

Large time behavior of weakly coupled systems of first-order Hamilton-Jacobi equations

Vinh NGUYEN

INSA-IRMAR, France.

E-mails: vinh.nguyen@insa-rennes.fr

Keywords: weakly coupled systems, large time behavior, Hamilton-Jacobi equations.

1 Problem

We study the large time behavior of systems of Hamilton-Jacobi equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + H_i(x, Du_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m d_{ij} u_j = 0 & (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times (0, +\infty), \\ u_i(x, 0) = u_{0i}(x), \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $d_{ii} \geq 0$, $d_{ij} \leq 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^m d_{ij} = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. We are interested in finding an ergodic constant vector $(c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and a function (v_1, \dots, v_m) such that

$$H_i(x, Dv_i) + \sum_{j=1}^m d_{ij}(x)v_j = c_i, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^N, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \quad (2)$$

and, for all $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$u_i(x, t) + c_i t \rightarrow v_i(x) \quad \text{uniformly as } t \text{ tends to infinity,}$$

2 Hypotheses+main result

We assume for $i = 1, \dots, m$ that

- (i) The function $p \mapsto H_i(x, p)$ is differentiable a.e.,
- (ii) $(H_i)_p p - H_i \geq 0$ for a.e. $(x, p) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$,
- (iii) There exists a, possibly empty, compact set K of \mathbb{T}^N such that
 - (a) $H_i(x, p) \geq 0$ on $K \times \mathbb{R}^N$,
 - (b) If $H_i(x, p) \geq \eta > 0$ and $d(x, K) \geq \eta$, then $(H_i)_p p - H_i \geq \Psi(\eta) > 0$.

(Result) Assume that $H_i \in C(\mathbb{T}^N \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies the above hypothesis. Then, the solution $(u_1, \dots, u_m) \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^N \times (0, \infty))^m$ of (1) converges uniformly to a solution (v_1, \dots, v_m) of (2).

3 Applications

A typical example satisfies our result is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t} + |Du_1 + f_1(x)|^2 - |f_1(x)|^2 + u_1 - u_2 = 0, \\ \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial t} + |Du_2 + f_2(x)|^2 - |f_2(x)|^2 + u_2 - u_1 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times (0, +\infty),$$

where $f_i \in C(\mathbb{T}^N)$. Another example which will be explained through control optimal is given in the next section.

4 Control optimal

Consider the controlled random evolution process (X_t, ν_t) with dynamics

$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}_t = b_{\nu_t}(X_t, a_t), \quad t > 0, \\ (X_0, \nu_0) = (x, i) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times \{1, \dots, m\}, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where the control law $a : [0, \infty) \rightarrow A$ is a measurable function (A is a compact subset of some metric space), $b_i \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^N \times A; \mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfies

$$|b_i(x, a) - b_i(y, a)| \leq C|x - y|, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{T}^N, \quad a \in A, \quad 1 \leq i \leq m. \quad (4)$$

For every a_t and matrix of probability transition $G = (\gamma_{ij})_{i,j}$ satisfying $\sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} = 1$ for $i \neq j$ and $\gamma_{ii} = -1$, there exists a solution (X_t, ν_t) , where $X_t : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^N$ is piecewise C^1 and $\nu(t)$ is a continuous-time Markov chain with state space $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and probability transitions given by

$$\mathbb{P}\{\nu_{t+\Delta t} = j \mid \nu_t = i\} = \gamma_{ij}\Delta t + o(\Delta t)$$

for $j \neq i$.

We introduce the value functions of the optimal control problems

$$u_i(x, t) = \inf_{a_t \in L^\infty([0, t], A)} \mathbb{E}_{x,i} \left\{ \int_0^t f_{\nu_s}(X_s) ds + u_{0, \nu_t}(X_t) \right\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{x,i}$ denote the expectation of a trajectory starting at x in the mode i , $f_i, u_{0,i} : \mathbb{T}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous and $f_i \geq 0$.

It is possible to show that the following dynamic programming principle holds:

$$u_i(x, t) = \inf_{a_t \in L^\infty([0, t], A)} \mathbb{E}_{x,i} \left\{ \int_0^t f_{\nu_s}(X_s) ds + u_{\nu_h}(X_h, t - h) \right\} \quad 0 < h \leq t.$$

Then the functions u_i satisfy the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} + \sup_{a \in A} -\langle b_i(x, a), Du_i \rangle + \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij}(u_i - u_j) = f_i(x, t) & (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^N \times (0, +\infty), \\ u_i(x, 0) = u_{0,i}(x) & x \in \mathbb{T}^N, \end{cases} \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

which has the form (1) by setting $H_i(x, p) = \sup_{a \in A} -\langle b_i(x, a), p \rangle - f_i(x)$ and $d_{ii} = \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij}$ and $d_{ij} = -\gamma_{ij}$ for $j \neq i$.

We assume that

$$\mathcal{F} = \{x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^N : f_i(x_0) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m\} \neq \emptyset, \quad (6)$$

And the following controllability assumption is satisfied: for every i , there exists $r > 0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{T}^N$, the ball $B(0, r)$ is contained in $\overline{\text{co}}\{b_i(x, A)\}$.

Then our result applies in this case. Roughly speaking, it means that the optimal strategy is to drive the trajectories towards a point x^* of \mathcal{F} and then not to move anymore (except maybe a small time before t). This is suggested by the fact that all the f_i 's have minimum 0 at x^* and, at such point, the running cost is 0.

5 References

- G. Barles and P. Souganidis. On the large time behavior of solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 31 (2000), no. 4, 925–939.
- A. Fathi. Sur la convergence du semi-groupe de Lax-Oleinik. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 327(3):267–270, 1998.
- G. Namah and J.-M. Roquejoffre. Remarks on the long time behaviour of the solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 24(5-6):883–893, 1999.