

## On a Conjecture of Multiplicative Partitions

H.Z. CAO

**RIASSUNTO** - Si indichi con  $f(n)$  il numero dei fattori essenzialmente diversi del numero intero  $n > 1$ . In questo lavoro si dimostra che se  $P_1(n) > 3$ , allora  $f(n) \leq n/\log n$ , dove  $P_1(n)$  indica il più piccolo fattore primo di  $n$ .

**ABSTRACT** - Let  $f(n)$  denote the number of essentially different factorizations of the integer  $n > 1$ . In this paper, we prove that if  $P_1(n) > 3$ , then  $f(n) \leq n/\log n$ , where  $P_1(n)$  denotes the smallest prime factor of  $n$ .

**KEY WORDS** - Multiplicative partitions - Prime factorization.

**A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION:** 05A15 - 11B34

### 1 - Introduction

In this paper,  $n, m_i$  denote integers  $> 1$ ;  $P(n)$  denotes the largest prime factor of  $n$ ,  $P_1(n)$  the smallest prime factor of  $n$  and  $w(n)$  the number of different prime factors of  $n$ . Let logarithm be with the base  $e$ . Consider the set  $T(n) = \{(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_s) \mid n = m_1 m_2 \dots m_s\}$ , where we identify those partitions which differ only by the order of the factors. We define  $f(n) = |T(n)|$  and  $f(1) = 1$ . For example,  $f(12) = 4$ , since  $12 = 6 \cdot 2 = 4 \cdot 3 = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2$  are the four multiplicative partitions of 12.

In 1983 HUGHES and SHALLIT [2] have proved that  $f(n) \leq 2n^{\sqrt{2}}$  and made two conjectures:

Conjecture 1.  $f(n) \leq n$ .

Conjecture 2.  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$  for  $n \neq 144$ .

In [2], they point out that the second is more doubtful. In 1986 and a year later, MATTICS and DODD [3] and CHEN XIAO-XIA [1] have proved the conjecture 1 independently. Six years have elapsed, but the conjecture 2 has not been proved.

In this paper, we shall prove the following

**THEOREM.** *If  $P_1(n) > 3$ , then  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$ .*

Note that  $f(144) = f(2^4 3^2) > 144 / \log 144$ .

## 2 - Some Lemmas

To prove the theorem, we need the following lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $w(n) \geq 2$ , then  $\sum_{d|n} 1 \leq \frac{16}{25} n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^r p_i^{\alpha_i}$ ,  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_r$  be the prime factorization of  $n$ . If  $r = 2$ , we have

$$(1) \quad \frac{\sum_{d|n} 1}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{p_2^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{p_1^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}} \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{7^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{5^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}}.$$

If  $r \geq 3$ , since  $(\alpha + 1)/11^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha} < (\alpha + 1)/2^\alpha \leq 1$  and  $2^\alpha \geq 2\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is any natural number, we have

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{d|n} 1}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} &= \frac{\alpha_r}{p_r^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_r}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{p_1^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}} \prod_{i=2}^{r-1} \frac{\alpha_i + 1}{p_i^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_i}} \leq \frac{\alpha_r}{11^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_r}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{5^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}} \frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{7^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\frac{\alpha_2 + 1}{2}}{7^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{5^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}} \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{7^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{5^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (1), (2), we get

$$\frac{\sum_{d|\frac{n}{\alpha(n)}} 1}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{7^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{5^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}}.$$

Consider  $g(x) = x/7^{\frac{1}{3}x}$  for  $x > 0$ . We have

$$g'(x) = \frac{7^{\frac{1}{3}x} \left(1 - \frac{\log 7}{3} x\right)}{7^{\frac{2}{3}x}}.$$

If  $g'(x) = 0$ , we get  $x = 3/\log 7$ ,  $1.5 < 3/\log 7 < 2$ . Obviously,  $g'(x) > 0$  for  $0 < x < 3/\log 7$ ;  $g'(x) < 0$  for  $x > 3/\log 7$ . Hence we get  $g(\alpha) \leq \max\{g(1), g(2)\}$  for  $\alpha$  which is any natural number. Since  $\frac{1}{7^{\frac{1}{3}}} < \frac{1}{7^{\frac{1}{3}}} \frac{2}{7^{\frac{1}{3}}} = \frac{2}{7^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ , we get  $\frac{\alpha_2}{7^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_2}} \leq \frac{2}{7^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ . By similar method, we easily get  $\frac{\alpha_1 + 1}{5^{\frac{1}{3}\alpha_1}} \leq \frac{2}{5^{\frac{4}{3}}}$ . So, we get

$$\frac{\sum_{d|\frac{n}{\alpha(n)}} 1}{n^{\frac{1}{3}}} \leq \frac{2}{5^{\frac{4}{3}}} \frac{2}{7^{\frac{4}{3}}} = \frac{4}{\sqrt[3]{245}} < \frac{4}{6.25} = \frac{16}{25}.$$

□

LEMMA 2. If  $P_1(n) > 3$ , then  $\sum_{\alpha|\frac{n}{\alpha(n)}} \alpha \leq \frac{1}{4}n$ .

PROOF. Let  $n = \prod_{i=1}^t p_i^{\beta_i}$ ,  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t$  be the prime factorization of  $n$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha|\frac{n}{\alpha(n)}} \alpha \leq \frac{n}{p_t} \sum_{d|\frac{n}{\alpha(n)}} \frac{1}{d} \leq \frac{n}{p_t} \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{-1}.$$

If  $t = 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\alpha|\frac{n}{\alpha(n)}} \alpha \leq \frac{n}{p_1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right)^{-1} = \frac{1}{p_1 - 1} n \leq \frac{1}{4} n.$$

If  $t \geq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p_t}} \alpha &\leq \frac{n}{p_t} \prod_{i=1}^t \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)^{-1} = \frac{n}{p_t} \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} = \frac{n}{p_t} \frac{p_t}{p_1 - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{p_i}{p_{i+1} - 1} \leq \\ &\leq \frac{n}{p_1 - 1} \prod_{i=1}^{t-1} \frac{p_i}{p_i} = \frac{1}{p_1 - 1} n \leq \frac{1}{4} n. \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 3. If  $n > 1$ , then  $f(n) \leq \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p(n)}} f(d)$ .

PROOF. Let  $n = \prod_{j=1}^q p_j^{c_j}$ ,  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_q$ , be the prime factorization of  $n$ . Consider the sets:  $T_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_q}(n) = \{(p_1 p_1^{c_1-j_1} p_2^{c_2-j_2} \dots p_q^{(c_q-1)-j_q}, m_2, \dots, m_r) \mid n = p_1 p_1^{c_1-j_1} p_2^{c_2-j_2} \dots p_q^{(c_q-1)-j_q} m_2 \dots m_r\}$ ,  $0 \leq j_i \leq c_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq q-1$ ;  $0 \leq j_q \leq c_q-1$ , where we also identify those partitions which differ only by the order of factors.

We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} |T_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_q}(n)| &= f(p_1^{j_1} p_2^{j_2} \dots p_q^{j_q}) \quad \text{and} \\ T(n) &= \bigcup_{j_1=0}^{c_1} \bigcup_{j_2=0}^{c_2} \dots \bigcup_{j_q=0}^{c_q-1} T_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_q}(n). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n) &= |T(n)| \leq \sum_{j_1=0}^{c_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{c_2} \dots \sum_{j_q=0}^{c_q-1} |T_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_q}(n)| = \\ &= \sum_{j_1=0}^{c_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{c_2} \dots \sum_{j_q=0}^{c_q-1} f(p_1^{j_1} p_2^{j_2} \dots p_q^{j_q}) = \sum_{d|\frac{n}{p(n)}} f(d). \end{aligned}$$

□

LEMMA 4.  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{15}$  for  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  except  $n = 5, 7, 11, 13, 25$ .

PROOF. We first find out all  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  not exceeding 60 and satisfying  $n < 15f(n)$ . Let  $n$ , with  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $n < 60$ , be of the form  $n = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{a_i}$ ,  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_s$ . Since  $5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 > 60$ , we have  $s \leq 2$ . If  $s = 1$ , since  $5^3 > 60$ , then  $n$  can only be expressed in one of the forms  $p_1$ ,  $p_1^2$ . If  $s = 2$ , since  $5^2 \cdot 7 > 60$ , then  $n$  can only be expressed in the form  $p_1 p_2$ . If  $n = p_1$ , then  $f(n) = 1$ . All prime numbers  $n$  with  $3 < n < 15$  are 5, 7, 11, 13. If  $n = p_1^2$  or  $p_1 p_2$ , then  $f(n) = 2$ . The only value of  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $n = p_1^2$  or  $p_1 p_2$  not exceeding 30 is 25. So, all  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  not exceeding 60 and satisfying  $n < 15f(n)$  are 5, 7, 11, 13, 25.

We shall prove that  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{15}$  for  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $n > 60$ .

We arrange all  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $n > 60$  in increasing order to obtain the sequence  $\{n_i\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . When  $i = 1$ , we have  $f(n_1) = f(61) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $f(n_j) \leq \frac{n_j}{15}$  for  $j$  with  $1 \leq j \leq i-1$ , where  $i-1 \geq 1$ .

We shall prove that  $f(n_i) \leq \frac{n_i}{15}$ .

By Lemma 3 and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(n_i) &\leq \sum_{d \mid \frac{n_i}{P(n_i)}} f(d) \leq f(1) - \frac{1}{15} + f(5) - \frac{5}{15} + f(7) - \frac{7}{15} + f(11) - \frac{11}{15} + \\ &\quad + f(13) - \frac{13}{15} + f(25) - \frac{25}{11} + \frac{1}{15} \sum_{d \mid \frac{n_i}{P(n_i)}} d \leq \\ &\leq 7 - \frac{62}{15} + \frac{1}{15} \frac{1}{4} n_i = \frac{43}{15} + \frac{1}{60} n_i < \frac{1}{15} n_i, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\frac{43}{15} + \frac{1}{60} n < \frac{n}{15}$  for  $n > 60$ . □

COROLLARY.  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$  for  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $n \leq e^{15}$ .

PROOF. It is easy to verify that  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$  for  $n = 5, 7, 11, 13, 25$  (or see [2]). If  $n \neq 5, 7, 11, 13, 25$ ,  $P_1(n) > 3$  and  $n \leq e^{15}$ , by Lemma 4, we have

$$f(n) \leq \frac{n}{15} \leq \frac{n}{\log n} \quad \text{for } n \leq e^{15}.$$

So, our assertion has been proved.  $\square$

### 3 - Proof of the theorem

We arrange all  $n$  with  $P_1(n) > 3$  in increasing order to obtain the sequence  $\{n_k\}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $n_{k_0}$  be the largest number which belongs to the sequence and does not exceed  $e^{15}$ . By the Corollary, we know that  $f(n_i) \leq \frac{n_i}{\log n_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k_0$ . Suppose that  $f(n_i) \leq \frac{n_i}{\log n_i}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ , where  $k-1 \geq k_0$ . We shall prove that  $f(n_k) \leq \frac{n_k}{\log n_k}$ .

Since  $f(n) \leq \frac{n}{\log n}$  holds for  $n$  with  $w(n) = 1$  (see [1]), we may suppose that  $w(n) \geq 2$ .

By Lemma 3, we have

$$(3) \quad f(n_k) \leq \sum_{d \mid \frac{n_k}{P(n_k)}} f(d) = \sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{n_k}{P(n_k)} \\ d \leq n_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}} f(d) + \sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{n_k}{P(n_k)} \\ d > n_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}} f(d) = S_1 + S_2.$$

By  $f(d) \leq d$  and Lemma 1, we get

$$(4) \quad S_1 \leq n_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{d \mid \frac{n_k}{P(n_k)}} 1 \leq \frac{16}{25} n_k^{\frac{1}{2} \cdot 2}.$$

Since  $n_k > e^{15}$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{n_k^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\log n_k} > \frac{e^5}{15} > \frac{64}{25} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{16}{25} n_k^{\frac{1}{2}} < \frac{1}{4} \frac{n_k}{\log n_k}.$$

By the induction hypothesis and Lemma 2, we get

$$(6) \quad S_2 \leq \sum_{\substack{d \mid \frac{n_k}{p(n_k)} \\ d > \frac{1}{n_k}}} \frac{d}{\log d} < \frac{3}{\log n_k} \sum_{d \mid \frac{n_k}{p(n_k)}} d \leq \frac{3}{4} \frac{n_k}{\log n_k}.$$

By (3)–(6), we get

$$f(n_k) \leq \frac{n_k}{\log n_k}.$$

Our theorem is now proved by induction.  $\square$

### Editor's Note

Conjecture 2 has been proved in general by F.W. DODD and L.E. MATTICS, *Estimating the number of multiplicative partitions*, Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 17 (1987), 797-813. However, the direct proof for the special case  $P_1(n) > 3$ , presented here by the Author, is more accessible.

### REFERENCES

- [1] C. XIAO-XIA: *On multiplicative partitions of natural number*, Acta Mathematica Sinica, 30 (1987), 268-271.
- [2] J.F. HUGHES - J.O. SHALLIT: *On the number of multiplicative partitions*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 90 (1983), 468-471.
- [3] L.E. MATTICS - F.W. DODD: *A bound for the number of multiplicative partitions*, Amer. Math. Monthly 93 (1986), 125-126.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 28 novembre 1989  
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 1 marzo 1991  
su parere favorevole di P.V. Ceccherini e di F. Succì*

INDIRIZZO DELL'AUTORE:

Hui-Zhong Cao - Department of Mathematics, Shandong University - Jinan 250100, Shandong  
- P.R. China