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Abstract: We consider the grain boundary motion model of Kobayashi-WarrenCarter type, which arises in material sciences. The system, which consists of two nonlinear parabolic PDEs with singularity, is of the phase-field type. In this paper we show the global existence of solutions for our model in a weak variational sense.

## 1 - Introduction

In this paper we consider a model for grain boundary motion of the form, denoted by (P):

$$
\text { (P) } \begin{cases}\eta_{t}-\kappa \Delta \eta+g(\eta)+\alpha^{\prime}(\eta)|\nabla \theta|=0 & \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}:=\Omega \times(0, T) \\ \alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta_{t}-\nu \Delta \theta-\operatorname{div}\left(\alpha(\eta) \frac{\nabla \theta}{|\nabla \theta|}\right)=0 & \text { a.e. in } Q_{T}, \\ \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial n}=0, \theta=0 & \text { a.e. on } \Sigma_{T}:=\Gamma \times(0, T) \\ \eta(x, 0)=\eta_{0}(x), \quad \theta(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) & \text { for a.a. } x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$
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where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{N}(1 \leq N \leq 3)$ with smooth boundary $\Gamma:=\partial \Omega, T>0$ is a fixed finite time, $\kappa>0$ and $\nu>0$ are small positive constants, $g(\cdot), \alpha(\cdot)$ and $\alpha_{0}(\cdot)$ are given functions on $\mathbf{R}, \partial / \partial n$ is the outward normal derivative on $\Gamma$, and $\eta_{0}(x), \theta_{0}(x)$ are given initial data.

Problem (P) of two dimensional grain structure was proposed in Kobayashi et al. [12] as a polar coordinate system, where the variable $\theta$ is an indicator of the mean orientation of the crystalline and the variable $\eta$ is an order parameter for the degree of crystalline orientational order: $\eta \equiv 1$ implies a completely oriented state and $\eta \equiv 0$ is a state where no meaningful value of orientation exists. In [12] the system ( P ) was derived from the free energy functional of the following form:

$$
\mathcal{F}(\eta, \theta):=\frac{\kappa}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \eta|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(\eta) d x+\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \theta|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \alpha(\eta)|\nabla \theta| d x
$$

where $\hat{g}$ is a primitive of $g$. Moreover, Kobayashi et al. [12] presented some numerical simulations of $(\mathrm{P})$, which showed both grain rotation and shrinkage, in the case where $\hat{g}(\eta):=\frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)^{2}, \alpha_{0}(\eta)=\alpha(\eta)=\eta^{2}$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. But, any theoretical results have not been there established.

There are many mathematical models of grain boundary formation. For some related works of grain boundary motions, we refer to [3], [4], [5], [7], [8], [12], [14], [15], [16]. Also, for singular diffusion equations kindred to the second one of $(\mathrm{P})$, we refer to [1], [2], [6], [11].

Recently, system (P) was studied in [9], [10] from the theoretical point of view, when $\alpha_{0} \geq \delta(>0)$ on $\mathbf{R}$ for a positive constant $\delta$. More precisely, in [9] the one-dimensional grain boundary model of Kobayashi-Warren-Carter type, with $-\kappa \Delta \eta$ replaced by $-\left(\sigma \eta_{t}+\kappa \eta\right)_{x x}, 0<\sigma<\infty$, in the first equation, was discussed and the existence-uniqueness of solutions was proved. Also, in [10] the existence of a global in time solution to (P) was shown in higher dimensional spaces by employing a new method, and its uniqueness was proved in one dimensional space.

The main objective of the present paper is to show the global existence of a weak solution to (P) in the case when $\alpha_{0} \geq 0$ on $\mathbf{R}$. In this case we can not expect that the time-derivative of $\theta$ exists in the classical sense on the region where $\alpha_{0}(\eta)$ vanishes. We shall establish a mathematical treatment to such a difficulty.

The plan of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we mention the main theorem of this paper. In Section 3, we consider the approximate systems to $(\mathrm{P})$. In the final section, we give the existence proof for ( P ).

Notation. For a general (real) Banach space $X$ we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{X}$ the norm in $X$. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and any positive integer $m$, we simply write $L^{p}, W^{m, p}$ and $W_{0}^{m, p}$ for $L^{p}(\Omega), W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{m, p}(\Omega)$, respectively, where $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ is the usual Sobolev space. As usual, $W^{m, 2}$ and $W_{0}^{m, 2}$ are denoted by $H^{m}$ and $H_{0}^{m}$, respectively.

## 2 - Main result

Throughout this paper, the following assumptions are always made:
(A1) $\alpha_{0}$ is a non-negative function in $C^{1}(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$
\frac{\left|\alpha_{0}^{\prime}(r)\right|}{\sqrt{\alpha_{0}(r)+\delta}} \leq M, \quad \forall \delta \in(0,1], \quad \forall r \in[0,1]
$$

where $M$ is a positive constant and $\alpha_{0}^{\prime}$ is the derivative of $\alpha_{0}$.
(A2) $\alpha$ is a non-negative function in $C^{1}(\mathbf{R})$, whose derivative $\alpha^{\prime}$ is non-decreasing and bounded on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $\alpha^{\prime}(0)=0$. We denote by $L(\alpha)$ the Lipschitz constant.
(A3) $g$ is a Lipschitz continuous function on $\mathbf{R}$ such that $g \leq 0$ on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $g \geq 0$ on $[1, \infty)$. We denote by $\hat{g}$ a primitive of $g$, and assume that $\hat{g}$ is non-negative on $\mathbf{R}$.
(A4) $\eta_{0} \in H^{1}$ with $0 \leq \eta_{0} \leq 1$ a.e. on $\Omega$, and $\theta_{0} \in H_{0}^{1}$.
Next, we give the notion of weak solutions to (P).
Definition 2.1. A pair $[\eta, \theta]$ of functions $\eta:[0, T] \longrightarrow H^{1}$ and $\theta:[0, T] \longrightarrow$ $H_{0}^{1}$ is a solution to $(\mathrm{P})$ on $[0, T]$, if the following conditions (1)-(4) are satisfied:
(1) $\eta \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right), \theta \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$ and $\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right)$.
(2) The following parabolic equation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\prime}(t)-\kappa \Delta_{N} \eta(t)+g(\eta(t))+\alpha^{\prime}(\eta(t))|\nabla \theta(t)|=0 \text { in } L^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.a. $t \in(0, T)$,
where $\eta^{\prime}:=\frac{d \eta}{d t}$ and $\Delta_{N}: D\left(\Delta_{N}\right):=\left\{z \in H^{2} ; \frac{\partial z}{\partial n}=0\right.$ a.e. on $\left.\Gamma\right\} \longrightarrow L^{2}$ is the Laplacian with homogeneous Neumann boundary condition.
(3) For any $z \in H_{0}^{1}$ and a.a. $t \in(0, T)$, the following variational inequality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta\right]^{\prime}(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x)) d x- \\
& \quad-\int_{\Omega} \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(\eta(x, t)) \eta^{\prime}(x, t) \theta(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x)) d x+ \\
& \quad+\nu \int_{\Omega} \nabla \theta(x, t) \cdot \nabla(\theta(x, t)-z(x)) d x+\int_{\Omega} \alpha(\eta(x, t))|\nabla \theta(x, t)| d x \leq  \tag{2.2}\\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \alpha(\eta(x, t))|\nabla z(x)| d x,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta\right]^{\prime}:=\frac{d}{d t}\left[\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta\right]$.
(4) $\eta(0)=\eta_{0}$ and $\left[\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta\right](0)=\alpha_{0}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \theta_{0}$ in $L^{2}$.

We should notice that the first and second terms of (2.2) yield

$$
\int_{\Omega} \alpha_{0}(\eta(x, t)) \theta^{\prime}(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x)) d x
$$

if $\theta^{\prime}:=\frac{d \theta}{d t}$ exists in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)$.
Our main result of this paper is stated as follows:
Theorem 2.1. Assume (A1)-(A4) hold. Then, there is at least one solution $[\eta, \theta]$ of $(\mathrm{P})$ in the sense of Definition 2.1, and $\eta$ satisfies

$$
0 \leq \eta \leq 1 \quad \text { a.e on } Q_{T}
$$

REMARK 2.1. In [12] some numerical experiments of $(P)$ were tried in the case where $\hat{g}(\eta):=\frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)^{2}, \alpha_{0}(\eta)=\alpha(\eta)=\eta^{2}$ and $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^{2}$. Clearly, assumption (A1) is satisfied for $\alpha_{0}(\eta)=\eta^{2}$.

The main idea for the proof of Theorem 2.1 is to discuss the convergence of the following approximate problems $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$ with real parameter $\delta \in(0,1]$, as $\delta \downarrow 0$ :

$$
(\mathrm{P})_{\delta} \begin{cases}\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}-\kappa \Delta \eta_{\delta}+g\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{\delta}\right|=0 & \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \\ \alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right) \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}-\nu \Delta \theta_{\delta}-\operatorname{div}\left(\alpha\left(\eta_{\delta}\right) \frac{\nabla \theta_{\delta}}{\left|\nabla \theta_{\delta}\right|}\right)=0 & \text { a.e. in } Q_{T} \\ \frac{\partial \eta_{\delta}}{\partial n}=0, \theta_{\delta}=0 & \text { a.e. on } \Sigma_{T} \\ \eta_{\delta}(x, 0)=\eta_{0}(x), \quad \theta_{\delta}(x, 0)=\theta_{0}(x) & \text { for a.a. } x \in \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha_{\delta}(r):=\alpha_{0}(r)+\delta$ for $r \in \mathbf{R}$.
In the next section we study problem $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$, and give some uniform estimates of solutions $\left[\eta_{\delta}, \theta_{\delta}\right]$ with respect to $\delta \in(0,1]$. In Section 4 we accomplish the proof of Theorem 2.1. Namely, we show that $\left[\eta_{\delta}, \theta_{\delta}\right]$ converges in a suitable sense as $\delta \downarrow 0$ and a limit function is a solution to ( P ).

## 3 - Approximate problems

We begin by defining the notion of weak solutions to $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$.
Definition 3.1. For each $\delta \in(0,1]$, a pair [ $\eta_{\delta}, \theta_{\delta}$ ] of functions $\eta_{\delta}:[0, T] \longrightarrow$ $H^{1}$ and $\theta_{\delta}:[0, T] \longrightarrow H_{0}^{1}$ is a solution to $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$ on $[0, T]$, if the following conditions (1)-(4) are satisfied:
(1) $\eta_{\delta} \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)$ and $\theta_{\delta} \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$.
(2) The following parabolic equation holds:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}(t)-\kappa \Delta_{N} \eta_{\delta}(t)+g\left(\eta_{\delta}(t)\right)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\delta}(t)\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{\delta}(t)\right|=0 \text { in } L^{2}  \tag{3.1}\\
\text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) .
\end{array}
$$

(3) For any $z \in H_{0}^{1}$ and a.a. $t \in(0, T)$, the following variational inequality holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}(t)\right) \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{\delta}(t)-z\right)+\nu\left(\nabla \theta_{\delta}(t), \nabla \theta_{\delta}(t)-\nabla z\right)+ \\
& +\int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{\delta}(x, t)\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{\delta}(x, t)\right| d x \leq \int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{\delta}(x, t)\right)|\nabla z(x)| d x \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta_{\delta}^{\prime}:=\frac{d \theta_{\delta}}{d t}$ and $(\cdot, \cdot)$ stands for the usual inner product in $L^{2}$ or in $\left(L^{2}\right)^{N}$.
(4) $\eta_{\delta}(0)=\eta_{0}$ and $\theta_{\delta}(0)=\theta_{0}$ in $L^{2}$.

Here, in order to reformulate (3.2) as an evolution equation governed by subdifferentials, let us introduce a proper, l.s.c. (lower semi-continuous) and convex function $\varphi\left(\eta_{\delta}(t) ; \cdot\right)$ on $L^{2}$, depending on $\eta_{\delta} \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)$, which is defined by

$$
\varphi\left(\eta_{\delta}(t) ; z\right):= \begin{cases}\frac{\nu}{2} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla z|^{2} d x+\int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{\delta}(t)\right)|\nabla z| d x & \text { if } z \in H_{0}^{1}  \tag{3.3}\\ \infty & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then, the variational inequality (3.2) can be rewritten in the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}(t)\right) \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}(t)+\partial \varphi\left(\eta_{\delta}(t) ; \theta_{\delta}(t)\right) \ni 0 \text { in } L^{2} \text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial \varphi\left(\eta_{\delta}(t) ; z\right)$ is the subdifferential of $\varphi\left(\eta_{\delta}(t) ; z\right)$ with respect to $z$ in $L^{2}$. This is one of the keys in our approach to problem $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$.

According to the result in [10], we have the following proposition, which is concerned with the existence of solutions to $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$.

Proposition 3.1 (cf. [10]). Assume (A1)-(A4) hold. Then, for each $\delta \in$ $(0,1]$, there is at least one solution $\left[\eta_{\delta}, \theta_{\delta}\right]$ of $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta}$ in the sense of Definition 3.1, and $\eta_{\delta}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \eta_{\delta} \leq 1 \quad \text { a.e on } Q_{T} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, there is a positive constant $C_{1}$ independent of $\delta \in(0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+\left\|\nabla \eta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+ \\
& \quad+\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{1}\left\{\left\|\nabla \eta_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\int_{\Omega} \hat{g}\left(\eta_{0}\right) d x+\left\|\theta_{0}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}^{2}+1\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The existence assertion of a solution $\left[\eta_{\delta}, \theta_{\delta}\right]$ satisfying (3.5) and (3.6) is due to [10, Theorem 2.1]. However, for the completeness, we repeat here a brief proof of (3.6).

For simplicity we omit the subscript $\delta \in(0,1]$ except for $\alpha_{\delta}$, namely $\eta:=\eta_{\delta}$ and $\theta:=\theta_{\delta}$. Now, we multiply the equation (3.1) by $\eta^{\prime}(t)$ to get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla \eta(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(\eta(t)) d x \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\alpha^{\prime}(\eta(t))\|\nabla \theta(t)\| \eta^{\prime}(t)\right| d x \\
\text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) .
\end{array}
$$

Hence, from (A2) and the Schwarz inequality it follows that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\kappa \frac{d}{d t}\|\nabla \eta(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \frac{d}{d t} \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(\eta(t)) d x \leq L(\alpha)^{2}\|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
\text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) .
\end{array}
$$

Next multiply (3.4), which is equivalent to (3.2), by $\theta^{\prime}$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha_{\delta}(\eta(t)) \theta^{\prime}(t), \theta^{\prime}(t)\right)+\left(\theta^{*}(t), \theta^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \quad \text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta^{*}(t) \in \partial \varphi(\eta(t) ; \theta(t))$ in $L^{2}$ for a.a. $t \in(0, T)$. Here, we recall the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(\eta(t) ; \theta(t))-\left(\theta^{*}(t), \theta^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq L(\alpha)\left\|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the detailed proof of (3.9), see [10, Section 3]. Now, using the inequality (3.9), we get from (3.8) that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}(\eta(t))} \theta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\frac{d}{d t} \varphi(\eta(t) ; \theta(t)) \leq L(\alpha)\left\|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}\|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{2}}  \tag{3.10}\\
\text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) .
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, adding (3.7) and (3.10) and using (3.3), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\left\|\eta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}(\eta(t))} \theta^{\prime}(t)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+ \\
& \quad+\frac{d}{d t}\left\{\kappa\|\nabla \eta(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(\eta(t)) d x+\varphi(\eta(t) ; \theta(t))\right\} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{3}{2} L(\alpha)^{2}\|\nabla \theta(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{3}{2} L(\alpha)^{2} \cdot \frac{2}{\nu} \varphi(\eta(t) ; \theta(t)) \leq \\
& \leq \frac{3 L(\alpha)^{2}}{\nu}\left\{\kappa\|\nabla \eta(t)\|_{L^{2}}^{2}+2 \int_{\Omega} \hat{g}(\eta(t)) d x+\varphi(\eta(t) ; \theta(t))\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for a.a. $t \in(0, T)$. Applying Gronwall's lemma to the above inequality, we obtain (3.6) for some constant $C_{1}>0$ independent of $\delta \in(0,1]$.

Corollary 3.1. Let $u_{\delta}:=\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right) \theta_{\delta}$ and $v_{\delta}:=\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}$ for each $\delta \in$ $(0,1]$. Then, there is a positive constant $C_{2}\left(\eta_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$, depending only on the initial data $\eta_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}$, such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|u_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|u_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{2}\left(\eta_{0}, \theta_{0}\right), \forall \delta \in(0,1]  \tag{3.11}\\
& \left\|v_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2}+\left\|v_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq C_{2}\left(\eta_{0}, \theta_{0}\right), \forall \delta \in(0,1] \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. We observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right]^{\prime}\right| & \leq \frac{\left|\alpha_{\delta}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)\right|}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)}}\left|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right|\left|\theta_{\delta}\right|+\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)}\left|\theta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right|=  \tag{3.13}\\
& =\frac{\left|\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)\right|}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{0}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)+\delta}}\left|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right|\left|\theta_{\delta}\right|+\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)}\left|\theta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

and similarly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nabla\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right]\right| \leq \frac{\left|\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)\right|}{2 \sqrt{\alpha_{0}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)+\delta}}\left|\nabla \eta_{\delta}\right|\left|\theta_{\delta}\right|+\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)}\left|\nabla \theta_{\delta}\right| \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime} \theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq\left\|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{6}}$, it follows from (3.13) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right]^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} & \leq M\left\|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{6}}+\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \\
& \leq M C_{3}\left\|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}+|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{6}}\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $M$ is the same constant as in (A1), $|\Omega|$ stands for the volume of $\Omega$ and $C_{3}$ is a positive constant satisfying $\|z\|_{L^{6}} \leq C_{3}\|z\|_{H_{0}^{1}}$ for all $z \in H_{0}^{1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right]^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq \\
& \leq  \tag{3.15}\\
& 2\left(M^{2} C_{3}^{2}+|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\left\{\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)}^{2}\left\|\eta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, with $C_{4}:=\sup _{0 \leq r \leq 1} \sqrt{\alpha_{0}(r)+1}$, we have by (3.14)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right]\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} & \leq M\left\|\nabla \eta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{6}}+\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \nabla \theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}} \leq \\
& \leq M C_{3}\left\|\nabla \eta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{H_{0}^{1}}+C_{4}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{6}}\left\|\nabla \theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\nabla\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right]\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)}^{2} \leq  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq 2\left(M^{2} C_{3}^{2}+T C_{4}^{2}|\Omega|^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\left\|\theta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)}^{2}\left(\left\|\nabla \eta_{\delta}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2}+1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now, by (3.15) and (3.16) it is easy to find a constant $C_{2}\left(\eta_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$ such that (3.12) holds. In a way similar to the case (3.12), we obtain (3.11), too.

## 4 - Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section we give a proof of Theorem 2.1 in three steps.
First step. By the uniform estimates (3.5), (3.6), (3.11) and (3.12), we see that $\left\{\theta_{\delta}\right\}$ is bounded in $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right),\left\{\eta_{\delta}\right\}$ is bounded in $W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \cap$ $L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right)$, hence is bounded in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right)$ by (3.1), and $\left\{u_{\delta}:=\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right) \theta_{\delta}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{\delta}:=\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta}\left(\eta_{\delta}\right)} \theta_{\delta}\right\}$ are bounded in $W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right)$. Since $W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}} \hookrightarrow L^{2} \subset L^{\frac{3}{2}}$ and the first imbedding is compact, it follows from the Aubin's compactness theorem (cf. [13]) that we can extract a subsequence $\left\{\delta_{n}\right\}$ from ( 0,1$]$ with $\delta_{n} \downarrow 0$ (as $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and find functions $\eta, \theta, \chi, \zeta$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{n}:=\eta_{\delta_{n}} \rightarrow & \eta \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right), \text { weakly in } W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
& \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
& \text { and weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
\theta_{n}:=\theta_{\delta_{n}} \rightarrow \theta & \text { weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

(4.5) $u_{n}:=\alpha_{\delta_{n}}\left(\eta_{\delta_{n}}\right) \theta_{\delta_{n}} \rightarrow \chi$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)$,
and weakly in $W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right)$,
(4.7) $v_{n}:=\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta_{n}}\left(\eta_{\delta_{n}}\right)} \theta_{\delta_{n}} \rightarrow \zeta$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and weakly in } W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\eta \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \cap L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H^{1}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; H^{2}\right), \theta \in L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right), \chi \in$ $W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right), \zeta \in W^{1,2}\left(0, T ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap L^{2}\left(0, T ; W_{0}^{1, \frac{3}{2}}\right) \cap$ $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right), \eta(0)=\eta_{0}$ in $L^{2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \eta \leq 1, \text { hence } 0 \leq \alpha_{0}(\eta) \leq C_{5}:=\sup _{0 \leq r \leq 1} \alpha_{0}(r) \text { a.e on } Q_{T} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (4.1)-(4.3),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{\delta_{n}}\left(\eta_{\delta_{n}}\right) \rightarrow \alpha_{0}(\eta) \quad \text { in } C\left([0, T] ; L^{2}\right) \text { and weakly* in } L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; L^{\infty}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see from (4.4), (4.5), (4.7) and (4.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}=\alpha_{\delta_{n}}\left(\eta_{\delta_{n}}\right) \theta_{\delta_{n}} \rightarrow \alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \\
& v_{n}=\sqrt{\alpha_{\delta_{n}}\left(\eta_{\delta_{n}}\right)} \theta_{\delta_{n}} \rightarrow \sqrt{\alpha_{0}(\eta)} \theta \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, we have by (4.11) that $\chi=\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta:=u$ and $\zeta=\sqrt{\alpha_{0}(\eta)} \theta:=v$. By the way, on account of the Aubin's compactness theorem, (4.6) implies that
(4.12) $\quad u_{n} \rightarrow u=\alpha_{0}(\eta) \theta$ in $C\left([0, T] ; L^{\frac{3}{2}}\right)$ and hence $u(0)=\alpha_{0}\left(\eta_{0}\right) \theta_{0}$ in $L^{2}$.

SECOND STEP. In this step we show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)(\text { as } n \rightarrow \infty) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the key convergence in proving that the pair of functions $[\eta, \theta]$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ in the sense of Definition 2.1.

Since $\left[\eta_{n}, \theta_{n}\right]$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta_{n}}$, the following variational inequality holds (cf. (3.2)):

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right) \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{n}(t)-\theta_{m}(t)\right) d t+\nu \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla \theta_{n}(t), \nabla\left(\theta_{n}(t)-\theta_{m}(t)\right)\right) d t+  \tag{4.14}\\
& +\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{n}(x, t)\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{n}(x, t)\right| d x d t \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{n}(x, t)\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{m}(x, t)\right| d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha_{n}(\cdot):=\alpha_{\delta_{n}}(\cdot)$ for simplicity. Adding (4.14) and the inequality obtained by exchanging $n$ for $m$ in (4.14), we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right) \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right) \theta_{m}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{n}-\theta_{m}\right) d t+\nu \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d t \leq \\
& \leq L(\alpha) \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\eta_{n}-\eta_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}\left\|\nabla\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\nu}{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\nabla\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{m}\right)\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d t \leq \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right) \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right) \theta_{m}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{n}-\theta_{m}\right) d t\right|+  \tag{4.15}\\
& \quad+\frac{L(\alpha)^{2}}{2 \nu} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\eta_{n}-\eta_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}}^{2} d t=: \\
& =: I_{1}+I_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, we observe from (4.1) that $I_{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. As to $I_{1}$ we note the
following inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} \leq & \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t), \sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}(t)-\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}(t)\right) d t\right|+ \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}^{\prime}(t), \sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}(t)-\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}(t)\right) d t\right| \leq \\
\leq & \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t), \sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}(t)-\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}(t)\right) d t\right|+ \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t),\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)}-\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)}\right] \theta_{m}(t)\right) d t\right|+ \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}^{\prime}(t),\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)}-\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)}\right] \theta_{n}(t)\right) d t\right|+ \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}^{\prime}(t), \sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)} \theta_{n}(t)-\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)} \theta_{m}(t)\right) d t\right|=: \\
= & I_{3}+I_{4}+I_{5}+I_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In these inequalities, we infer from (3.6) and (4.7) that $I_{3} \rightarrow 0$ and $I_{6} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we observe from (3.6) and (4.10) with the help of the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem and a Sobolev inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\left[\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}\right)}-\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}\right)}\right] \theta_{m}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)}^{2} \leq \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)}-\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2}\left\|\theta_{m}(t)\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2} d t \leq  \tag{4.16}\\
& \leq C_{6}^{2}\left\|\theta_{m}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)}^{2} \int_{0}^{T}\left\|\sqrt{\alpha_{m}\left(\eta_{m}(t)\right)}-\sqrt{\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)}\right\|_{L^{4}}^{2} d t \longrightarrow \\
& \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n, m \rightarrow \infty
\end{align*}
$$

where $C_{6}$ is a positive constant such that

$$
\|z\|_{L^{4}} \leq C_{6}\|z\|_{H_{0}^{1}}, \quad \forall z \in H_{0}^{1}
$$

Therefore, by (3.6) and (4.16) that $I_{4} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, we see that $I_{5} \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, letting $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.15), we see that $\nabla\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{m}\right) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$. This implies that $\theta_{n} \rightarrow \theta$ in $L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$. Thus (4.13) holds.

Third step. In this step, we accomplish the proof of Theorem 2.1, namely we show that the pair of functions $[\eta, \theta]$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ in the sense of

Definition 2.1. Conditions (1) and (4) of Definition 2.1 are already seen in the first and second steps (cf. (4.1)-(4.9), (4.12)). We shall prove conditions (2) and (3) of Definition 2.1.

Since $\left[\eta_{n}, \theta_{n}\right]$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P})_{\delta_{n}}$, the following equation holds $(c f .(3.1))$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}^{\prime}(t)-\kappa \Delta_{N} \eta_{n}(t)+g\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)+\alpha^{\prime}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{n}(t)\right|=0 \text { in } L^{2} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T) \text {. }
$$

Now, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.17), we easily see by (4.1), (4.2) and (4.13) that

$$
\eta^{\prime}(t)-\kappa \Delta_{N} \eta(t)+g(\eta(t))+\alpha^{\prime}(\eta(t))|\nabla \theta(t)|=0 \text { in } L^{2} \text { for a.a. } t \in(0, T)
$$

Thus (2) of Definition 2.1 has been obtained.
Finally, we show the variational inequality (2.2). By (3.2) we have for each $n=1,2, \cdots$, and $z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right) \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{n}(t)-z(t)\right) d t+\nu \int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla \theta_{n}(t), \nabla \theta_{n}(t)-\nabla z(t)\right) d t+  \tag{4.18}\\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{n}(x, t)\right)\left|\nabla \theta_{n}(x, t)\right| d x d t \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha\left(\eta_{n}(x, t)\right)|\nabla z(x, t)| d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Here, note that the first term in the left hand side of (4.18) is written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right) \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{n}(t)-z(t)\right) d t= \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\left(\theta_{n}(x, t)-z(x, t)\right) d x d t+  \tag{4.19}\\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha_{n}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{n}(x, t)\right) \eta_{n}^{\prime}(x, t) \theta_{n}(x, t)\left(\theta_{n}(x, t)-z(x, t)\right) d x d t
\end{align*}
$$

Here we note from (4.1)-(4.3) that

$$
\alpha_{n}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \eta_{n}^{\prime}=\alpha_{0}^{\prime}\left(\eta_{n}\right) \eta_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(\eta) \eta^{\prime} \quad \text { weakly in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)
$$

Also, by (4.13), the compact imbedding $H_{0}^{1} \hookrightarrow L^{4}$ and the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we easily see that

$$
\theta_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \theta^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{n} z \rightarrow \theta z \quad \text { in } L^{2}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\right)
$$

Therefore, by (4.6), (4.13), (4.19) and the above convergences, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T}\left(\alpha_{n}\left(\eta_{n}(t)\right) \theta_{n}^{\prime}(t), \theta_{n}(t)-z(t)\right) d t= \\
& =\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime}(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x, t)) d x d t-  \tag{4.20}\\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(\eta(x, t)) \eta^{\prime}(x, t) \theta(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x, t)) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, passing to the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.18), we infer from (4.20) that $[\eta, \theta]$ satisfies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} u^{\prime}(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x, t)) d x d t- \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha_{0}^{\prime}(\eta(x, t)) \eta^{\prime}(x, t) \theta(x, t)(\theta(x, t)-z(x, t)) d x d t+ \\
& \quad+\nu \int_{0}^{T}(\nabla \theta(t), \nabla(\theta(t)-z(t))) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha(\eta(x, t))|\nabla \theta(x, t)| d x d t \leq \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} \int_{\Omega} \alpha(\eta(x, t))|\nabla z(x, t)| d x d t, \quad \forall z \in L^{2}\left(0, T ; H_{0}^{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is equivalent to the statement that (2.2) holds for a.a. $t \in(0, T)$ and all $z \in H_{0}^{1}$. Hence, we conclude that $[\eta, \theta]$ is a solution of $(\mathrm{P})$ in the sense of Definition 2.1. Thus, the proof of Theorem 2.1 has been completed.
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