

## A Remark on G-Convergence for Nonsymmetric Operators

M. CHIPOT - G. VERGARA CAFFARELLI

**RIASSUNTO** - *Si estende agli operatori non simmetrici un risultato di A. MARINO e S. SPAGNOLO [1].*

**ABSTRACT** - *We extend to nonsymmetric operators a result of A. MARINO and S. SPAGNOLO [1].*

**KEY WORDS** - *G-Convergence - Nonsymmetric elliptic operator.*

**A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION:** 35J15 - 35J67

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , ( $n \geq 1$ ). For  $0 < \lambda < \Lambda$  denote by  $M(\lambda, \Lambda, \Omega)$  the set of operators

$$(1) \quad A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

where the  $a_{ij}(x)$  are bounded measurable functions satisfying

$$(2) \quad \lambda |\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \Lambda |\xi|^2 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

We would like to prove here the following result:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $A \in M(\lambda, \Lambda, \Omega)$ . There exists a sequence  $A_k \in M(\lambda', \Lambda', \Omega)$  of the type*

$$A_k = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \beta^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right), \quad \beta^k \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$$

*and a sequence  $(\gamma_j^k)$  of  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ -divergence free vector fields such that when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ :*

$$A_k + \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \xrightarrow{G} A$$

*in the sense of the  $G$ -convergence. (See [1] and the definition below).*

**REMARK 1.** The above result is an extension to the nonsymmetric case of a result of MARINO and SPAGNOLO [1] (see also [2]). Since the set of symmetric operators (i.e. satisfying  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \quad \forall i, j = 1, \dots, n$ ) of  $M(\lambda, \Lambda, \Omega)$  is compact, so in particular closed for the  $G$ -topology (see [2]) there is no hope to be able to suppose that the vector field  $(\gamma_j^k)$  vanishes.

Before to give the proof of the theorem let us recall for the reader convenience the following:

**DEFINITION.** *Let  $B_k$  a sequence of second order elliptic operators. We will say that  $B_k$   $G$ -convergence towards  $B$  (for the Dirichlet problem) if for every  $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$  the solutions of the Dirichlet problems*

$$\begin{cases} B_k u_k = f \\ u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} Bu = f \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

*are such that*

$$u_k \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } L^2(\Omega)$$

*when  $k \rightarrow +\infty$  (see [1]). We then write  $B_k \xrightarrow{G} B$ .*

**PROOF OF THE THEOREM.** By mollifying the  $a_{ij}$ 's it is easy to find a sequence  $a_{ij}^k \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  satisfying (2) and such that

$$a_{ij}^k \rightarrow a_{ij} \quad \text{in } L^p_{\text{Loc}}(\Omega) \quad \forall p \geq 1.$$

Then one can show that:

$$(3) \quad B_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) \xrightarrow{G} B = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

Set

$$s_{ij}^k = \frac{a_{ij}^k + a_{ji}^k}{2}, \quad t_{ij}^k = \frac{a_{ij}^k - a_{ji}^k}{2}$$

the symmetric and skew part of  $a_{ij}$ . One has:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} B_k &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( s_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( t_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( s_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (t_{ij}^k) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( s_{ij}^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma_j^k = \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (t_{ij}^k)$  is a  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ -divergence free vector field (recall that the matrix  $(t_{ij}^k)$  is skew). Thanks to the result of [1], Theorem 3.1, there exists a sequence of operators  $A_{k,p}$  in  $M(\lambda', \Lambda', \Omega)$  such that

$$(5) \quad A_{k,p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \beta_p^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) \xrightarrow{G} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( s_{ij}^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

when  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ . The result will be proved if we can show that when  $p \rightarrow +\infty$ :

$$A_{k,p} + \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \xrightarrow{G} B_k$$

(Indeed since the G-convergence can be defined by a metric (see [1]) the approximation of  $B$  reduces to the approximation of  $B_k$  (see (3)).

So let  $u_{k,p}$  be the solution of

$$(6) \quad A_{k,p} u_{k,p} + \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_{k,p} = f, \quad u_{k,p} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$$

i.e.

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( (\beta_p^k \delta_{ij} + t_{ij}^k) \frac{\partial u_{k,p}}{\partial x_j} \right) = f, \quad u_{k,p} \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Thanks to the ellipticity of  $A_{k,p}$  we get easily:

$$|u_{k,p}|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$$

where  $C$  does not depend on  $p$ . Now, the identity being a completely continuous map from  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  into  $L^2(\Omega)$  and from  $L^2(\Omega)$  into  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  one can extract a sequence (still denoted by  $p$ ) such that:

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{k,p} &\longrightarrow u_{k,\infty} && \text{in } H_0^1(\Omega) \\ u_{k,p} &\longrightarrow u_{k,\infty} && \text{in } L^2(\Omega) \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_{k,p} &\longrightarrow \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_{k,\infty} && \text{in } H^{-1}(\Omega) \end{aligned}$$

Assume that we have proved the following lemma:

LEMMA. Let  $A^c$  be a sequence of operators in  $M(\lambda', A', \Omega)$  and let  $u^c, u$  be the solutions of

$$A^c u^c = f^c, \quad u^c \in H_0^1(\Omega); \quad Au = f, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

If  $A^c \xrightarrow{G} A, f^c \rightarrow f$  in  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  then  $u^c \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

From the lemma we have clearly, (combine (5), (6), (7)),  $u_{k,p} \rightarrow u'_{k,\infty}$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  where  $u'_{k,\infty}$  satisfies

$$A_k u'_{k,\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j^k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} u_{k,\infty} = f, \quad u'_{k,\infty} \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Of course, by (7),  $u'_{k,\infty} = u_{k,\infty}$  and is equal to  $u_k$  the solution of

$$B_k u_k = f, \quad u_k \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

By uniqueness of the accumulation points of  $u_{k,p}$  we have  $u_{k,p} \rightarrow u_k$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  which completes the proof.

**PROOF OF THE LEMMA.** Introduce  $v^e$  the solution of

$$A^e v^e = f, \quad v^e \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

One has:

$$\langle A^e(u^e - v^e), u^e - v^e \rangle = \langle f^e - f, u^e - v^e \rangle$$

thus, by the uniform ellipticity:

$$\|u^e - v^e\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|f^e - f\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$$

Now thanks to the  $G$ -convergence of  $A^e$ ,  $v^e \rightarrow u$  in  $L^2(\Omega)$  and so does  $u^e$ . The result follows.

**REMARK 2.** In the case where  $\Omega$  is simply-connected it is clear - due to the Poincaré Theorem - that any operator of the type

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( \beta(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right) + \gamma_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

where  $(\gamma_i)$  is a  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ -divergence free vector fields, can be written as

$$A = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( (\beta(x)\delta_{ij} + t_{ij}(x)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right)$$

where  $(t_{ij})$  is a skew matrix. Thus, in this case, these operators remain in  $M(\lambda', \Lambda', \Omega)$ .

### Acknowledgments

This note was written when the first author was visiting the University of Trento. We thank this institution for its support.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. MARINO - S. SPAGNOLO: *Un tipo di approssimazione dell'operatore*  
 $\sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(a_{i,j}D_j)$  con operatori  $\sum_{j=1}^n D_j(\beta(x)D_j)$ , *Annali Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa,*  
*Classe di Scienze, XXIII, IV, 1969, 657-673.*
- [2] S. SPAGNOLO: *Convergence in energy for elliptic operators*, In: *Numerical solution of partial differential equations III*, Acad.Press. 1976, 469-498.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 19 dicembre 1989  
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 2 maggio 1990  
su parere favorevole di S. Spagnolo e di A. Avantaggiati*

## INDIRIZZO ATTUALE DEGLI AUTORI:

M. Chipot - Université de Metz - Département de Mathématiques - Ile du Saulcy, 57045 Metz-Cedex - France

G. Vergara-Caffarelli - Università di Roma "La Sapienza" - Dipartimento di Metodi e Modelli Matematici per le Scienze Applicate - Via A. Scarpa, 10 - 00161 Roma - Italia