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Abstract: We study a convection-diffusion elliptic system, with Dirichlet boundary conditions. In some cases, we will prove that we have more informations (with respect to the case of a single equation) about the summability of the solutions and of their gradients, thanks to the structure of our system.

## 1 - Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}, N>2$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f \in L^{p}(\Omega), p>\frac{r N}{2 r+N-2} ; \quad g \in L^{q}(\Omega), q>\frac{s N}{2 s+N-2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
r, s>\max \left(\frac{N}{2}-1,1\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $p, q>\max (N / 4,1)$.
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Here we study the following nonlinear system

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=f(x)-a \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(u|z|^{s}\right) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{3}\\ -\Delta z=g(x)-b \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(z|u|^{r}\right) & \\ \text { in } \Omega \\ u=z=0 & \\ \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.
In the case of a single linear equation like

$$
\begin{cases}-\operatorname{div}(M(x) \nabla u-u E(x))+B(x) \nabla u+\mu u=f(x) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{4}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

existence results can be found in [8], [2], [3]; nonlinear problems are studied in [6] (see also [1]) and the G-convergence is studied in [5].

The main difficulty in the study of our problem is due to noncoercivity of the differential operator. Our approach hinges on the Divergence Theorem and on the Dirichlet boundary condition.

In some cases, we will prove that we have more informations (with respect to the case of a single equation) about the summability of the solutions and of their gradients, thanks to the structure of our system.

We recall the following definition

$$
T_{n}(s)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
s, & \text { if } & |s| \leq n \\
n \frac{s}{|s|}, & \text { if } & |s|>n
\end{array}\right.
$$

we set $f_{n}(x)=T_{n}(f(x)), g_{n}(x)=T_{n}(g(x))$ and we consider the approximate problems
(5) $\begin{cases}-\Delta u_{n}=f_{n}(x)-a \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\mid T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right]^{s}\right) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ -\Delta z_{n}=g_{n}(x)-b \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r}\right) & \text { in } \Omega, \\ u_{n}=z_{n}=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}$

Note that a weak solution $u_{n}$ of (5) exists thanks to Schauder fixed point theorem. Moreover, since for every fixed $n$, the right hand sides belong to $W^{-1, \infty}(\Omega)$, every $u_{n}, z_{n}$ is bounded (see [8]), so that we can use as test functions nonlinear compositions of $u_{n}, z_{n}$. Furthermore we need the term $\frac{1}{n}$ in the right hand sides in order to apply the Divergence Theorem; if $r, s \geq 2 \frac{1}{n}$ is not necessary (in (5) and in the choice of the test functions).

## 2 - Existence

Theorem 2.1. Assume (1), (2). Then there exists a distributional solution $(u, z)$ of the system (3); the function $u$ belongs to $W_{0}^{1, \frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$, the function $z$ belongs to $W_{0}^{1, \frac{s N}{(s+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. We use

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{r}{a} \frac{\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r-2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right]-\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{r-1}}{r-1}, \\
& \frac{s}{b} \frac{\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s-2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right]-\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{s-1}}{s-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

as test functions in (5). We have

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \frac{r}{a} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r-2} \leq \\
& c_{r}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{(r-1) p^{\prime}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& +r \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r-2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s} \frac{\partial T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \frac{s}{b} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s-2} \leq \\
& c_{s}\|g\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{(s-1) q^{\prime}}\right]^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}} \\
& +s \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s-2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r} \frac{\partial T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

We add the two inequalities. The Divergence Theorem implies that the sum of
the last two terms is zero, since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s} \\
& =r \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r-2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s} \frac{\partial T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} \\
& \quad+s \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega}^{N}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s-2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right]\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r} \frac{\partial T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)}{\partial x_{i}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \frac{r}{a} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{r-2}+\frac{s}{b} \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{2}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{s-2} \\
& \leq c_{r}\|f\|_{L^{p}(\Omega)}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{(r-1) p^{\prime}}\right]^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
&+c_{s}\|g\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{(s-1) q^{\prime}}\right]^{\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Thanks to Sobolev inequality and to an elementary inequality on the left hand side and to Hölder inequality on right hand side, since $\frac{\frac{r N}{N-2}}{(r-1) p^{\prime}}>1$ and $\frac{\frac{s N}{N-2}}{(s-1) q^{\prime}}>1$ (as a consequence of (1)), we can deduce again that the sequences $\left\{T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\}$, $\left\{T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ are bounded in $L^{\frac{r N}{N-2}}(\Omega), L^{\frac{s N}{N-2}}(\Omega)$. Then in the two right hand sides of (5), $T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\left|T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|^{s}$ is bounded in $L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ with $\gamma=\frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}(\gamma>1$, if $\left.r>\frac{N-2}{2}\right)$ and $T_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{r}$ is bounded in $L^{\mu}(\Omega)$ with $\mu=\frac{s N}{(s+1)(N-2)}(\mu>1$, if $s>\frac{N-2}{2}$ ). Thus, with the Calderon-Zygmund theory, we conclude that the sequences

$$
\left\{u_{n}\right\},\left\{z_{n}\right\} \text { are bounded in } W_{0}^{1, \frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega), W_{0}^{1, \frac{s N}{(s+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)
$$

Then there exist two functions $u, z$ and two subsequences $\left\{u_{n_{k}}\right\},\left\{z_{n_{k}}\right\}$ such that $u_{n_{k}}$ converges weakly in $W_{0}^{1, \frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$ and a.e. to $u, z_{n_{k}}$ converges weakly in $W_{0}^{1, \frac{s N}{(s+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$ and a.e. to $z$. Of course $T_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)(x)$ converges a.e. to $u(x)$ and $T_{n_{k}}\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)(x)$ converges a.e. to $z(x)$, which implies, thanks to the estimates in $L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\mu}(\Omega)$, that $T_{n_{k}}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)(x)$ converges weakly to $u(x)$ in $L^{\gamma}(\Omega)$ and $T_{n_{k}}\left(z_{n_{k}}\right)(x)$ converges weakly to $z(x)$ in $L^{\mu}(\Omega)$.

Then it is possible to pass to the limit in (5) and we prove the existence of $u \in W_{0}^{1, \frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$ and $z \in W_{0}^{1, \frac{s N}{(s+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$ solutions of (3).

Remark 2.2 Note that the solutions belong to $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$ only if $N=3$.
Remark 2.3 If in (3) we consider differential operators whose principal part is $-\operatorname{div}(M(x) \nabla v)$ and $-\operatorname{div}(N(x) \nabla w)$ instead of $-\Delta v$ and $-\Delta w$, it is possible to repeat the proof of Theorem 2.1 if the matrices $M$ and $N$ are elliptic and sufficiently regular so that it is possible to use either the classical CalderonZygmund theory or the results recently proved by Haïm Brezis (see [7]).

Moreover if the matrices $M$ and $N$ are elliptic and bounded, we can repeat the proof of Theorem 2.1, but we cannot use the Calderon-Zygmund theory. Then we can prove the existence of solutions $u, z$ in $W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega)$, if $\gamma, \mu \geq 2$, which is possible only under the assumptions $r, s \geq 2$ and $N=3$.

## 3 - More summability

Lemma 2.1 Assume that the sequence $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $w$ in $W_{0}^{1, \gamma}(\Omega)$ and that $\left\|T_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)\right\|_{W_{0}^{1, \lambda}(\Omega)} \leq R$ with $1<\gamma<\lambda$. Then $w$ belongs to $W_{0}^{1, \lambda}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Thanks to the properties of the truncation, for every $k>0, T_{k}\left(w_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $T_{k}(w)$ in $W_{0}^{1, \gamma}(\Omega)$. Moreover, for every $k>0$, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{k}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{\lambda} \leq \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(w_{n}\right)\right|^{\lambda} \leq R, \quad n>k
$$

Thus $T_{k}\left(w_{n}\right)$ converges weakly to $T_{k}(w)$ also in $W_{0}^{1, \lambda}(\Omega)$ and we have

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{k}(w)\right|^{\lambda} \leq R
$$

Then the Fatou Lemma (as $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) implies that

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla w|^{\lambda} \leq R .
$$

ThEOREM 2.5.If $2(N-2)>r>\max \left(\frac{N}{2}-1,2\right)$, the solution $u$ belongs not only to $W_{0}^{1, \frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$, but also to $W_{0}^{1, \frac{r N}{r(N-1)-(N-2)}}(\Omega)$. If $2(N-2)>s>$ $\max \left(\frac{N}{2}-1,2\right)$, the solution $z$ belongs not only to $W_{0}^{1, \frac{s N}{(s+1)(N-2)}}(\Omega)$, but also to $W_{0}^{1, \frac{s N}{s(N-1)-(N-2)}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $r \geq 2$. Define $\frac{r N}{r(N-1)-(N-2)}=\alpha$ and note that $1<\alpha<2$, if $r \geq 2$. Inequality (6), Hölder inequality and $2 / \alpha>1$ imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha} \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{\alpha}}{\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{\frac{\alpha(r-2)}{2}}}\left[\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right]^{\frac{\alpha(r-2)}{2}} \\
& \leq C_{1}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{\alpha(r-2)}{2-\alpha}}\right]^{\frac{2-\alpha}{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\frac{r N}{N-2}=\frac{\alpha(r-2)}{2-\alpha}$, the right hand side is bounded, as we proved in Theorem 2.1. Remark that $\alpha>\frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)}$, if $2(N-2)>r$, so that the proof is complete using Lemma 2.4.

THEOREM 2.6.If $2>r>\max \left(\frac{N}{2}-1,1\right)$, then $u \in W_{0}^{1, \frac{N r}{N-2+r}}(\Omega)$. If $2>s>\max \left(\frac{N}{2}-1,1\right)$, then $z \in W_{0}^{1, \frac{N s}{N-2+s}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. If $1<r<2$, then (6) implies that

$$
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{2}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{2-r}} \leq C_{r, s, f, g}
$$

Now we follow [4]. Let $\mu=\frac{N r}{N-2+r}$ (note that $1<\mu<2$ ), then $\mu^{*}=\frac{N r}{N-2}=$ $\frac{(2-r) \mu}{2-\mu}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{\mu}}{\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{(2-r) \mu}{2}}}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{(2-r) \mu}{2}} \\
\leq C_{r, s, f, g}^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\frac{(2-r) \mu}{2-\mu}}\right]^{1-\frac{\mu}{2}}=C_{r, s, f, g}^{\frac{\mu}{2}}\left[\int_{\Omega}\left(\frac{1}{n}+\left|T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|\right)^{\mu^{*}}\right]^{1-\frac{\mu}{2}}
\end{gathered}
$$

So that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla T_{n}\left(u_{n}\right)\right|^{\frac{N r}{N-2+r}} \leq C_{r, s, f, g}
$$

Note that $\frac{r N}{(r+1)(N-2)} \leq \frac{N r}{N-2+r}$ if $N \geq 3$, so that the proof is complete using Lemma 2.4.
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