

An application of Q -curvature to an embedding of critical type

Luca Martinazzi*

August 4, 2009

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ be open, bounded and with smooth boundary, and let a sequence $\lambda_k \rightarrow 0^+$ be given. Consider a sequence $(u_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive smooth solutions to

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^m u_k = \lambda_k u_k e^{m u_k^2} & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_k = \partial_\nu u_k = \dots = \partial_\nu^{m-1} u_k = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Solutions to (1) arise from the Adams-Moser-Trudinger inequality [1, 10, 13]:

$$\sup_{u \in H_0^m(\Omega), \|u\|_{H_0^m}^2 \leq \Lambda_1} \int_{\Omega} e^{m u^2} dx = c_0(m) < +\infty, \quad (2)$$

where $c_0(m)$ is a dimensional constant, and $H_0^m(\Omega)$ is the Beppo-Levi space defined as the completion of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{H_0^m} := \|\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u\|_{L^2} = \left(\int_{\Omega} |\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (3)$$

and we set $\Delta^{\frac{m}{2}} u := \nabla \Delta^{\frac{m-1}{2}} u$ for m odd. In fact critical points of (2) under the constraint $\|u\|^2 = \Lambda_1$ solve (1). Then we have the following concentration-compactness result:

Theorem 1 *Let (u_k) be a sequence of solutions to (1) such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} u_k (-\Delta)^m u_k dx = \lambda_k \int_{\Omega} u_k^2 e^{m u_k^2} dx \rightarrow \Lambda < \infty, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (4)$$

Then either

(i) $\Lambda = 0$ and $u_k \rightarrow 0$ in $C^{2m-1, \alpha}(\Omega)$, or

(ii) There exists $I \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\Lambda \geq I \Lambda_1$, where $\Lambda_1 := (2m-1)! \text{vol}(S^{2m})$, and there is a finite set $S = \{x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(I)}\}$ such that

$$u_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}^{2m-1, \alpha}(\overline{\Omega} \setminus S),$$

and

$$\lambda_k u_k^2 e^{m u_k^2} \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^I \alpha_i \delta_{x^{(i)}}, \quad \alpha_i \geq \Lambda_1.$$

*This work was supported by ETH Research Grant no. ETH-02 08-2.

Theorem 1 has been proven by Adimurthi and M. Struwe [3] and Adimurthi and O. Druet [2] in the case $m = 1$, and by F. Robert and M. Struwe [11] for $m = 2$. Recently O. Druet [6] for the case $m = 1$, and M. Struwe [12] for $m = 2$ improved the previous results by showing that in case (ii) of Theorem 1 we have $\Lambda = L\Lambda_1$ for some positive $L \in \mathbb{N}$. Whether the same holds true for $m > 2$ is still an open question.

Part (ii) of the theorem shows an interesting threshold phenomenon: below the critical energy level Λ_1 we always have compactness. Moreover Λ_1 is the total Q -curvature of the sphere (see [8] for a short discussion of Q -curvature). We shall briefly explain how this remarkable connection with Riemannian geometry arises. It is easy to see that if we are not in case (i) of the theorem, then $\sup_{\Omega} u_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then we blow up, i.e. we define the scaled functions

$$\eta_k(x) := u_k(x_k)(u_k(x_k + r_k x) - u_k(x_k)) + \log 2,$$

where x_k is such that $u_k(x_k) = \max_{\Omega} u_k$ and $r_k \rightarrow 0$ is a scaling factor suitably chosen. Then it turns out that

$$\eta_k(x) \rightarrow \eta_0(x) \quad \text{in } C_{\text{loc}}^{2m-1}(\mathbb{R}^{2m}), \quad (k \rightarrow \infty), \quad (5)$$

where η_0 is a solution of the Liouville-type equation

$$(-\Delta)^m \eta = (2m-1)! e^{2m\eta} \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^{2m}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} e^{2m\eta} dx < \infty. \quad (6)$$

We recall (see e.g. [8]) that if η solves $(-\Delta)^m \eta = V e^{2m\eta}$ on \mathbb{R}^{2m} , then the conformal metric $g_{\eta} := e^{2\eta} |dx|^2$ has Q -curvature V , where $|dx|^2$ denotes the Euclidean metric. Now the problem is to understand what is the solution η_0 or (equivalently) what is the conformal metric g_{η_0} . A bunch of solution to (6) is given by the so-called *standard solutions*

$$\eta_{\lambda, x_0}(x) = \log \frac{2\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2 |x - x_0|^2}, \quad \lambda > 0, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2m}.$$

These are ‘‘spherical’’ solutions, as the metric $e^{2\eta_{\lambda, x_0}} |dx|^2$ can be obtained by pulling-back the metric of the round sphere S^{2m} onto \mathbb{R}^{2m} via the stereographic projection and a Möbius diffeomorphism.

While Chen and Li [5] proved that in the case $m = 1$ the only solutions to (6) are the standard solutions, Chang and Chen [4] show that for any $m > 1$ (6) possesses many other solutions. Therefore the problem of understanding η_0 starts to appear quite subtle. In fact we claim the following

Proposition 2 *For any $m > 1$ the function η_0 given by (5) is a standard solution to (6).*

Proposition 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \lambda_k u_k^2 e^{m u_k^2} dx &\geq (2m-1)! \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} e^{2m\eta_0} dx \\ &= (2m-1)! \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} Q_{S^{2m}} d\text{vol}_{g_{S^{2m}}} = \Lambda_1, \end{aligned}$$

This is the basic reason why $\alpha_i \geq \Lambda_1$ in case (ii) of Theorem 1.

The proof of Proposition 2 is based on a classification result for the solution to (6) due to the author [8] and on sharp gradient estimates for u_k . Let us start with the latter.

Proposition 3 *For any $R > 0$, $1 \leq \ell \leq 2m - 1$ there exists k_0 such that*

$$u_k(x_k) \int_{B_{Rr_k}(x_k)} |\nabla^\ell u_k| dx \leq C(Rr_k)^{2m-\ell}, \quad \text{for all } k \geq k_0. \quad (7)$$

Equivalently

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |\nabla^\ell \eta_k| dx \leq CR^{2m-\ell}. \quad (8)$$

The key idea in proving (7) is that

$$\|\Delta^m(u_k^2)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C, \quad (9)$$

so that one can write u_k^2 in terms of the Green function for Δ^m on Ω (it is convenient to work with u_k^2 because (7) is quadratic in u_k). Estimate (9) is an easy consequence of

Proposition 4 *For every $1 \leq \ell \leq 2m - 1$, $\nabla^\ell u_k$ belongs to the Lorentz space $L^{(2m/\ell, 2)}(\Omega)$ and*

$$\|\nabla^\ell u_k\|_{(2m/\ell, 2)} \leq C.$$

This follows by interpolation theory once we observe that (4) implies that $\Delta^m u_k$ is bounded in the Zygmund space $L(\log L)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It is instructive to remark that if we decided to be a bit sloppy and consider that (4) gives bounds for $\Delta^m u_k$ in $L^1(\Omega)$, then we would obtain the bounds $\|\nabla^\ell u_k\|_{(2m/\ell, \infty)} \leq C$ ($L^{(p, \infty)}$ being the Marcinkiewicz space, or weak- L^p). On the other hand those bounds are too weak to prove (9), hence Proposition 3.

We now turn to the classification result for solutions to (6).

Theorem 5 ([8]) *Let η be a solution to (6) and set*

$$v(x) := \frac{(2m-1)!}{\gamma_m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2m}} \log \left(\frac{|y|}{|x-y|} e^{2mu(y)} \right) dt,$$

where γ_m is such that $(-\Delta)^m \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \log \frac{1}{|x|} = \delta_0$. Then $\eta = v + p$, where p is a polynomial of degree at most $2m - 2$ and

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^j v(x) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m-1.$$

Moreover the following are equivalent:

- (i) η is a standard solution,
- (ii) p is constant.

Moreover if η is not a standard solution there exist $1 \leq j \leq m-1$ and a constant $a \neq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \Delta^j \eta(x) = a. \quad (10)$$

Taking the limit in (8) and applying Theorem 5 implies that η_0 is a standard solution.

References

- [1] D. ADAMS, *A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 385-398.
- [2] ADIMURTHI, O. DRUET, *Blow-up analysis in dimension 2 and a sharp form of Trudinger-Moser inequality*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **29** (2004), 295-322.
- [3] ADIMURTHI, M. STRUWE, *Global compactness properties of semilinear elliptic equations with critical exponential growth*, J. Functional Analysis **175** (2000), 125-167.
- [4] S-Y. A. CHANG, W. CHEN *A note on a class of higher order conformally covariant equations*, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems **63** (2001), 275-281.
- [5] W. CHEN, C. LI, *Classification of solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J. **63** (3) (1991), 615-622.
- [6] O. DRUET, *Multibumps analysis in dimension 2: quantification of blow-up levels*, Duke Math. J. **132** (2006), 217-269.
- [7] C. S. LIN, *A classification of solutions of conformally invariant fourth order equations in \mathbb{R}^n* , Comm. Math. Helv **73** (1998), 206-231.
- [8] L. MARTINAZZI, *Classification of the entire solutions to the higher order Liouville's equation on \mathbb{R}^{2m}* , to appear in Math. Z.
- [9] L. MARTINAZZI, *A threshold phenomenon for embeddings of H_0^m into Orlicz spaces*, to appear in Calc. Var. and Partial Differential Equations.
- [10] J. MOSER, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **20** (1970/71), 1077-1092.
- [11] F. ROBERT, M. STRUWE, *Asymptotic profile for a fourth order PDE with critical exponential growth in dimension four*, Adv. Nonlin. Stud. **4** (2004), 397-415.
- [12] M. STRUWE, *Quantization for a fourth order equation with critical exponential growth*, Math. Z. **256** (2007), 397-424.
- [13] N. S. TRUDINGER, *On embedding into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, J. Math. Mech. **17** (1967), 473-483.