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In loving memory of Louis

## Boggio, 1905

Let $m \geq 1$ and $u \in L^{1}\left(B_{r}\right)$ be such that

$$
\int_{B_{r}} u(x) \Delta^{2 m} \varphi(x) d x \geq 0, \quad \forall \varphi \in C^{4 m}\left(B_{r}\right) \cap H_{0}^{2}\left(B_{r}\right), \varphi \geq 0
$$

Then $u \geq 0$. Moreover $u>0$ in $B_{r}$ or $u \equiv 0$ a.e. in $B_{r}$.
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So far the strong maximum principle holds for the following operators:

- $N=2, \Delta^{2 m}+\varepsilon L, 4 m$-uniformly elliptic small perturbation on slight deformations of the ball;
- $N \geq 2, \Delta^{2 m}, m \geq 1$ on slight deformations of the ball;
- $N \geq 2, \Delta^{2 m}+\sum_{|\alpha| \leq 4 m-1} a_{\alpha}(x) D^{\alpha} u$, where $a_{\alpha}$ is small enough, on the ball.

[^0]
## Bad news. . .

## Grunau-Sweers, 2014

MP is false without further assumptions even for $\Delta^{2 m}$. For any $N \geq 2$, there is a bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ such that the solution of $\Delta^{2} u=1$ on $\Omega$ and $u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$, changes sign.
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## Abatangelo-Jarohs-Saldana, 2018

MP fails also for the higher order fractional Laplacian.

## Heuristic: bending vs tension



$$
E(u)=\frac{1}{2} \int|\Delta u|^{2}+\frac{\gamma}{2} \int|\nabla u|^{2}
$$
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Do we miss something?

Two key ingredients from second order elliptic equations:

- Caccioppoli's inequality;
- Harnack's inequality.


## Caccioppoli's inequality

Let $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u(x)\right)=0 \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ coefficients is uniformly elliptic on a open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Then, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A^{+}\left(x_{0}, k, \rho\right)}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x \leq \frac{c}{(r-\rho)^{2}} \int_{A^{+}\left(x_{0}, k, r\right)}|u(x)-k|^{2} d x, \quad 0<\rho<r \tag{0.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{+}\left(x_{0}, k, r\right)=\left\{x: x \in B\left(x_{0}, r\right), u(x)>k\right\}$.

## Harnack's inequality and consequences

## Definition

We say that $u: \Omega \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Harnack's inequality if there exists $c>0$ such that for all $B\left(x_{0}, R\right) \subset \Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)} u \leq c \inf _{B\left(x_{0}, R\right)} u, \quad r \leq R . \tag{0.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Strong maximum principle

Let $u \geq 0$ satisfy (0.3). Then $u>0$ on $\Omega$ or $u \equiv 0$ on $\Omega$.
Just apply (0.3) to $u+\varepsilon$, for all $\varepsilon>0$.

## Regularity

If $u$ satisfies (0.3) then it is Hölder continuous on $\Omega$.
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Remark. We do not require $u$ to solve a PDE.
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Then: what are the functions which satisfy (0.3)?

## Answer:

- Subharmonic positive functions: $u \in C^{2}(\Omega)$ s.t. $\Delta u \geq 0$ or (by Weyl's lemma) $u \in C^{0}(\Omega)$ s.t.

$$
\forall \varphi \in C_{C}^{\infty}(\Omega), \varphi \geq 0: \int_{\Omega} u(x) \Delta \varphi(x) d x \geq 0
$$

- $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ which are positive solutions to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u(x)\right)=0 \tag{0.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the matrix of $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ coefficients is uniformly elliptic on $\Omega$.

- $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega), u \geq 0$ belongs to $D G(\Omega)$, De Giorgi's class on $\Omega$ : $\exists c>0$ s.t. $\forall x_{0} \in \Omega$, $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \subset \Omega$ and $\forall k \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{A^{+}\left(x_{0}, k, \rho\right)}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x \leq \frac{c}{(r-\rho)^{2}} \int_{A^{+}\left(x_{0}, k, r\right)}|u(x)-k|^{2} d x, \quad 0<\rho<r \tag{0.5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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Remark. Solutions to (0.4) do have membership in $D G(\Omega)$.

## A main obstruction in the higher order context:

If $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ solves

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u(x)\right)=0
$$

then $(u-k)^{+}=\max \{(u-k), 0\} \in W^{1,2}(\Omega)$ is also a solution.
In contrast, if $u \in W^{m, 2}(\Omega), m>1$, solves

$$
\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^{\alpha}\left(a_{\alpha \beta}(x) D^{\beta} u(x)\right)=0
$$

in general $(u-k)^{+} \notin W^{m, 2}(\Omega)$.
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However, functions enjoying Harnack's inequality satisfy the MP with no need for being solutions to PDE nor belonging to $D G(\Omega)$.
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## Philosophy

Looking for a Harnack type inequality for functions which do not necessarily belong to $D G(\Omega)$ though with augmented regularity, namely $W^{1, t}(\Omega), t>N$.

## A Harnack type inequality with remainder term

Theorem (C.-Tarsia, 2021)
Let $u \in W^{1, t}(\Omega)$, where $t>N \geq 2$. Then, there exist $c, \alpha, \beta, \gamma>0$ s.t. for all $B\left(x_{0}, r\right) \subset \Omega$ the following holds

$$
\sup _{B\left(x_{0}, \frac{r}{2}\right)} u \leq \inf _{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)} u+c r^{\alpha}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}|\nabla u|^{t} d x\right)^{\beta}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{\gamma} .
$$

## Main steps

- $L^{2}$-sublevel set estimates with $\|u\|_{t}$ and $\|\nabla u\|_{t}$ as remainders;
- quantitative version...constants count;
- Harnack type inequality for sublevel sets in the r.h.s.;
- the set where the the inequality fails has measure zero.


## Corollary

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N \geq 2$ be open, connected, with sufficiently smooth boundary and which enjoys the interior sphere condition. Let $x_{\max }$ and $x_{\min }$ are respectively a local inner maximum and local inner minimum points for $u \in W^{1, t}(\Omega), t>N$. Then, there exists $C=C(N, \Omega)>0$ and $h \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
u\left(x_{\max }\right) \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+\operatorname{Ch}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{t} d x\right)^{\beta}\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x\right)^{\gamma}
$$

where in particular $h$ depends only on $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{\max }, \partial \Omega\right)$, $\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{\min }, \partial \Omega\right)$.

## Sketch of proof

Let $r>0$ be such that:
i) for all $x \in B\left(x_{\min }, r\right) \subset \Omega$ one has $u(x) \geq u\left(x_{\min }\right)$;
ii) $\overline{B\left(x_{\text {min }}, r\right)} \subset \Omega$;
iii) $\overline{B\left(x_{\max }, r\right)} \subset \Omega$.

Consider the arc $g:[0,1] \longrightarrow \Omega$ such that $g(0)=x_{\min }$ and $g(1)=x_{\max }$. Let $t_{0}=0<\ldots t_{h}=1$ be a partition of $[0,1]$ such that setting $x_{i}=g\left(t_{i}\right)$ one has

$$
B\left(x_{i}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \cap B\left(x_{i+1}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \neq \emptyset, \quad i=0, \ldots, h-1
$$

and where $r$ is such that $B\left(x_{i}, r\right) \subset \Omega$. By the previous Theorem we have

$$
\sup _{B\left(x_{0}, \frac{r}{2}\right)} u \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+c r^{\alpha}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}|\nabla u(x)|^{t} d x\right)^{\beta}\left(\int_{B\left(x_{0}, r\right)}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{\gamma}
$$

which we rewrite in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x \in B\left(x_{0}, \frac{r}{2}\right), \quad u(x) \leq \sup _{B\left(x_{0}, \frac{r}{2}\right)} u \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+N_{0} \tag{0.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we set for $i=0, \ldots, h-1$

$$
N_{i}:=c(N, \Omega)\left(\int_{B\left(x_{i}, r\right)}|\nabla u(x)|^{t} d x\right)^{\beta} \quad\left(\int_{B\left(x_{i}, r\right)}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x\right)^{\gamma}
$$

Now inequality (0.6) in particular holds for

$$
x \in B\left(x_{1}, \frac{r}{2}\right) \cap B\left(x_{0}, \frac{r}{2}\right)
$$

and thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{B\left(x_{1}, \frac{r}{2}\right)} u \leq u(x) \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+N_{0} \tag{0.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying iteratively the above Harnack type inequality we end up with

$$
\sup _{B\left(x_{h}, \frac{r}{2}\right)} u \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+N_{h}+\cdots+N_{1}+N_{0} .
$$

## Theorem (C.-Tarsia, 2010/2021)

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, N=2,3$, be an open connected and bounded set, with sufficiently smooth boundary and which satisfies the interior sphere condition. Let $u \in W^{2,2}(\Omega)$ be a weak solution to

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u-\gamma \Delta u=f, & \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \gamma \geq 0 \\ u=\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0, & \text { on } \partial \Omega .\end{cases}
$$

where $f \in L^{2}(\Omega), f \geq 0$ in $\Omega$ and $|\{x: f(x)>0\}|>0$. Then, there exists $\gamma_{0}>0$ (which depends on the diameter of $\Omega$, Sobolev and Poincaré best constants but does not depend on $f$ ), such that for $\gamma>\gamma_{0}$ one has $u>0$ in $\Omega$.

## Remarks

- generalises to uniformly elliptic operators of order $2 m$;
- $N \geq 4$ j.w.w. C. Polvara (Eichmann-Schätzle '22);
- w.i.p. for parabolic, likely fractional as well as nonlinear operators.


## Sketch of proof

In order to apply the above Harnack inequality, we estimate first order derivatives of the solution. This is not a direct consequence of elliptic regularity as we need estimates which are uniform with respect to the parameter $\gamma$. Here comes the restriction $N<4$.

Multiplying $\Delta^{2} u-\gamma \Delta u=f$ by $u$ and taking into account $u=\nabla u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u(x)|^{2} d x+\gamma \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x=\int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) d x
$$

Moreover

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\Delta u(x)|^{2} d x=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} \int_{\Omega}\left|D_{i j} u(x)\right|^{2} d x=: \int_{\Omega}\left\|D^{2} u(x)\right\|^{2} d x
$$

By Sobolev's embedding, Poincaré inequality and from equation, when $N=3$ and $t=6$ we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{t}(\Omega)} & \leq \frac{c_{S}}{d_{\Omega}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+c_{S}\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \\
& \leq c\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=c\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq c\left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly when $N=2$ and $t \geq 1$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\nabla u\|_{L^{t}(\Omega)} \leq \frac{c_{S}}{d_{\Omega}^{1-\frac{2}{t}}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+c_{S} d_{\Omega}^{\frac{2}{t}}\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq \\
& \quad \leq c d_{\Omega}^{\frac{2}{t}}\left\|D^{2} u\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}=c d_{\Omega}^{\frac{2}{t}}\|\Delta u\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq c\left(\int_{\Omega} f(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Lemma

Assume $f(x)=0$ on $\Omega \backslash \Omega_{1}$, with $\Omega_{1}$ s.t. $\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial \Omega_{1}, \partial \Omega\right)>0$. Let be $u \in W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega)$ a solution of

$$
\Delta^{2} u-\gamma \Delta u=f \geq 0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}, \gamma \geq 0, \int_{\Omega} f(x) d x>0 .
$$

Then,

$$
\sup _{\Omega_{1}} u>0 \quad \text { and } \quad \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(x)|^{2} d x \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \int_{\Omega_{1}} f(x) u(x) d x
$$

As a cosequence

$$
u\left(x_{\max }\right) \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+c\left(d_{\Omega_{1}}, N\right) \frac{\left(\int_{\Omega_{1}} f(x) u(x) d x\right)^{\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{c}}}{\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}}
$$

where $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}>0$.

Distinguishing the cases sup $u \geq 1$ and $\sup u<1$, we have

$$
u\left(x_{\max }\right) \leq u\left(x_{\min }\right)+c\left(d_{\Omega}, N\right) \frac{u\left(x_{\max }\right)}{\gamma^{\mathbf{d}}}
$$

If $\gamma>\gamma_{0}>0$ we end up with

$$
u\left(x_{\max }\right) \leq c\left(d_{\Omega}, N, \gamma_{0}\right) u\left(x_{\min }\right)
$$

The last effort is to remove the restriction of compactly supported data.
i) $\bar{\Omega}_{m} \subset \Omega_{m+1} \subset \bar{\Omega}_{m+1} \subset \Omega$;
ii) $\cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{m}=\Omega$;
iii) $\{x \in \Omega:|\{f>0\}|>0\} \cap \Omega_{1} \neq \Omega_{1}$;
iv) $\operatorname{dist}\left(\partial \Omega_{m}, \partial \Omega\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\chi_{m}$ be the characteristic function of $\Omega_{m}$, we apply the MP just proved to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{m} \in W^{4,2} \cap W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega) \\
\Delta^{2} u_{m}(x)-\gamma \Delta u_{m}(x)=g_{m}(x), \quad x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
g_{m}=\frac{1}{S(x)} \frac{\chi_{m}(x)}{m^{2}} f(x), \quad S(x)=\sum_{m=1}^{+\infty} \frac{\chi_{m}(x)}{m^{2}} .
$$

There exists $\gamma_{m}$ such that for every $\gamma>\gamma_{m}$ we have $u_{m}(x)>0$ on $\Omega$. Actually uniformity holds and $\gamma_{m}$ does non depends on the distance of the maximum point of $u_{m}$ from the boundary and $\exists \gamma_{\infty}: \forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ $\gamma_{m}<\gamma_{\infty}$. Hence $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\forall \gamma>\gamma_{\infty} \Rightarrow u_{m}>0$ in $\Omega$.

Finally

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{m} \in W^{4,2} \cap W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega), \\
\Delta^{2} v_{m}(x)-\gamma \Delta v_{m}(x)=f_{m}(x), \quad x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} g_{i}, v_{m}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} u_{m}$ with $v_{m}>0$ in $\Omega$.
Next pass to the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ to get $f_{m} \longrightarrow f$ in $L^{2}(\Omega), v_{m} \longrightarrow v$ in $W^{4,2}(\Omega)$ where $v>0$ in $\Omega$ by construction and solves

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v \in W^{4,2} \cap W_{0}^{2,2}(\Omega) \\
\Delta^{2} v(x)-\gamma \Delta v(x)=f(x), \quad x \in \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

We conclude by uniqueness that $v=u>0$ in $\Omega$.


[^0]:    F. Gazzola, H. C. Grunau, G. Sweers, Polyharmonic boundary value problems. Positivity preserving and nonlinear higher order elliptic equations in bounded domains, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

