Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII Volume 36, Roma (2015), 1 – 9

An elliptic system with degenerate coercivity LUCIO BOCCARDO – GISELLA CROCE – CHIARA TANTERI

a Bernard, nostro maestro¹

ABSTRACT: We study the existence of solutions of a class of degererate elliptic systems.

1 – Introduction

1.1 - Setting

In this paper we study the existence of solutions of the degererate elliptic system

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{a(x)\nabla u}{(b(x)+|z|)^2}\right) + u = f(x),\\ -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{A(x)\nabla z}{(B(x)+|u|)^2}\right) + z = F(x), \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where Ω is a bounded, open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , with N > 2, a(x) and A(x) are measurable matrices such that, for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\alpha |\xi|^2 \le a(x)\xi\xi, \ \alpha |\xi|^2 \le A(x)\xi\xi; \ |a(x)| \le \beta, \ |A(x)| \le \beta.$$
 (1.2)

Moreover we assume

$$0 < \lambda \le b(x), \ B(x) \le \gamma, \tag{1.3}$$

for some $\lambda, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and

$$f(x), F(x) \in L^2(\Omega). \tag{1.4}$$

 1 (see [14, 15, 6, 7, 13, 16, 17]).

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: *Elliptic systems – Degenerate coercivity* A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION: 35J47, 35J57.

THEOREM 1.1. Under the assumptions (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), there exist $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ and $z \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, distributional solutions of the system (1.1).

1.2 - Comments

First of all, we note that existence of solutions belonging to the nonreflexive space $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ is not so usual in the study of elliptic problems. Recently the existence of solutions in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ was proved in [3, 4, 5], for elliptic scalar problems with degenerate coercivity (so that this paper is an extension to the systems of some of those results) and in some borderline cases of the Calderon-Zygmund theory of nonlinear Dirichlet problems in [9].

The main difficulty of the problem is that even if the differential operator is well defined between $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ and its dual, it is not coercive on $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$: degenerate coercivity means that when |v| is "large", $\frac{1}{(b(x)+|v|)^2}$ goes to zero: for an explicit example see [18].

The study of problems involving degenerate equations begins with the paper [8] and it is developed in [1, 10, 11, 12, 3, 4, 5] (see also [2])

2 – Existence

2.1 - A priori estimates

The first existence result is concerned with the case of a bounded data.

We recall the following definitions.

$$T_k(s) = \begin{cases} s, & \text{if } |s| \le k; \\ k \frac{s}{|s|}, & \text{if } |s| > k; \end{cases} \qquad G_k(s) = s - T_k(s).$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\rho > 0$, $\sigma > 0$ and $g, G \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Then there exist weak solutions w, W belonging to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ of the system

$$\begin{cases} w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{a(x)\nabla w}{(b(x) + |T_{\rho}(W)|)^2}\right) + w = g(x), \\ W \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{A(x)\nabla W}{(B(x) + |T_{\sigma}(w)|)^2}\right) + W = G(x). \end{cases}$$

PROOF. The existence is a consequence of the Leray-Lions theorem (or Schauder theorem) since the principal part is not degenerate, thanks to the presence of T_{ρ} and T_{σ} . Moreover, if we take $G_h(w)$ as test function in the first equation and $G_k(W)$

as test function in the second equation, we have, dropping two positive terms,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} [|w| - |g(x)|] |G_h(w)| \le 0, \\ \\ \int_{\Omega} [|W| - |G(x)|] |G_k(w)| \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Then the choice $h=\left\|g\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, $k=\left\|G\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ implies

$$\begin{cases} |w| \leq \left\|g\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)},\\ |W| \leq \left\|G\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if we set $\rho = \|g\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$ and $\sigma = \|G\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}$, we can say that w and W are bounded weak solutions of the system

$$\begin{cases} w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{a(x)\nabla w}{(b(x)+|W|)^2}\right) + w = g(x), \\ W \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{A(x)\nabla W}{(B(x)+|w|)^2}\right) + W = G(x). \end{cases}$$

Now we define

$$f_n = \frac{f}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|f|}, \qquad F_n = \frac{F}{1 + \frac{1}{n}|F|},$$

so that

$$||f_n - f||_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0, \qquad ||F_n - F||_{L^2(\Omega)} \to 0.$$
 (2.1)

Thanks to the Proposition 2.1, there exists a solution (u_n, z_n) of the system

$$\begin{cases} u_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{a(x)\nabla u_n}{(b(x)+|z_n|)^2}\right) + u_n = f_n(x), \\ z_n \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega) : -\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{A(x)\nabla z_n}{(B(x)+|u_n|)^2}\right) + z_n = F_n(x), \end{cases}$$
(2.2)

Now we prove our first estimates.

LEMMA 2.2. The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are bounded in $L^2(\Omega)$.

PROOF. We take $G_k(u_n)$ as a test function in the first equation and we have

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^2 \le \int_{\Omega} |f| |G_k(u_n)|$$
(2.3)

If we drop the first positive term and we use the Hölder inequality, then we have

$$\left[\int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left[\int_{\{k\le |u_n|\}} |f|^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$
(2.4)

Similar estimates hold true for z_n . In particular, taking k = 0, we have the boundedness of the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. So we have that there exist u, zsuch that, up to subsequences,

 $u_n \rightharpoonup u, \quad z_n \rightharpoonup z \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega).$ (2.5)

Then if we drop the second term in (2.3), we have

4

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla G_k(u_n)|^2}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \le \int_{\{k \le |u_n|\}} |f|^2.$$
(2.6)

A similar estimate for z_n comes from the second equation.

LEMMA 2.3. The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are bounded in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. A consequence of (2.6) and of the Hölder inequality is

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_k(u_n)| = \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla G_k(u_n)|}{(b(x) + |z_n|)} (b(x) + |z_n|)$$

$$\leq \left[\int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} \frac{|f|^2}{\alpha} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} (||b||_{L^2(\Omega)} + ||f||_{L^2(\Omega)}).$$

Similar estimates hold true for z_n . In particular, with k = 0, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{n}| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \left(\|b\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla z_{n}| \leq \frac{\|F\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \left(\|b\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right)}{\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$
(2.7)

Now we improve the convergence (2.5).

LEMMA 2.4. The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are compact in $L^2(\Omega)$.

PROOF. The estimates (2.7) imply, thanks to the Rellich embedding Theorem, the L^1 compacteness and then the a.e. convergences

$$u_n(x) \to u(x), \qquad z_n(x) \to z(x).$$
 (2.8)

Now we use the Vitali Theorem: since we have the a.e. convergences (2.8), the compactness is achieved if we prove the equiintegrability.

Let E be a measurable subset of Ω . Since $u_n = T_k(u_n) + G_k(u_n)$, we have (we use (2.4))

$$\int_{E} |u_{n}|^{2} \leq 2 \int_{E} |T_{k}(u_{n})|^{2} + 2 \int_{E} |G_{k}(u_{n})|^{2}$$
$$\leq 2 k^{2} |E| + 2 \int_{\Omega} |G_{k}(u_{n})|^{2}$$
$$\leq 2 k^{2} |E| + 2 \int_{\{k \leq |u_{n}|\}} |f|^{2},$$

where |E| denotes the measure of E. Now we recall that a consequence of Lemma 2.3 is that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $L^1(\Omega)$, so that if we fix $\epsilon > 0$, there exists k_{ϵ} such that (uniformly with respect to n)

$$\int_{\{k \le |u_n|\}} |f|^2 \le \epsilon, \quad k \ge k_\epsilon.$$

Then

$$\int_E |u_n|^2 \le 2k^2 |E| + 2\epsilon$$

implies

 $\lim_{|E|\to 0} \int_E |u_n|^2 \le 2\epsilon, \text{ uniformly with respect to } n.$

Similar inequality holds true for z_n .

LEMMA 2.5. The sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ are weakly compact in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$.

PROOF. Here we follow [4, 5]. Let again E be a measurable subset of Ω , and let i be in $\{1, \ldots, N\}$. Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{E} |\partial_{i}u_{n}| &\leq \int_{E} |\nabla u_{n}| = \int_{E} \frac{|\nabla u_{n}|}{b(x) + |z_{n}|} \left(b(x) + |z_{n}| \right) \\ &\leq \left[\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_{n}|^{2}}{(b(x) + |z_{n}|)^{2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\int_{E} (b(x) + |z_{n}|)^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\Omega} |f|^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \left[\int_{E} b(x) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left[\int_{E} |z_{n}|^{2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\}, \end{split}$$

5

where we have used the inequality (2.6) in the last passage. Since the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is compact in $L^2(\Omega)$, we have that the sequence $\{\partial_i u_n\}$ is equiintegrable. Thus, by Dunford-Pettis theorem, and up to subsequences, there exists Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$ such that $\partial_i u_n$ weakly converges to Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$. Since $\partial_i u_n$ is the distributional derivative of u_n , we have, for every n in \mathbb{N} ,

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_i u_n \, \phi = - \int_{\Omega} u_n \, \partial_i \phi \,, \quad \forall \, \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \,.$$

We now pass to the limit in the above identities, using that $\partial_i u_n$ weakly converges to Y_i in $L^1(\Omega)$, and that u_n strongly converges to u in $L^2(\Omega)$; we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} Y_i \phi = -\int_{\Omega} u \,\partial_i \phi \,, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^{\infty}(\Omega) \,,$$

which implies that $Y_i = \partial_i u$, and this result is true for every *i*. Since Y_i belongs to $L^1(\Omega)$ for every *i*, *u* belongs to $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$. A similar result holds true for z_n . \Box

Thus, thanks to Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5, we can improve the convergence (2.5):

$$\begin{cases} u_n \text{ converges weakly in } W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ to } u, \\ z_n \text{ converges weakly in } W_0^{1,1}(\Omega) \text{ and strongly in } L^2(\Omega) \text{ to } z. \end{cases}$$
(2.9)

2.2 - Proof of Theorem 1.1

First of all, we use the equiintegrability proved in Lemma 2.5: fix $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that, for every measurable subset E with $|E| \leq \delta(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n| \le \varepsilon.$$

Taking into account the absolute continuty of the Lebesgue integral, we have, for some $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$,

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n| \le \varepsilon, \quad \int_E |\nabla u| \le \varepsilon,$$

for every measurable subset E with $|E| \leq \tilde{\delta}(\varepsilon)$.

On the other hand, since $|\Omega|$ is finite and the sequence

$$D_n = \frac{a(x)}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2}$$

converges almost everywhere (recall (2.9)), the Egorov theorem says that for every q > 0, there exists a measurable subset F of Ω such that |F| < q, and D_n converges

to D uniformly on $\Omega \setminus F$. We choose $q = \tilde{\delta}$ so that we have, for every $\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{split} \left| \int_{\Omega} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| \\ [-1pt] &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus F} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| + \left| \int_F [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus F} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| + \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2} \| \left| \nabla \varphi \right| \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \left[\int_F \left| \nabla u_n \right| + \int_F \left| \nabla u \right| \right] \\ &\leq \left| \int_{\Omega \setminus F} [D_n \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi - D \nabla u \nabla \varphi] \right| + 2\varepsilon \frac{\beta}{\lambda^2} \| \left| \nabla \varphi \right| \|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} , \end{split}$$

which proves that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} \to \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x) \nabla u \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z|)^2}.$$
(2.10)

Thus, thanks to the above limit, (2.1) and Lemma 2.4, it is possible to pass to the limit in the weak formulation of (2.2), for every φ , $\psi \in \text{Lip}(\Omega)$,

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)\nabla u_n \nabla \varphi}{(b(x) + |z_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} u_n \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f_n(x) \varphi, \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x)\nabla z_n \nabla \psi}{(B(x) + |u_n|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} z_n \psi = \int_{\Omega} F_n(x); \end{cases}$$
(2.11)

and we prove that u and z are solutions of our system, in the following distributional sense

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)\nabla u\nabla\varphi}{(b(x)+|z|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} u\,\varphi = \int_{\Omega} f(x)\,\varphi, \quad \forall\,\varphi \in \operatorname{Lip}(\Omega); \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x)\nabla z\nabla\psi}{(B(x)+|u|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} z\,\psi = \int_{\Omega} F(x)\,\psi, \quad \forall\,\psi \in \operatorname{Lip}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

Now we show that, in the above definition of solution, it is possible to use less regular test functions: it possible to use functions only belonging to $W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. The above functions u and z are solutions of our system, in the following sense

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)\nabla u\nabla v}{(b(x)+|z|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} u \, v = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \, v, \quad \forall \, v \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega); \\ \int_{\Omega} \frac{A(x)\nabla z\nabla w}{(B(x)+|u|)^2} + \int_{\Omega} z \, w = \int_{\Omega} F(x) \, w, \quad \forall \, w \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega). \end{cases}$$
(2.13)

PROOF. In order to avoid technicalities, here we also assume that

$$a(x)$$
 and $A(x)$ are scalar functions. (2.14)

We start with the following inequalities (we use (2.6) with k = 0)

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{a(x)\nabla u_n}{(b(x)+|z_n|)^2} \right|^2 \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2} \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(b(x)+|z_n|)^2} \leq \frac{\alpha^2}{\lambda^2} \int_{\Omega} |f|^2.$$

Thus, up to subsequences, we can say that, for some $\Psi \in (L^2(\Omega))^N$,

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{a(x)\nabla u_n}{(b(x)+|z_n|)^2} \Phi \to \int_{\Omega} \Psi \Phi, \qquad (2.15)$$

for every $\Phi \in (L^2(\Omega))^N$. Now we compare the limit (2.10) with the limit (2.15), taking $\Phi = \nabla \varphi$, and we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{a(x) \nabla u}{(b(x) + |z|)^2} - \Psi \right] \Phi = 0.$$

Thus we proved that

$$\frac{a(x)\nabla u_n}{(b(x)+|z_n|)^2} \quad \text{weakly converges in } (L^2(\Omega))^N \text{ to } \ \frac{a(x)\nabla u}{(b(x)+|z|)^2},$$

which allows us to pass to the limit in (2.11) only assuming $\varphi, \psi \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Acknowledgements

This paper contains the unpublished part of the results presented by the first author in a talk at the conference "Calculus of Variations and Differential Equations - Conférence en l'honneur du 60ème anniversaire de Bernard Dacorogna" (Lausanne, 10-12 juin 2013).

REFERENCES

- L. BOCCARDO H. BREZIS: Some remarks on a class of elliptic equations, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., 6 (2003), 521–530.
- [2] L. BOCCARDO G. CROCE: Elliptic partial differential equations. Existence and regularity of distributional solutions, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 55, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.
- [3] L. BOCCARDO G. CROCE L. ORSINA: W₀^{1,1} minima of non coercive functionals, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, 22 (2011), 513–523.
- [4] L. BOCCARDO G. CROCE L. ORSINA: A semilinear problem with a W₀^{1,1} solution, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl., 23 (2012), 97–103.
- [5] L. BOCCARDO G. CROCE L. ORSINA: Nonlinear degenerate elliptic problems with W₀^{1,1} solutions, Manuscripta Math., 137 (2012), 419–439.

- [6] L. BOCCARDO B. DACOROGNA: A characterization of pseudo-monotone differential operators in divergence form, Comm. P.D.E., 9 (1984), 1107–1117.
- [7] L. BOCCARDO B. DACOROGNA: Monotonicity of certain differential operators in divergence form, Manuscripta Math., 64 (1989), 253–260.
- [8] L. BOCCARDO A. DALL'AGLIO L. ORSINA: Existence and regularity results for some elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, dedicated to Prof. C. Vinti (Perugia, 1996), Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, 46 (1998), 51–81.
- [9] L. BOCCARDO T. GALLOUËT: W₀^{1,1} solutions in some borderline cases of Calderon-Zygmund theory, J. Differential Equations, 253 (2012), 2698–2714.
- [10] G. CROCE: The regularizing effects of some lower order terms in an elliptic equation with degenerate coercivity, Rendiconti di Matematica, 27 (2007), 299–314.
- [11] G. CROCE: An elliptic problem with degenerate coercivity and a singular quadratic gradient lower order term, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, 5 (2012), 507–530.
- [12] G. CROCE: An elliptic problem with two singularities, Asymptot. Anal., 78 (2012), 1–10.
- [13] G. CROCE B. DACOROGNA: On a generalized Wirtinger inequality, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 9 (2003), 1329–1341.
- [14] B. DACOROGNA: Direct methods in the calculus of variations, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 78, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [15] B. DACOROGNA: Weak continuity and weak lower semicontinuity of nonlinear functionals, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 922, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [16] B. DACOROGNA C. TANTERI: On the different convex hulls of sets involving singular , Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, 128 (1998), 1261–1280.
- [17] B. DACOROGNA C. TANTERI: Implicit partial differential equations and the constraints of nonlinear elasticity, J. Math. Pures Appl., 81 (2002), 311–341.
- [18] A. PORRETTA: Uniqueness and homogenization for a class of noncoercive operators in divergence form, dedicated to Prof. C. Vinti (Perugia, 1996), Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998), 915–936.

Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 6 febbraio 2015 ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 26 febbraio 2015

Indirizzi degli Autori:

Lucio Boccardo – Sapienza Università di Roma – p.le A. Moro 2 – 00185 Roma – Italia Email: boccardo@mat.uniroma1.it

Gisella Croce – Université du Havre Email: gisella.croce@univ-lehavre.fr

Chiara Tanteri – École polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne Email: chiara.tanteri@epfl.ch