negative strong solutions $u$ of linear second-order uniformly elliptic equations of the form

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(A(x) D^{2} u\right)=f
$$

are also treated in the paper [12]. In this more general case, the constants appearing in the corresponding Glaeser type inequalities depend on the maximum and minimum eigenvalue of the positive definite matrix $A(x)$ and the moduli of continuity of the matrix entries as well as on $n$.

Our aim here is to present some new results concerning the validity of Glaeser type estimates for non-negative continuous functions $u$ satisfying in the viscosity sense the fully nonlinear uniformly elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f \quad \text { in } B_{R} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for bounded and continuous right-hand side $f$. Here $F$ is a real-valued function defined on $\mathcal{S}^{n}$, the space of real $n \times n$ symmetric matrices.

Our leading assumption on $F$ is uniform ellipticity, namely that for real numbers $\Lambda \geq \lambda>0$ the following structural condition holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{+}\right)-\Lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{-}\right) \leq F(X+Y)-F(X) \leq \Lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{+}\right)-\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{-}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$. Here we have used the standard decomposition of a symmetric matrix $Y \in S^{n}$ in the form $Y=Y^{+}-Y^{-}$with $Y^{+} Y^{-}=0$ and $Y^{ \pm} \geq 0$, in the sense of the partial ordering induced by semidefinite positiveness.

Note that $Y \rightarrow \lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{+}\right)-\Lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{-}\right)$and $Y \rightarrow \Lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{+}\right)-\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(Y^{-}\right)$are, respectively, the minimal and maximal Pucci operators usually denoted by $P_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}$, $P_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}$, see [2].

Condition (1.3) appears therefore as a nonlinear version of the standard notion of uniform ellipticity for linear second order operators. Observe that for $\Lambda=\lambda=1$ the class of functions $F$ fulfilling condition (1.3) reduces to the single operator $F=\Delta$.

Relevant examples of operators satisfying condition (1.3) are, of course, the Pucci operator themselves, the Bellman operators

$$
F(X)=\inf _{i \in I} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{i} X\right)
$$

where $A_{i}, i \in I$, is a family of positive definite symmetric matrices such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda|\xi|^{2} \leq A \xi \cdot \xi \leq \Lambda|\xi|^{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Isaacs operators

$$
F(X)=\sup _{j \in J} \inf _{i \in I} \operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{i, j} X\right)
$$

where $A_{i j}, i \in I, j \in J$, is a double-indexed family of symmetric matrices satisfying (1.4). We shall also assume for simplicity that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(0)=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main results are the following:
Theorem 1.1. Assume that $F$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). If $u \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is a non-negative viscosity solution of $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ in $B_{R}$ with $f \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right)$, then $u$ is differentiable at any $x \in B_{R}$ and the inequalities (1.1) hold true with $M=$ $\sup _{\bar{B}_{R}}|f|$ and some positive constant $C$ depending only on $n$ and the ellipticity constants $\lambda, \Lambda$.

This result is based on the Harnack inequality for non-negative viscosity solutions and the $C^{1, \alpha}$ regularity of continuous (not necessarily non-negative) solutions of equation (1.2). Let us state below this result of independent interest.

Theorem 1.2. Assume that $F$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). If $u \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is a viscosity solution of $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ in $B_{R}$ with $f \in C^{0}\left(B_{R}\right)$, then $u \in C^{1, \alpha}\left(B_{R}\right)$ for some $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R / 2}\right)}+R\|D u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R / 2}\right)}+R^{1+\alpha}[D u]_{\alpha, B_{R / 2}} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{R}\right)}+R^{2} M\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M=\sup _{\bar{B}_{R}}|f|$ and $C>0$ depend only on $n$ and the ellipticity constants $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$.

Here $[h]_{\alpha, \Omega}$ denotes the Hölder seminorm

$$
[h]_{\alpha, \Omega}=\sup _{\substack{x, y \in \Omega \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|h(x)-h(y)|}{|x-y|^{\alpha}}
$$

Theorem 1.2 can be regarded as a consequence of Theorem 2 of Caffarelli [1] and Corollary 5.7 of [2], where $C^{1, \alpha_{-}}$estimates are established for solutions of the homogeneous equation $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=0$.

Should we adopt the slightly stronger notion of $L^{n}$-viscosity solutions which require testing subsolutions and supersolutions on $\varphi \in W_{\text {loc }}^{2, n}$ rather than on $\varphi \in C^{2}$, see [1], [3], then the assumption of continuity of $f$ can be dropped and it is enough to assume $f \in L^{\infty}$ in order that Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 remain true for $L^{n}$-viscosity solutions.

Rather precise information about the constant $C$ in the statement of Theorem 1.1 can be obtained under some symmetry assumption on $F$.

A mapping $F: \mathcal{S}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is reflection-invariant with respect to the unit vector $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(R X R)=F(X) \quad \text { for all } \quad X \in \mathcal{S}^{n} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is the reflection matrix with respect to the hyperplane of equation $\nu \cdot x=0$.

If, for instance, $\nu=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ then

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbb{I}_{n-1} & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbb{I}_{n-1}$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional identity matrix.
By suitably exploiting reflection-invariance properties of $F$ we obtain the following form of the gradient estimate:

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $F$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). Assume also that $F$ is reflection-invariant with respect to $n$ linearly independent unit vectors $\nu_{1}, \ldots, \nu_{n}$.

If $u \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right)$ is a viscosity solution of $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ with $f \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{R}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\mu}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}|D u(0)| \leq \frac{n}{R}\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{\bar{B}_{R}}|u|+\frac{R}{2 \lambda}\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{\bar{B}_{R}}|f| \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the least eigenvalue of the positive definite matrix $S \in \mathcal{S}^{n}$ with entries $S_{i j}=\nu_{i} \cdot \nu_{j}$.

It is easy to check that if the directions $\nu_{j}$ in the statement of Theorem (1.3) are mutually orthogonal then $\mu=1$. Instead, if $F(X)$ depends only on the eigenvalues of $X$, then we obtain the better estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u(0)| \leq \frac{n}{R}\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{B_{R}}|u|+\frac{R}{2 \lambda}\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{B_{R}}|f| \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the special case $\lambda=\Lambda=1, F(X)=\operatorname{TrX}$, the above reduces to a well-known estimate for classical solutions of the Poisson equation $\Delta u=f$, see [8].

## 2-A few facts about viscosity solutions

Let us recall for the convenience of the reader the notion of viscosity solution of equation (1.2) with $f \in C^{0}\left(B_{R}\right)$ and $F$ as above.

A function $u \in C^{0}\left(B_{R}\right)$ a viscosity subsolution of (1.2) if for all $x_{0} \in B_{R}$ and $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(B_{R}\right)$ such that $u-\varphi$ has a local maximum at $x_{0}$

$$
F\left(D^{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \geq f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

On the other hand, $u$ is a viscosity supersolution of (1.2) if for all $x_{0} \in \Omega$ and $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(B_{R}\right)$ such that $u-\varphi$ has a local minimum at $x_{0}$

$$
F\left(D^{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \leq f\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

A viscosity solution of $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ is both a subsolution and a supersolution.
Let us point out that classical solutions $u \in C^{2}\left(B_{R}\right)$ of equation (1.2) are also viscosity solutions and, conversely, if $u \in C^{2}\left(B_{R}\right)$ is a viscosity solution of (1.2) then $u$ is a classical solution of the same equation.

For a general review of the theory of viscosity solutions of fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations we refer to [6] and [2].

A fundamental tool in the regularity theory for viscosity solutions is the Harnack inequality, see [1],[2]. Here we quote it in an appropriate version:

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $F$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). If $u \in C^{0}\left(B_{r}\right)$ is a non-negative viscosity solution of equation $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ with $f \in C^{0}\left(B_{r}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B_{\frac{3}{4} r}} u \leq C\left(\inf _{B_{\frac{3}{4} r}} u+r^{2}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r}\right)}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 - Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Take first $r=1$ and let $\left|x_{0}\right|<r_{1}<1$ so that $\bar{B}_{\rho_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset B_{\frac{1+r_{1}}{2}}$ for $\rho_{0}=\frac{1-r_{1}}{4}$. For any $\alpha \in(0,1)$ we have of course

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{0<\rho \leq \rho_{0}} \rho^{-\alpha}\|f\|_{L^{n}\left(B_{\rho}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)} \leq\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}<+\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\rho \in\left(0, \rho_{0}\right)$. Note that here the finiteness of the Morrey norm on the left-hand side follows from the continuity of $f$, which is the natural assumptions in our viscosity framework. Considering instead $L^{n}$-viscosity solutions we could bypass both continuity and boundedness assumption on $f$. In this case, for instance, $\sup _{x \in B_{1}}|f(x) \| x|^{1-\alpha^{\prime}}<+\infty$ should be sufficient.

Furthermore, since $F$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5), by an important regularity result due to L. Caffarelli, see [2], Corollary 5.7, any continuous viscosity solution $w \in C^{0}\left(B_{r}\right)$ of the homogeneous equation $F\left(D^{2} w\right)=0$ is $C^{1, \bar{\alpha}}\left(B_{r}\right)$ for some $\bar{\alpha} \in(0,1)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r / 2}\right)}+r\|D w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r / 2}\right)}+r^{1+\bar{\alpha}}[D w]_{\bar{\alpha}, B_{r / 2}} \leq C\|w\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r}\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C>0$ depending only on $n, \lambda$ and $\Lambda$.
Thanks to (3.1), (3.2) we can apply Theorem 2 of [1] to deduce that $u$ is differentiable at $x_{0}$, its gradient satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{1}\right)}\right):=\bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u(x)-u\left(x_{0}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \leq \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{1+\alpha^{\prime}}, \quad\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \rho_{0} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha^{\prime} \in(0,1)$.
Our aim now is to evaluate the oscillation of $D u$ on a compact subset $K$ of $B_{r_{1}}$.

At this purpose, for $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ to be chosen in the sequel, take $\rho_{1}>0$ such that $\rho_{1}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{0}$. Hence,

$$
\bar{B}_{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}^{1-\varepsilon}}^{\frac{1}{1-\varepsilon}}\left(x_{1}\right) \subset B_{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

for any $x_{1} \in K$ with $\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|<\frac{\rho_{1}}{4}$. Consider then

$$
x_{1}=x_{0}+h e, \quad|e|=1, \quad 0<h<\frac{\rho_{1}}{4}
$$

and set, if $D u\left(x_{1}\right) \neq D u\left(x_{0}\right)$,

$$
\nu=\frac{D u\left(x_{1}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right)}{\left|D u\left(x_{1}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}
$$

Choose now $x \in B_{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}}\left(x_{1}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
x=x_{0}+h^{1-\varepsilon} \nu
$$

By the choice above, $x \in B_{\rho_{0}}\left(x_{0}\right) \cap B_{\rho_{0}}\left(x_{1}\right)$. Since $x_{1} \in K$, inequality (3.4) still holds if we replace $x_{0}$ with $x_{1}$, leading to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|u\left(x_{1}\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right)+D u\left(x_{1}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{1}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right) \cdot\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right| \\
& \quad \leq \bar{C}_{\alpha}\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|^{1+\alpha^{\prime}}+\bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left|x-x_{1}\right|^{1+\alpha^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D u\left(x_{1}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| h^{1-\varepsilon} \leq\left|D u\left(x_{1}\right)\right| h+\left|u\left(x_{1}\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|+2 \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}} h^{\left(1+\alpha^{\prime}\right)(1-\varepsilon)} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that inequalities (3.3) and (3.4) hold uniformly on $K$ so that, dividing (3.5) by $h^{1-\varepsilon}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|D u\left(x_{1}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| & \leq \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}} h^{\varepsilon}+\frac{\left|u\left(x_{1}\right)-u\left(x_{0}\right)\right|}{\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|} h^{\varepsilon}+2 \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}} h^{\alpha^{\prime}(1-\varepsilon)} \\
& \leq 2 \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}} h^{\varepsilon}+2 C_{\alpha^{\prime}} h^{\alpha(1-\varepsilon)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, choosing $\varepsilon=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1+\alpha^{\prime}}$, we obtain the desired oscillation estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D u\left(x_{1}\right)-D u\left(x_{0}\right)\right| \leq 4 \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}} h^{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1+\alpha^{\prime}}}=4 \bar{C}_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left|x_{1}-x_{0}\right|^{\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1+\alpha^{\prime}}} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x_{0}, x_{1} \in K$.
This, with the uniformly boundedness of $u$ and $D u$ in $K$ shows that $u \in$ $C^{1, \alpha}(K)$ with $\alpha=\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{1+\alpha^{\prime}}$, and the estimate (1.6) follows in the present case $r=1$.

If $r \neq 1$, let us consider the scaled function $\tilde{u}(y)=u(r y),|y| \leq 1$. FIt is easy to check that $\tilde{u}$ is a continuous (up to the boundary) viscosity solution of the equation

$$
G\left(D^{2} \tilde{u}(y)\right)=g(y)=r^{2} f(r y)
$$

in $|y|<1$, where $G(Y)=r^{2} F\left(r^{-2} Y\right)$ is uniformly elliptic with the same ellipticity constants $\lambda$ and $\Lambda$ as $F$.

Therefore, the $C^{1, \alpha}$ - estimate (1.6) already proved for $r=1$ applies to $\tilde{u}$ yielding (1.6) in its scaled form.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\left|x_{0}\right| \leq \frac{r}{2}, 0<r<R$. Applying Theorem 1.2 in the ball $B_{r / 8}\left(x_{0}\right)$, we get in particular

$$
r|D u(x)| \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r / 4}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)}+r^{2}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{r / 4}\right)}\right)
$$

$$
\left.\leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\frac{3}{4} r}\right)}+r^{2}\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\frac{3}{4}} r\right.}\right)\right)
$$

for $\left|x-x_{0}\right| \leq \frac{r}{8}$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{r}\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\frac{3}{4} r}\right)}+r\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\frac{3}{4} r}\right)}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|x| \leq \frac{r}{2}$. Hence, by the Harnack inequality (2.1), we have

$$
|D u(x)| \leq C\left(\frac{1}{r} u(0)+r\|f\|_{L^{\infty}\left(B_{\frac{3}{4} r}\right)}\right), \quad|x| \leq \frac{r}{2}
$$

The assertion follows by optimizing with respect to $r \in(0, R)$ the right-hand side of the above inequality.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is performed using a different technique, based on the Maximum Principle and, more precisely, on comparison results between viscosity sub and supersolutions. A major step in the proof is contained in the next Lemma which can be seen as the extension to our nonlinear setting of a well- known result for the Poisson equation, see [8], Theorem 3.9.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $F$ satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). Assume also that $F$ is reflection invariant along some direction $\nu$, see (1.7). If $u \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{d}\right)$ is a viscosity solution of the equation $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f$ with $f \in C\left(\bar{B}_{d}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|D_{\nu} u(0)\right| \leq \frac{n}{d}\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{\bar{B}_{d}}|u|+\frac{d}{2 \lambda}\left(1+\frac{\Lambda}{\lambda}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sup _{\bar{B}_{d}}|f| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{\nu} u(0)$ is the directional derivative of $u$ at $x=0$ along the direction $\nu$.

Proof of Lemma 3.1. Let $u \in C^{0}\left(\bar{B}_{d}\right)$ be a viscosity solution of the equation

$$
F\left(D^{2} u\right)=f
$$

in $B_{d}$. By Theorem 1.2, $u$ is differentiable at $x=0$. Setting $M=\sup _{\bar{B}_{d}}|f|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-M \leq F\left(D^{2} u\right) \leq M \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $B_{d}$ in the viscosity sense.
Up to a rotation, which does not change the ellipticity constants of $F$ and the $L^{\infty}$-norm of $f$, we can suppose that the invariance direction is the $x_{n}$-axis.

Consider the open cylinder

$$
K:=\left\{\left(x^{\prime}, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}| | x^{\prime}\left|<\bar{d} \sqrt{\Lambda},\left|x_{n}\right|<\bar{d} \sqrt{\lambda}\right\}\right.
$$

so that $\bar{K} \subset B_{d}$. Set $x^{*}:=\left(x^{\prime},-x_{n}\right)$ and the reflected function

$$
u^{*}(x):=u\left(x^{*}\right) \quad x \in K_{+}=K \cap\left\{x_{n}>0\right\}
$$

It turns out that $u^{*} \in C^{0}\left(\bar{K}_{+}\right)$and $F\left(D^{2} u^{*}\right)=f^{*}$ in the viscosity sense in $K_{+}$.
Indeed, if $x_{0} \in K_{+}$and $\varphi \in C^{2}\left(K_{+}\right)$touches $u^{*}$ from above at $x_{0}$, then $\varphi^{*}$ touches $u$ from above at $x_{0}^{*} \in B_{d}$. Therefore,

$$
F\left(D^{2} \varphi^{*}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)\right) \geq f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right) \geq-M
$$

By reflection invariance $F\left(D^{2} \varphi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=F\left(D^{2} \varphi^{*}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)\right) \geq-M$. A similar argument for supersolutions yields the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(D^{2} u^{*}\right) \leq M \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $K_{+}$in the viscosity sense. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{u}=\frac{u-u^{*}}{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using Theorem 5.3 in [2], we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} \tilde{u}\right) \geq-M, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2} \tilde{u}\right) \leq M \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $K_{+}$in the viscosity sense. Note that the argument of the above mentioned Theorem 5.3 of [2], concerning sub and supersolutions of the homogeneous equation $F\left(D^{2} u\right)=0$, still holds for a nonzero constant right-hand side.

Next, we construct a smooth barrier function:

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{N}{\bar{d}^{2}}\left[\frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\Lambda}+\frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(n \bar{d}-(n-1) \frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)\right]+\frac{M}{2} \frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(\bar{d}-\frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)
$$

where $N=\sup _{K}|u|$. A simple computation shows that

$$
\mathrm{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2} \Phi\right)=\Lambda \frac{2 N}{\Lambda \bar{d}^{2}}(n-1)-\lambda\left(\frac{2 N}{\lambda \bar{d}^{2}}(n-1)+\frac{M}{\lambda}\right)=-M
$$

By (3.12) we obtain then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{+}\left(D^{2}(\tilde{u}-\Phi)\right) \geq 0 \geq \mathrm{P}_{\lambda, \Lambda}^{-}\left(D^{2}(\tilde{u}+\Phi)\right) \quad \text { in } K_{+} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $x \in \partial K_{+}$, we have

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{N}{\bar{d}^{2}} \frac{\left|x^{\prime}\right|^{2}}{\Lambda} \geq 0 \geq \tilde{u} \quad \text { on } \quad x_{n}=0
$$

$$
\Phi(x) \geq N \geq|\tilde{u}| \quad \text { on } \quad\left|x^{\prime}\right|=\bar{d} \sqrt{\Lambda} \quad \text { and } \quad x_{n}=\bar{d} \sqrt{\lambda}
$$

This provides the boundary conditions

$$
\tilde{u}-\Phi \leq 0 \leq \tilde{u}+\Phi \quad \text { on } \partial K_{+}
$$

which, coupled with equations (3.13), by the Maximum Principle yield

$$
\tilde{u}-\Phi \leq 0 \leq \tilde{u}+\Phi \quad \text { in } K_{+}
$$

Taking now $x^{\prime}=0$ and dividing by $x_{n}>0$, we get

$$
\frac{\left|u\left(0, x_{n}\right)-u\left(0,-x_{n}\right)\right|}{2 x_{n}} \leq \frac{N}{\bar{d}^{2}}\left(n \bar{d}-(n-1) \frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)+\frac{M}{2 \sqrt{\lambda}}\left(\bar{d}-\frac{x_{n}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right)
$$

Letting $x_{n} \rightarrow 0^{+}$we conclude that

$$
\left|u_{x_{n}}(0)\right| \leq \frac{n}{\bar{d}} \sup _{K}|u|+\frac{\bar{d}}{2 \sqrt{\lambda}} \sup _{K}|f|
$$

From this it is immediate to derive the validity of inequality (3.8).
Proof of Theorem 1.3. This is matter of elementary vector calculus. In fact, let $\nu_{k}=\left(\nu_{k 1}, \ldots, \nu_{k n}\right), k=1, \ldots, n$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|D u(0) \cdot \nu_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(D u(0) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_{k i} e_{i}\right)\left(D u(0) \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{n} \nu_{k j} e_{j}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \nu_{k i} \nu_{k j}\right) u_{x_{i}}(0) u_{x_{j}}(0)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} S_{i j} u_{x_{i}}(0) u_{x_{j}}(0) \geq \mu|D u(0)|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the statement easily follows from (3.8).
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