

On exit-time optimal control problems with a vanishing Lagrangian

Monica Motta (joint work with C. Sartori)

Università di Padova

September 2-7, 2012, Ravello

SADCO Workshop 2012

The exit-time control problem

- ▶ Consider the nonlinear control system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(y(t), \alpha(t)) & t > 0, \\ y(0) = x \ (\in \mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases}$$

The exit-time control problem

- ▶ Consider the nonlinear control system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(y(t), \alpha(t)) & t > 0, \\ y(0) = x \ (\in \mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ $\alpha(t) \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, where

either

A IS COMPACT

or

A IS A CONVEX CONE

The exit-time control problem

- ▶ Consider the nonlinear control system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}(t) = f(y(t), \alpha(t)) & t > 0, \\ y(0) = x \ (\in \mathbb{R}^n) \end{cases}$$

- ▶ $\alpha(t) \in A \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, where

either

A IS COMPACT

or

A IS A CONVEX CONE

- ▶ For any x, α , let $y(\cdot) \doteq y_x(\cdot, \alpha)$ denote the solution

- ▶ Target $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, nonempty closed set with compact boundary.

- ▶ Target $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, nonempty closed set with compact boundary.
- ▶ Exit-time

$$t_x(\alpha) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : y(t) \in \mathbf{C}\}$$

- ▶ Target $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, nonempty closed set with compact boundary.

- ▶ Exit-time

$$t_x(\alpha) = \inf\{t \geq 0 : y(t) \in \mathbf{C}\}$$

- ▶ Payoff

$$\mathcal{J}(x, \alpha) = \int_0^{t_x(\alpha)} l(y(t), \alpha(t)) dt$$

Degeneracy

- ▶ We assume $I(x, a) \geq 0 \forall (x, a)$ and we may have

$$\{x : I(x, a) = 0 \text{ for some } a \in A\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Degeneracy

- ▶ We assume $I(x, a) \geq 0 \forall (x, a)$ and we may have

$$\{x : I(x, a) = 0 \text{ for some } a \in A\} \neq \emptyset.$$

- ▶ Notice that if $\inf_{(x,a)} I(x, a) \geq \mu > 0$, then

$$t_x(\alpha) = +\infty \implies J(t_x(\alpha), \alpha) = +\infty$$

Degeneracy

- ▶ We assume $l(x, a) \geq 0 \forall (x, a)$ and we may have

$$\{x : l(x, a) = 0 \text{ for some } a \in A\} \neq \emptyset.$$

- ▶ Notice that if $\inf_{(x,a)} l(x, a) \geq \mu > 0$, then

$$t_x(\alpha) = +\infty \implies J(t_x(\alpha), \alpha) = +\infty$$

- ▶ We may have instead finite cost even if $t_x(\alpha) = +\infty$

- WE CONSIDER the asymptotic value function

$$\mathcal{V}(x) \doteq \inf_{\{\alpha: \liminf_{t \rightarrow t_x^-(\alpha)} \mathbf{d}(y(t))=0\}} \mathcal{J}(t_x(\alpha), \alpha)$$

- ▶ WE CONSIDER the asymptotic value function

$$\mathcal{V}(x) \doteq \inf_{\{\alpha: \liminf_{t \rightarrow t_x^-(\alpha)} \mathbf{d}(y(t))=0\}} \mathcal{J}(t_x(\alpha), \alpha)$$

- ▶ **Remark.** The asymptotic exit-time problem is strictly related to the infinite horizon problem. For instance, in the INFINITE HORIZON LINEAR QUADRATIC PROBLEM :

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{V}^\infty = \inf_{\alpha} \int_0^{+\infty} [yPy + \alpha Q\alpha] dt, \\ y' = f_0(y) + \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(y)\alpha_i, \quad y(0) = x \quad (\alpha(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m) \end{cases}$$

where P, Q are symmetric, positive definite matrices and $f_0(0) = 0$:

$$\mathcal{V}^\infty \equiv \mathcal{V} \text{ if } \mathbf{C} = \{0\}.$$

Some problems due to degeneracy

- ▶ The continuity of \mathcal{V} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ implies only USC on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}$

Some problems due to degeneracy

- ▶ The continuity of \mathcal{V} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ implies only USC on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ lack of uniqueness for the associated PDE:

$$- \max_{a \in A} \{ \langle Du(x, a), f(x, a) \rangle + l(x, a) \} = 0$$

[Bardi, Capuzzo-D.,97], [Camilli, Siconolfi, 99], [Soravia,99] [Malisoff,04],
[Motta,04]

Some problems due to degeneracy

- ▶ The continuity of \mathcal{V} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ implies only USC on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ lack of uniqueness for the associated PDE:

$$-\max_{a \in A} \{ \langle Du(x, a), f(x, a) \rangle + l(x, a) \} = 0$$

[Bardi, Capuzzo-D.,97], [Camilli, Siconolfi, 99], [Soravia,99] [Malisoff,04],
[Motta,04]

- ▶ "Lavrentiev" phenomenon: regularized problems (e.g., $l_n > 0 \rightarrow l$), may fail to converge to the original minimization problem.

Some problems due to degeneracy

- ▶ The continuity of \mathcal{V} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ implies only USC on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}$
- ▶ lack of uniqueness for the associated PDE:

$$-\max_{a \in A} \{ \langle Du(x, a), f(x, a) \rangle + l(x, a) \} = 0$$

[Bardi, Capuzzo-D.,97], [Camilli, Siconolfi, 99], [Soravia,99] [Malisoff,04],
[Motta,04]

- ▶ "Lavrentiev" phenomenon: regularized problems (e.g., $l_n > 0 \rightarrow l$), may fail to converge to the original minimization problem.
- ▶ "ill posedness" for impulsive problems (if A is unbounded)
[Guerra, Sarychev,09]

Goals

GIVE general and explicit sufficient conditions in order to have

- ▶ \mathcal{V} continuous in its domain (when continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$);
- ▶ penalized problems converging to \mathcal{V} (including coercive approximations of non coercive problems);
- ▶ characterization of \mathcal{V} as unique non negative viscosity solution of a suitable boundary value problem;
- ▶ well-posedness for impulsive problems.

Basic hypotheses

FROM NOW ON, A IS A CONVEX CONE.

- ▶ We assume: the dynamics f continuous and

Basic hypotheses

FROM NOW ON, A IS A CONVEX CONE.

- ▶ We assume: the dynamics f continuous and
- ▶ $\exists p \geq 1$, $M > 0$ and $\forall R > 0 \exists L_R > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_1, a) - f(x_2, a)| &\leq L_R(1 + |a|^p)|x_1 - x_2| \quad \text{if } |x_1|, |x_2| \leq R, \\ |f(x, a)| &\leq M(1 + |a|^p)(1 + |x|). \end{aligned}$$

Basic hypotheses

FROM NOW ON, A IS A CONVEX CONE.

- ▶ We assume: the dynamics f continuous and
- ▶ $\exists p \geq 1$, $M > 0$ and $\forall R > 0 \exists L_R > 0$:

$$|f(x_1, a) - f(x_2, a)| \leq L_R(1 + |a|^p)|x_1 - x_2| \quad \text{if } |x_1|, |x_2| \leq R,$$

$$|f(x, a)| \leq M(1 + |a|^p)(1 + |x|).$$

- ▶ $\forall x$ and $\forall \alpha \in L_{loc}^p$, $y_x(\cdot, \alpha)$ is the unique, global solution.

- ▶ The Lagrangian L is non negative and continuous;

- ▶ The Lagrangian I is non negative and continuous;
- ▶ $\exists q \geq p \geq 1$ and $\forall R > 0 \exists M_R > 0$ and a modulus $\omega(\cdot, R)$:

$$|I(x_1, a) - I(x_2, a)| \leq (1 + |a|^q) \omega(|x_1 - x_2|, R)$$

$$0 \leq I(x, a) \leq M_R(1 + |a|^q) \quad \text{if } |x_1|, |x_2|, |x| \leq R.$$

- ▶ The Lagrangian I is non negative and continuous;
- ▶ $\exists q \geq p \geq 1$ and $\forall R > 0 \exists M_R > 0$ and a modulus $\omega(\cdot, R)$:

$$|I(x_1, a) - I(x_2, a)| \leq (1 + |a|^q) \omega(|x_1 - x_2|, R)$$

$$0 \leq I(x, a) \leq M_R(1 + |a|^q) \quad \text{if } |x_1|, |x_2|, |x| \leq R.$$

- ▶ **Remark.** We consider both

(C) $I(x, a) \geq C_2|a|^q - C_1 \quad C_1, C_2 > 0$
 for $q > p$, coercive case; and for $q = p$, weakly coercive case;

(NC) $I \equiv I(x)$, cheap control (in general, I non coercive)

$\forall x$, we consider the set of asymptotic controls

$$\mathcal{A}(x) \doteq \{\alpha \in L^q_{loc}([0, +\infty[, A) : \liminf_{t \rightarrow t_x^-(\alpha)} \mathbf{d}(y(t)) = 0\},$$

where $\mathbf{d}(x) \doteq \text{dist}(x, \mathbf{C})$.

Continuity propagation

Propagation Theorem. Assume (H1) below. If \mathcal{V} is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$, then it is continuous on its domain.

(H1) $\forall M, \delta > 0, \exists T, K > 0$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B(\mathbf{C}, \delta)}$ and $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ verifying $\int_0^{t_x(\alpha)} l(y_x(t, \alpha), \alpha(t)) dt \leq M$, one has

$$t_x^\delta(\alpha) \doteq \inf \left\{ t > 0 : y_x(t, \alpha) \in \overline{B(\mathbf{C}, \delta)} \right\} \leq T$$

and

$$\int_0^{t_x^\delta(\alpha)} |\alpha(t)|^q dt \leq K.$$

Continuity propagation

Propagation Theorem. Assume (H1) below. If \mathcal{V} is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$, then it is continuous on its domain.

(H1) $\forall M, \delta > 0, \exists T, K > 0$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \overline{B(\mathbf{C}, \delta)}$ and $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x)$ verifying $\int_0^{t_x(\alpha)} l(y_x(t, \alpha), \alpha(t)) dt \leq M$, one has

$$t_x^\delta(\alpha) \doteq \inf \left\{ t > 0 : y_x(t, \alpha) \in \overline{B(\mathbf{C}, \delta)} \right\} \leq T$$

and

$$\int_0^{t_x^\delta(\alpha)} |\alpha(t)|^q dt \leq K.$$

► **Remark.** If l satisfies the coercivity condition (C) both for $q > p$ or $q = p$, the last **control constraint** can be dropped.

Penalized problems

- ▶ Let $\rho = \rho(x, a) \geq 0$ be continuous. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. $\forall x$, define

Penalized problems

- ▶ Let $\rho = \rho(x, a) \geq 0$ be continuous. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. $\forall x$, define
- ▶ the ε -penalized value function

$$\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(x) \doteq \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \int_0^{t_x(\alpha)} [l(y(t), \alpha(t)) + \varepsilon \rho(y(t), \alpha(t))] dt;$$

Penalized problems

- ▶ Let $\rho = \rho(x, a) \geq 0$ be continuous. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. $\forall x$, define
- ▶ the ε -penalized value function

$$\mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(x) \doteq \inf_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x)} \int_0^{t_x(\alpha)} [l(y(t), \alpha(t)) + \varepsilon \rho(y(t), \alpha(t))] dt;$$

- ▶ MOST USED PENALIZATIONS: $\rho \equiv 1$, $\rho \equiv |a|^r$ ($r > q$)

Approximation Theorem. We have

(i) $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon(x) = \mathcal{U}(x) \doteq$
 $\inf_{\{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(x) : \int_0^{t_x(\alpha)} \rho(y(t), \alpha(t)) dt < +\infty\}} \mathcal{J}(t_x(\alpha), \alpha).$

(ii) If \mathcal{U} is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$, then $\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{U}$.

(iii) If $\mathcal{V}_{\bar{\varepsilon}}$ is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ for some $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ and \mathcal{V} is continuous in its domain,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \mathcal{V}_\varepsilon = \mathcal{V}$$

uniformly on compact sets $Q \subset \text{Dom}(\mathcal{V})$.

Characterization of \mathcal{V}

Consider

$$\mathcal{V}^f(x) \doteq \inf_{\{\alpha: t_x(\alpha) < +\infty\}} \mathcal{J}(t_x(\alpha), \alpha), \quad \mathcal{V}^e(x) \doteq \inf_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}(t_x(\alpha), \alpha)$$

IN GENERAL: $\mathcal{V}^e(x) < \mathcal{V}(x) < \mathcal{V}^f(x)$ for some x



NO UNIQUE solution to the associated HJ equation

Coercive case

Uniqueness Theorem 1. Assume (C) (i.e. $l \geq C_2|a|^q - C_1$, $C_1, C_2 > 0$) for $q > p$ and "f, l-convexity", in short, (CV). If $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^e$ and \mathcal{V} is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$, then \mathcal{V} is continuous and it is the unique nonnegative viscosity solution to

$$-\inf_{a \in A} \{ \langle f(x, a), Du \rangle + l(x, a) \} = 0 \quad \text{in } \text{Dom}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus \mathbf{C}$$

such that $u = 0$ on $\partial\mathbf{C}$.

Remark. We assume $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^e$, but \mathcal{V}^f may be different...

Weakly coercive and non coercive problems

Following the graph-completion approach, we should introduce generalized or impulsive controls and trajectories.

[Bressan, Rampazzo,88], [Rampazzo, Sartori,00], [Motta, 04]

WE DENOTE by W the generalized asymptotic value function obtained by minimizing over asymptotic **generalized controls**.

In an analogous way, we introduce also W^f, W^e .

Remark. IN GENERAL, $W^e \leq W \leq W^f$ and

$$W \leq \mathcal{V}, \quad W^f \leq \mathcal{V}^f, \quad W^e \leq \mathcal{V}^e.$$

For UNIQUENESS IN THE NON COERCIVE CASE, consider

MODEL CASE: f, l polynomials in the control variable. E.g.

$q = p = 2$ and

$$f(x, a) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x) a_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^m F_{i,j}(x) a_i a_j,$$

$$l(x, a) = l_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m l_i(x) a_i + \sum_{i,j=1}^m L_{i,j}(x) a_i a_j$$

The recession function of f, l are defined as:

$$f^\infty(x, a) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m F_{ij}(x) a_i a_j, \quad l^\infty(x, a) = \sum_{i,j=1}^m L_{ij}(x) a_i a_j.$$

Assume

- ▶ the transversality condition:

$$(T) \quad \forall x \notin \mathbf{C}, \forall a \in A, a \neq 0: |f^\infty(x, a)| + l^\infty(x, a) \neq 0.$$

Assume

- ▶ the traversality condition:

$$(T) \quad \forall x \notin C, \forall a \in A, a \neq 0: |f^\infty(x, a)| + I^\infty(x, a) \neq 0.$$

- ▶ **Remark.** I weakly coercive, i.e. $I \geq C_2|a|^p - C_1 \implies (T)$;

Assume

- ▶ the transversality condition:

$$(T) \quad \forall x \notin C, \forall a \in A, a \neq 0: |f^\infty(x, a)| + I^\infty(x, a) \neq 0.$$

- ▶ **Remark.** I weakly coercive, i.e. $I \geq C_2|a|^p - C_1 \implies (T)$;
- ▶ I cheap: if $I = I(x)$ and $f(x, a) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x)a_i$,

$$(T) \iff \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(x)w_i \neq 0 \quad \forall w \in A, w \neq 0.$$

Uniqueness Theorem, 2. Let $q = p$ and \mathcal{V} continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$.

Then

(i) $\mathcal{V} \equiv W$;

(ii) Assume (CV), (T) (i.e., convexity plus transversality) and $W = W^e$. Then \mathcal{V} is continuous and it is the unique nonnegative viscosity solution to

$$-\inf_{a \in A} \{ \langle f(x, a), Du \rangle + l(x, a) \} = 0 \quad \text{in } \text{Dom}(\mathcal{V}) \setminus \mathbf{C}$$

such that $u = 0$ on $\partial\mathbf{C}$.

Remark. Hypothesis $W = W^e$ is stronger than $\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}^e$ (assumed in Uniqueness Theorem 1)

The remaining time will be used to state

- (i) the result of [Motta, Rampazzo, 12] IMPLYING the continuity of \mathcal{V} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$

- (ii) some EXPLICIT conditions IMPLYING both propagation of continuity and $\mathcal{V} \equiv \mathcal{V}^e, W \equiv W^e$

Asymptotic Controllability with a cost

Definition. [Motta, Rampazzo, 12] A continuous function $U : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **Minimum Restraint Function**, **MRF**, for $A' \subset A$ if

- U is locally semiconcave, positive definite, proper on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{C}$;
- $\exists k > 0$ such that

$$H(x, k, D^* U(x)) \doteq \inf_{a \in A'} \{ \langle D^* U(x), f(x, a) \rangle + k l(x, a) \} < 0.$$

Sufficient condition, 1

- ▶ By [Motta-Rampazzo, '12] it follows that

Theorem 1. If there exists a (local) MRF U for $A' \subset A$, A' compact, then \mathcal{V} is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$.

Sufficient condition, 1

- ▶ By [Motta-Rampazzo, '12] it follows that

Theorem 1. If there exists a (local) MRF U for $A' \subset A$, A' compact, then \mathcal{V} is continuous on $\partial\mathbf{C}$.

- ▶ **Remark.** We can obtain similar sufficient conditions for the continuity of \mathcal{V}_ε , \mathcal{U} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ and $\rho = 1$, $\rho = |a|^r$ ($r > q$),

Sufficient condition, 2

Theorem 2. Assume either coercivity, i.e. (C) for $q \geq p$ or (CV)+(T) (convexity plus transversality). If

$$l(x, a) \geq m(\mathbf{d}(x)) \quad (\mathbf{d}(x) \doteq \text{dist}(x, \mathbf{C}))$$

for some continuous, increasing function $m :]0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[$, then

- (i) the continuity of \mathcal{V} on $\partial\mathbf{C}$ propagates to its domain;
- (ii) $\mathcal{V} \equiv W \equiv W^e \equiv \mathcal{V}^e$.

Sufficient condition, 3

Theorem 3. If there exists a MRF U for A such that

$$\sup_{a \in A} \{ \langle D^* U(x), f(x, a) \rangle + k l(x, a) + (1 + |a|^q) m(U(x)) \} \leq 0$$

for some continuous, increasing function $m :]0, +\infty[\rightarrow]0, +\infty[$, then

- (i) \mathcal{V} is continuous in \mathbb{R}^n ;
- (ii) $\mathcal{V} \equiv W \equiv W^e \equiv \mathcal{V}^e$.