

The System of Principal Connections

A. CABRAS - D. CANARUTTO

RIASSUNTO - Si studiano gli aspetti essenziali della teoria dei sistemi di connessioni e di sovraconnessioni nel caso dei fibrati principali, utilizzando l'algebra di Frölicher-Nijenhuis di forme a valori tangenti [3,4,6,7,11,12,14] già esaminata, in tale contesto, in [1]. Viene discussa la relazione tra gli approcci orizzontale e verticale e l'approccio tradizionale basato sulle forme a valori nell'algebra di Lie.

ABSTRACT - We study the basic aspects of the theory of systems of connections and overconnections for the case of principal bundles, using the Frölicher-Nijenhuis algebra of tangent-valued forms [3, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 14], which was examined in this context in [1]. We discuss the relation between the horizontal and vertical approaches and the traditional approach based on Lie algebra-valued forms.

KEY WORDS - Frölicher-Nijenhuis bracket - Principal bundles - Connections.

A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION: 53C05 - 55R10 - 58A20

- Introduction

There exists a rich literature on principal bundles and invariant connections, stimulated by their importance in geometry and physics. Many important results have been achieved in the traditional approach based on the Maurer-Cartan formulas, in which the group action plays an essential role at any stage and at any level [8, 10]. However, in the recent years, a more general approach to the theory of connections, based on the Froelicher-Nijenhuis bracket of tangent-valued forms, has been developed [11, 12]. In a previous paper [1] we examined the theory of tangent-valued

forms for the particular case of principal bundles, stressing how the general approach yields an important clarification of the picture; here we shall apply it to connections, overconnections and related topics. Furthermore, we make a detailed comparison with the traditional approach. All notations and preliminary results have been introduced in [1].

1 - G-invariant connections

1.1 - Jet prolongation of the group action and its quotient

Let $p_E: JE \rightarrow E$ be the jet bundle of $p: E \rightarrow B$. Using the jet functor J , the jet prolongation of the morphism $\tau: E \times G \rightarrow E$ over B is defined as the fibred morphism over B

$$J\tau: J(E \times G) \rightarrow JE.$$

This can be restricted naturally to a morphism $JE \times G \rightarrow JE$. Actually

$$J(E \times G) \approx JE \times_B (T^*B \otimes TG)$$

which has the canonical subbundle

$$JE \times G \approx JE \times_B (0_{B \times G})$$

where $0_{B \times G} \subset T^*B \otimes TG$ is the image of the zero section $0: B \times G \rightarrow T^*B \otimes TG$.

The restriction of $J\tau$ to this subbundle is the morphism over B

$$j\tau: JE \times G \rightarrow JE$$

which can be characterized by

$$(j\tau)_g \equiv J(\tau_g): JE \rightarrow JE \quad \forall g \in G.$$

We now recall [12] that $p_E: JE \rightarrow E$ can be viewed as the affine subbundle of $T^*B \otimes_E TE \rightarrow E$ which projects over the identity $1_B \subset T^*B \otimes_B TB$. In other terms, JE is characterized by the "constraint equation" $\text{id} \otimes Tp = 1$, which in coordinate reads $\dot{x}_a \otimes \dot{x}^a = \delta_a^\lambda$. The restriction

of the coordinate $\dot{x}_\lambda \otimes \dot{y}^i$ to JE is denoted by y_λ^i . Then, the action $j\tau$ of G on JE can be viewed also as the action induced, via the inclusion $\lambda: JE \rightarrow T^*B \otimes_E TE$, by the action of G on $T^*B \otimes_E TE$. Its coordinate expression is

$$(x^\lambda, y^i; y_\lambda^i) \circ j\tau = (x^\lambda, \tau^i; (\partial\tau^i/\partial y^j)y_\lambda^j).$$

1.2—Quotient space

Consider the quotient space

$$C \equiv JE/G.$$

We denote by $(x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i): (JE/G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$ the induced fibred coordinate chart on C (a more particular chart is considered in [8]), defined by

$$(x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i)([k]_G) \equiv (x^\lambda(w), y_\lambda^i(k_0));$$

where, $\forall k \in JE$, k_0 is the unique element of $[k]_G$ such that $p_E(k_0) = s_0 \circ p \circ p_E(k)$, i.e. $a_\lambda^i([k]_G) \equiv x_\lambda \otimes \dot{y}^i(k_0) \equiv (k_0)_\lambda^i \equiv k_\lambda^j ((\sigma^{-1})^i_j(p_E(k)))$.

PROPOSITION. *The affine bundle $JE \rightarrow E$ projects over the affine bundle*

$$q_C: C \rightarrow B$$

i.e. $p \circ p_E = q_C \circ p_C$, where $p_C: JE \rightarrow C$ is the projection onto the quotient. \square

Namely, the bundle $q_C: C \rightarrow B$ is the affine subbundle of the bundle $(T^*B \otimes_E TE)/G \approx T^*B \otimes_B H \rightarrow B$ which is projected onto $1_B \subset T^*B \otimes_B TB$. The associated vector bundle is $\tilde{C} = T^*B \otimes_B A \rightarrow B$.

The system $\text{id}_{T^*B} \otimes \eta$ of G -invariant semi-basic 1-forms (see [1], 3.4, c) restricts naturally to the affine fibred morphism over B

$$\xi: C \times_B E \rightarrow JE$$

i.e. $\xi([k]_G, a) \equiv j\tau(k, \bar{\tau}(p_0(k), a))$; thus, $\xi([k]_G, a)$ is the unique element of $[k]_G$ such that $p_0 \circ \xi([k]_G, a) = a$.

The coordinate expression of ξ is

$$\xi = d^\lambda \otimes \partial_\lambda + \sigma_j^i a_\lambda^j d^\lambda \otimes \partial_i.$$

We note that

$$\partial_\mu \xi_\lambda^i = 0; \quad \partial_k \xi_\lambda^i = (\partial_k \sigma_j^i) a_\lambda^j; \quad \frac{\partial \xi_\lambda^i}{\partial a_\mu^k} = \sigma_k^i \delta_\lambda^\mu.$$

1.3 - Principal connections

A *principal connection* is a local section $\gamma: E \rightarrow JE$ which is invariant under the action of the group, i.e. under the lift $j\tau: JE \times G \rightarrow JE$. Moreover, we can also interpret any connection as a tangent valued form $E \rightarrow T^*B \otimes_E TE$ projectable onto

$$1_B: B \rightarrow T^*B \otimes_B TB.$$

Thus, a principal connection is a G -invariant 1-form and satisfies

$$\gamma_\lambda^i(a) = \gamma_\lambda^j(a') \frac{\partial \tau^i}{\partial y^j}(a', \bar{\tau}(a', a)), \quad \forall a, a' \in E: p(a) = p(a').$$

In particular, putting $a' \equiv s_0 \circ p(a)$, we obtain:

$$\gamma_\lambda^i(a) = \sigma_j^i(a) a_\lambda^j ([\gamma]_G).$$

Then, the coordinate expression of a principal connection turns out to be

$$\gamma = d^\lambda \otimes \partial_\lambda + \sigma_j^i (\gamma_0)_\lambda^j d^\lambda \otimes \partial_i,$$

where $(\gamma_0)_\lambda^j = a_\lambda^j ([\gamma]_G)$.

We have a one-to-one correspondence among invariant connections and sections $B \rightarrow C$. Namely, let $c: B \rightarrow C$ be a local section. Then

$$c \equiv \xi \circ (c \circ p, \text{id}_E): E \rightarrow JE$$

is a principal connection. Conversely, let $\gamma: E \rightarrow JE$ a principal connection. Then, there is unique section $c_\gamma: B \rightarrow C$ such that

$$\gamma \equiv \xi \circ (c_\gamma \circ p, \text{id}_E).$$

Hence:

DEFINITION. The fibred morphism $\xi: C \times_B E \rightarrow JE$ is called the *system of principal connections*. \square

1.4 – Covariant differential

Let $\gamma: E \rightarrow JE$ be a principal connection, $\varphi: E \rightarrow \Lambda^r T^* E \otimes_E TE$ any principal tangent valued form. According to the general definition [11, 12] which holds for any connection, the *covariant differential* of φ , with respect to γ , is the principal tangent-valued form

$$d_\gamma \varphi \equiv [\gamma, \varphi]: E \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} T^* E \otimes_E TE.$$

In the particular case when φ is a projectable semi-basic form $\varphi: E \rightarrow \Lambda^r T^* B \otimes_E TE$, then $d_\gamma \varphi$ is a vertical-valued semi-basic form

$$d_\gamma \varphi: E \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} T^* B \otimes_E VE$$

whose coordinate expression, taking into account $\gamma_\lambda^i = \sigma_h^i(\gamma_0)_\lambda^h$ and the relation between the structure constants and the derivatives of σ_h^i , is

$$\begin{aligned} d_\gamma \varphi = & \sigma_h^i (-\partial_{\lambda_1} \varphi_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu (\gamma_0)_\mu^h - \partial_\mu (\gamma_0)_{\lambda_1}^h \varphi_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu + \\ & + \partial_{\lambda_1} (\varphi_0)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^h + c_{kj}^h (\gamma_0)_{\lambda_1}^j (\varphi_0)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^k) d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_{r+1}} \otimes \partial_i. \end{aligned}$$

Let $c: B \rightarrow C$ and $f: B \rightarrow \Lambda^r H^* \otimes_B H$ be sections. The *strong differential* of f with respect to c is

$$d_c f \equiv [c, f]: B \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} H^* \otimes_B H.$$

Let $c: E \rightarrow JE$ and $f: E \rightarrow \Lambda^r T^* E \otimes_E TE$ be the connection and the tangent-valued form associated with c and f via η . Thus, $d_c f$ is the unique tangent-valued form associated with $d_c f$ via η . We write down explicitly its coordinate expression in the particular case when f is semi-basic:

$$f = f_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^\mu d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_r} \otimes \partial_\mu + f_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_r} \otimes \partial_i$$

$$d_c f = (-\partial_{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu c_\mu^i - \partial_\mu c_{\lambda_1}^i f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu + \partial_{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^i +$$

$$+ c_{kj}^i c_{\lambda_1}^j f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^k) d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_{r+1}} \otimes \partial_i.$$

1.5 - Connection and curvature

According to the general definition which holds for a general connection [3, 4, 11, 12, 14], the curvature of a principal connection γ is the principal projectable vertical-valued 2-form:

$$\rho \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\gamma, \gamma] \equiv \frac{1}{2}d_\gamma \gamma: E \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^* B \otimes_E VE.$$

Particularizing the coordinate expression of the previous subsection we obtain:

$$2\rho = \sigma_h^i (\partial_\lambda (\gamma_0)_\mu^h + c_{kj}^h (\gamma_0)_\lambda^j (\gamma_0)_\mu^k) d^\lambda \wedge d^\mu \otimes \partial_i.$$

Let $c: B \rightarrow C$ be the unique section corresponding to γ via the system ξ . Then

$$\rho_c \equiv \frac{1}{2}d_c c: B \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^* B \otimes_E A$$

is the 2-form corresponding to ρ , given in coordinates by

$$2\rho_c = (\partial_\lambda c_\mu^h + c_{kj}^h c_\lambda^j c_\mu^k) e^\lambda \wedge e^\mu \otimes e_i.$$

1.6— Universal connection and curvature

The universal connection was first introduced by GARCIA [8] and lately generalized by MANGIAROTTI and MODUGNO [11, 12](*). The basic idea is that the covariant differential of any given connection is the pull-back of a "universal" differential defined on the space of all connections of the system. In particular, the curvature is the pull-back of a universal curvature. Consider the bundle

$$\bar{p}: \tilde{C} \equiv C \times_B E \longrightarrow C$$

which is clearly a principal bundle fibrewise isomorphic to E . We indicate by $J_C \tilde{C}$ its jet space (with respect to \bar{p}). One sees easily that there is a natural monomorphism over \tilde{C}

$$i: C \times_B JE \hookrightarrow J_C \tilde{C}$$

which is characterized by the commutativity, $\forall s: B \longrightarrow E$, of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C \times_B JE & \xrightarrow{i} & J_C \tilde{C} \\ & \nwarrow (js)^\sim & \nearrow j(\tilde{s}) \\ & C & \end{array}$$

where "j" stands for jet prolongation of sections, $(js)^\sim$ and $\tilde{s}: C \longrightarrow \tilde{C}$ are pull-backs, respectively of $js: B \longrightarrow JE$ and of s , with respect to $p: E \longrightarrow B$. Its coordinate expression is

$$(x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, y^i; y_\lambda^i, y_j^{\lambda i}) \circ i = (x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, y^i; y_\lambda^i, 0).$$

It is now immediate to see that the map

$$\Gamma \equiv i \circ (\bar{p}, \xi): \tilde{C} \longrightarrow J_C \tilde{C}$$

(*) The construction of "universal connection" given in [11] is different from ours, and holds in a much more particular case; however, the term "universal" has a similar meaning in the two constructions: in both cases, the individual connections arise from pull-backs of the universal connection.

is a section, hence a connection on $\tilde{p}: \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$. Furthermore, Γ is a principal connection. Its coordinate expression is

$$(x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, y^i; y_\lambda^i, y_j^{\lambda i}) \circ \Gamma = (x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, y^i; \sigma_h^i a_\lambda^h, 0).$$

DEFINITION. $\Gamma: \tilde{C} \rightarrow J_C \tilde{C}$ is called the universal connection. \square

The word "universal" refers to the fact that any principal connection on $E \rightarrow B$ can be obtained from Γ via a pull-back. Namely, for any section $c: B \rightarrow C$ we have the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{\Gamma} & J_C \tilde{C} \\ \bar{c} \uparrow & & \uparrow i \\ C \times_B E & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \times c} & C \times_B J E \end{array}$$

It is well-known [12] that a connection can be viewed in several equivalent ways. It can be seen that the universality of Γ extends naturally to all these approaches. In §2 we shall examine explicitly the case of the so called (vertical-valued) connection form. For further details see [2].

The curvature of Γ is the principal projectable vertical-valued 2-form:

$$R \equiv \frac{1}{2} d_\Gamma \Gamma: \tilde{C} \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^* C \otimes_{\tilde{C}} V \tilde{C}$$

whose coordinate expression can be easily written as a special case of the general formula for the curvature of a principal connection; we find

$$R = \sigma_h^i (c_{kj}^h a_\lambda^k a_\mu^j dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\mu + da_\lambda^h \wedge dx^\lambda) \otimes \partial_i.$$

We call R the *universal curvature* since the curvature of any given connection can be obtained from R via a pull-back. Namely, for any

section $c: B \rightarrow C$ we have the commuting diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \tilde{C} & \xrightarrow{R} & \Lambda^2 T^* C \otimes_{\tilde{C}} V_C \tilde{C} \\
 \tilde{c} \uparrow & & \uparrow i \\
 C \times_B E & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_C \times \rho_c} & C \times_B \Lambda^2 T^* B \otimes_E V E
 \end{array}$$

(the monomorphism i which appears in the diagram is an immediate generalization of $i: C \times_B J E \hookrightarrow J_C \tilde{C}$; we leave this detail to the reader).

The reader will be already familiar with the special case when $E \equiv B \times \mathbb{R}$, $G \equiv \mathbb{R}$; then $C \cong T^* B$. Here, the universal connection and curvature can be identified, respectively, with the Liouville and symplectic form [2].

1.7 – Universal calculus

Consider a G -invariant morphism over E

$$\psi: J_k C \times_B E \rightarrow \Lambda^r T^* E \otimes_E T E$$

where J_k stands for the k -order jet functor; this is a generalization of the concept of invariant tangent-valued form, that we call *graded* (with respect to C , index k). The interesting point is that for such forms one can define a *graded universal differential*. Namely [12]

$$d\psi: J_{k+1} C \times_B E \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} T^* E \otimes_E T E$$

is characterized, for all sections $c: B \rightarrow C$ and $s: B \rightarrow E$, by the formula

$$d\psi \circ (j_{k+1} c, s) = d_c(\psi \circ j_k c).$$

In particular, consider a projectable form of degree zero $\psi: C \times_B E \rightarrow \Lambda^r T^* B \otimes_E T E$; then

$$d\psi: J C \times_B E \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} T^* B \otimes_E V E$$

whose coordinate expression is

$$d\psi = \sigma_h^i \left(-\partial_{\lambda_1} \psi_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu a_\mu^h - a_{\mu, \lambda}^h \varphi_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu + \right. \\ \left. + \partial_{\lambda_1} (\psi_0)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^h + c_{kj}^h a_{\lambda_1}^j (\psi_0)_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^k \right) d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_{r+1}} \otimes \partial_i.$$

Note that the system $\xi: C \times_B E \longrightarrow JE \hookrightarrow T^*B \otimes_E TE$ of principal connections is precisely such a 0-degree form. We have

$$d\xi: JC \times_B E \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*B \otimes_E VE$$

and locally

$$d\xi = \sigma_h^i (a_{\lambda, \mu}^h + c_{kj}^h a_\lambda^h a_\mu^k) d^\lambda \wedge d^\mu \otimes \partial_i.$$

Clearly, there is a strict relation among ξ , $d\xi$, Γ and $R \equiv d_1 \Gamma$, which can be conveniently expressed by commuting diagrams (for details see [12]).

Next we consider graded forms

$$f: J_k C \longrightarrow \Lambda^r H^* \otimes_B H;$$

clearly, any such form corresponds to a unique graded invariant form, via the trivial extension of ξ . We thus have the *system of graded forms*. In a natural way we can define the *strong graded differential* of f

$$df: J_{k+1} C \longrightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} H^* \otimes_B H$$

by

$$df \circ j_{k+1} c \equiv d_c(f \circ j_k c) \quad \forall c: B \longrightarrow C.$$

In particular, if $f: J_k C \longrightarrow \Lambda^r T^*B \otimes_B H$ we have

$$df: J_{k+1} C \longrightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} T^*B \otimes_B A;$$

its coordinate expression can be written easily in the particular case $k = 0$:

$$df = (-\partial_{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu a_\mu^i - a_{\mu, \lambda}^i f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^\mu + \partial_{\lambda_1} f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^i + \\ + c_{kj}^i a_{\lambda_1}^j f_{\lambda_2 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}^k) d^{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d^{\lambda_{r+1}} \otimes \partial_i$$

We have already noted that the system ξ itself is a principal form of degree zero. The corresponding zero-form $f_\xi: C \rightarrow C$ is the identity, and its differential

$$df_\xi: JC \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^* B \otimes_B A$$

gives us the curvature of any principal connection via the rule

$$df_\xi \circ jc = \rho_c.$$

Of course, f_ξ and df_ξ are strictly to the universal connection curvature (essentially they are equivalent objects). Actually, without entering all the details, one may note that the space of all forms $C \rightarrow \Lambda H^* \otimes_B H$ gives rise, via ξ and the natural inclusion

$$i: C \times_B \Lambda^* T^* B \otimes_B TE \rightarrow \Lambda^* T^* C \otimes_{\tilde{C}} T\tilde{C}$$

to a subsystem of the system of principal tangent-valued forms on $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$.

1.8 – Principal overconnections

The purpose of this subsection is to show the existence of a distinguished system of connections on the bundle $q_C: C \rightarrow B$.

As a first step, we want to show that there is a distinguished system of vector fields on C

$$\zeta: JH \times_B C \rightarrow TC.$$

(This system was first introduced by GARCIA [8]; the construction we present here is essentially the more general one which in [12] was shown valid for an arbitrary involutive system of connections). The construction of ζ is as follows. For any sections $h: B \rightarrow H$ and $c: B \rightarrow C$ consider the bracket $[h, c]: B \rightarrow TC$, where h is viewed as an H -valued 0-form and c as an H -valued 1 form. The bracket of two sections depends on their first jet prolongation, thus we have a morphism

$$[,]: JH \times_B JC \rightarrow TC$$

such that $[\cdot, \cdot] \circ (jh, jc) = [h, c]$ for any sections. From the coordinate expression one sees [12] that this is an affine morphism over C .

Next, we recall the affine bundle $\underline{\eta}: H \rightarrow TB$, which induces another affine morphism over C , namely

$$\lambda \circ ((\underline{\eta} \circ (q_H)_0) \times \text{id}_{JC}): JH \times_B JC \rightarrow TC$$

where $(q_H)_0: JH \rightarrow H$ is the natural projection. For simplicity, let us indicate this map again by λ . Then we can consider the difference of the two affine morphisms over C

$$\zeta \equiv \lambda - [\cdot, \cdot]: JH \times_B JC \rightarrow TC.$$

The computation of the coordinate expression of ζ is straightforward:

$$(x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i; \dot{x}^\lambda, \dot{a}_\lambda^i) \circ \zeta = (x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i; \dot{x}^\lambda, z_\lambda^i - a_\mu^i \dot{x}_\lambda^\mu + c_{jk}^i a_\lambda^j z^k).$$

From this expression we see that this map is factorizable through the projection $JC \rightarrow C$, or, in other terms, we actually have a fibred morphism over C

$$\zeta: JH \times_B C \rightarrow TC$$

which moreover turns out to be linear.

Next, we consider the bundle

$$\bar{p}: \bar{C} \rightarrow B$$

where $\bar{\chi}: \bar{C} \hookrightarrow T^*B \otimes_B JH$ is the subspace which projects over 1_B . Thus, \bar{p} is an affine subbundle of $T^*B \otimes_B JH \rightarrow B$. Now, we shall exhibit two further bundle structures with total space \bar{C} .

i) There is a unique fibred morphism over B

$$\bar{q}_C: \bar{C} \rightarrow C$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{C} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\chi}} & T^*B \otimes_B JH \\
 \bar{q}_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 C & \xrightarrow{\chi} & T^*B \otimes_B H
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, \bar{q}_C is an affine bundle. Let $(x^\lambda, w_{\mu\nu}^\lambda, w_\lambda^i, w_{\lambda\mu}^i)$ be the induced chart on \overline{C} . Then, the coordinate expression of q_C is

$$(x^\lambda, v_\lambda^a) \circ \bar{q}_C = (x^\lambda, w_\lambda^a).$$

ii) Let $\underline{\chi}: K \hookrightarrow T^*B \otimes_B JTB$ be the subspace which projects onto 1_B (i.e. the space of linear connections on $\pi_B: TB \rightarrow B$). Then, there is a unique fibred morphism over B

$$q_K: \overline{C} \rightarrow K$$

such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \overline{C} & \xrightarrow{\overline{\chi}} & T^*B \otimes_B JH \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 K & \xrightarrow{\underline{\chi}} & T^*B \otimes_B JTB
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, q_K is an affine bundle. Its coordinate expression is

$$(x^\lambda, u_{\mu\nu}^\lambda) \circ q_K = (x^\lambda, w_{\mu\nu}^\lambda).$$

We can now see that the system ζ of vector fields on C generates a system of connections on $q_C: C \rightarrow B$. This system is given by

$$\bar{\xi} \equiv \text{id}_{T^*B} \otimes \zeta: \overline{C} \times_B C \rightarrow JC \hookrightarrow T^*B \otimes_B TC$$

and its coordinate expression is

$$(x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, a_{\lambda,\mu}^i) \circ \bar{\xi} = (x^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, w_{\lambda\mu}^i - c_{jk}^i w_\lambda^j a_\mu^k - w_{\lambda\mu}^i a_\nu^i).$$

This is called the *canonical system of overconnections* (the word "overconnection" refers to the fibred structure $\bar{q}_C: \bar{C} \rightarrow C$). Furthermore, $\bar{\xi}$ is a subsystem of the system of all affine connections $C \rightarrow B$ (which, as we saw, is an affine bundle).

A very important feature of this system is that it is, in a sense, invertible. More precisely, consider the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{C} \times_B C & \xrightarrow{\bar{\xi}} & JC \\ (q_K, q_C) \times \text{id}_C \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K \times_B C \times_B C & \xrightarrow{\pi^3} & C \end{array}$$

Then, one sees that $\bar{\xi}$ is an isomorphism over π^3 . Hence, we have the "inverse" fibred morphism

$$\bar{\pi}: K \times_B C \times_B JC \rightarrow \bar{C}.$$

Let us indicate the induced fibred chart on $K \times_B C \times_B JC$ by

$$(x^\lambda, u_{\mu\nu}^\lambda; a_\lambda^i; a_{\lambda}^{\prime i}, a_{\lambda,\mu}^{\prime i});$$

then the coordinate expression of $\bar{\pi}$ is

$$(x^\lambda, w_{\mu\nu}^\lambda, w_\lambda^i, w_{\lambda\mu}^i) \circ \bar{\pi} = (x^\lambda, u_{\mu\nu}^\lambda, a_\lambda^i, v_{\lambda\mu}^{\prime i} + u_{\lambda\mu}^\rho a_\rho^{\prime i} + c_{jk}^i a_\lambda^j a_\mu^{\prime k}).$$

REMARK. Take two sections $k: B \rightarrow K$, $c: B \rightarrow C$. These give rise to the section $\bar{c} \equiv (k, c, jc) \circ \bar{\pi}: B \rightarrow \bar{C}$, hence to the connection $\bar{c}: C \rightarrow JC$. It is interesting to note that \bar{c} is characterized by the two conditions [12]

$$\nabla_{\bar{c}} c = 0; \quad q_K \circ \bar{c} = k.$$

Moreover, we have $\bar{q}_C \circ \bar{c} = c$. In other terms, \bar{c} is a *prolongation* of (k, c) . A calculation shows an interesting and unexpected result: the curvature of \bar{c} depends *algebraically* from the curvatures of k and c .

2 – Relation between the horizontal approach and the traditional vertical approach

In the traditional approach, principal connections and curvature are studied in the context of forms valued into the Lie algebra of the group (see [10], Ch. II), which are strictly related to invariant vertical-valued forms. We shall now exploit with some details the equivalence of the two approaches to connections.

2.1 – The canonical splitting of the vertical bundle

We remark that, since $\bar{\tau}: E \times_B E \rightarrow G$, then

$$T_2 \bar{\tau}: E \times_B TE \rightarrow TG;$$

moreover, since $\bar{\tau}(a, a) = e \forall a \in E$ (e is the unit element of G), the restriction of $T_2 \bar{\tau}$ to the “diagonal” submanifold

$$\{(a, v) \in E \times_B TE: a = \pi_E(v)\}$$

is valued into $T_e G$.

PROPOSITION. *The map*

$$T_2 \bar{\tau} \circ (\pi_E, \text{id}_{VE}): VE \rightarrow T_e G$$

is a linear isomorphism over E . In other terms, we have the global linear fibred splitting $\Phi: VE \rightarrow E \times T_e G$ given by the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} VE & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & E \times T_e G \\ (\pi_E, \text{id}_{VE}) \searrow & & \nearrow \text{id}_E \times T_2 \bar{\tau} \\ & E \times VE & \end{array}$$

□

The coordinate expression of Φ is

$$\Phi = \Phi_j^i dy^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}(e)$$

where

$$\Phi_j^i(a) = \partial_j'' \bar{\tau}^i(a, a).$$

Identifying $T_e G$ with the Lie algebra \mathcal{G} of all right-invariant vector fields $G \rightarrow TG$, the assignment of a vertical valued form $\varphi: E \rightarrow \Lambda T^* E \otimes_E VE$ is equivalent to that of a \mathcal{G} -valued form $\tilde{\varphi} \equiv \Phi \varphi: E \rightarrow \Lambda T^* E \otimes \mathcal{G}$.

2.2- \mathcal{G} -valued forms

LEMMA. The following diagram commutes $\forall g \in G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times_B E & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & G \\ \tau_g \times \tau_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ad}_g \\ E \times_B E & \xrightarrow{\bar{\tau}} & G \end{array}$$

where $\text{ad}_g: G \rightarrow G: h \mapsto g^{-1}hg$. □

PROPOSITION. The following diagram commutes $\forall g \in G$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} VE & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{G} \\ T\tau_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}_g \\ VE & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

where $\text{Ad}_g \equiv T(\text{ad}_g): TG \rightarrow TG$. □

COROLLARY. A vertical-valued principal form $\varphi: E \rightarrow \Lambda T^* E \otimes_E VE$ is characterized by a \mathcal{G} -valued form $\bar{\varphi}$ such that the following diagram commutes $\forall g \in G$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda T E & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \mathcal{G} \\ \Lambda \tau_g \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Ad}_g \\ \Lambda T E & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

The correspondence between φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ is via the relations

$$\bar{\varphi} = \Phi \varphi; \quad \varphi = \Phi^{-1} \bar{\varphi}.$$

□

We write the principal coordinates expressions for the particular case of 1-forms:

$$\varphi = \sigma_h^i(\varphi_0)_\lambda^h dx^\lambda \otimes \partial y_i + \sigma_h^i(\sigma^{-1})_j^k(\varphi_0)_k^h dy^j \otimes \partial y_i;$$

$$\bar{\varphi} = \bar{\varphi}_\lambda^i dx^\lambda \otimes \partial u_i + \bar{\varphi}_j^i dy^j \otimes \partial u_i$$

where

$$\bar{\varphi}_\lambda^i = \Phi_k^i \sigma_h^k(\varphi_0)_\lambda^h; \quad \bar{\varphi}_j^i = \Phi_m^i (\sigma^{-1})_j^k \sigma_h^m(\varphi_0)_k^h.$$

2.3 – Exterior differential and Lie Bracket of \mathcal{G} -valued forms

a) The exterior differential of \mathcal{G} -valued forms is defined for decomposable forms by the rule

$$d(\alpha \otimes u) = d\alpha \otimes u: E \rightarrow \Lambda^{r+1} T^* E \otimes \mathcal{G} \quad \alpha: E \rightarrow \Lambda^r T^* E, \quad u \in \mathcal{G}$$

and extended naturally by linearity. In particular, let $\bar{\varphi}: E \rightarrow T^* E \otimes \mathcal{G}$ be any \mathcal{G} -valued 1-form. The coordinate expression of its exterior

differential is

$$\begin{aligned}
 d\tilde{\varphi} &= d\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda^i \wedge dx^\lambda \otimes \partial u_i + d\tilde{\varphi}_j^i \wedge dy^j \otimes \partial u_i = \\
 &= \Phi_k^i \sigma_h^k \partial_\mu (\varphi_0)_\lambda^h dx^\mu \wedge dx^\lambda \otimes \partial u_i + \\
 &\quad + \left(\partial_\ell \Phi_k^i \sigma_h^k + \Phi_k^i \partial_\ell \sigma_h^k \right) (\varphi_0)_\lambda^h dy^\ell \wedge dx^\lambda \otimes \partial u_i + \\
 &\quad + \Phi_m^i (\sigma^{-1})_j^k \sigma_h^m \partial_\lambda (\varphi_0)_k^h dx^\lambda \wedge dy^j \otimes \partial u_i + \\
 &\quad + \left(\partial_\ell \Phi_m^i (\sigma^{-1})_j^k \sigma_h^m + \Phi_m^i \partial_\ell (\sigma^{-1})_j^k \sigma_h^m + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \Phi_m^i (\sigma^{-1})_j^k \partial_\ell \sigma_h^m \right) (\varphi_0)_k^h dy^\ell \wedge dy^j \otimes \partial u_i.
 \end{aligned}$$

b) The Lie bracket of two G -valued forms is defined by the rule

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\alpha \otimes u, \beta \otimes v] &\equiv \alpha \wedge \beta \otimes [u, v]: E \longrightarrow \Lambda^{r+s} T^* E \otimes \mathcal{G} \\
 \alpha: E &\longrightarrow \Lambda^r T^* E, \quad \beta: E \longrightarrow \Lambda^s T^* E, \quad u, v \in \mathcal{G}
 \end{aligned}$$

and extend naturally by linearity. In particular, let $\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}: E \longrightarrow T^* E \otimes \mathcal{G}$ be \mathcal{G} -valued 1-forms. The coordinate expression of their Lie bracket is

$$[\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\psi}] = \left(\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda^i dx^\lambda + \tilde{\varphi}_j^i dy^j \right) \wedge \left(\tilde{\psi}_\mu^h dx^\mu + \tilde{\psi}_k^h dy^k \right) c_{ih}^j \partial u_i.$$

2.4 - Connection forms

A principal connection $\gamma: E \longrightarrow JE$ is equivalent [4, 5, 8] to the G -invariant vertical valued form, called *connection form*

$$\omega_\gamma: E \longrightarrow T^* E \otimes_E VE$$

which is characterized by the identity

$$\gamma + \omega_\gamma = 1_{TE}: E \longrightarrow T^* E \otimes_E TE;$$

its coordinate expression is

$$\omega_\gamma = d^i \otimes \partial_i - \sigma_j^i (\gamma_0)_\lambda^j d^\lambda \otimes \partial_i.$$

We remark that any invariant vertical-valued 1-form which is a linear projection $\omega: TE \rightarrow VE$ over E (that is whose restriction to VE is the identity) is a connection form, i.e. corresponds to a (unique) invariant connection.

PROPOSITION. *We have*

$$2\rho = [\gamma, \gamma] = [\omega_\gamma, \omega_\gamma] = -d_\gamma \omega_\gamma$$

(here the bracket are F-N brackets). □

PROOF. It follows from a direct calculation, taking into account the identity

$$0 = [1_{TE}, 1_{TE}] = [\gamma + \omega_\gamma, \gamma + \omega_\gamma].$$

□

We then recover the Maurer-Cartan identity:

PROPOSITION. *Let $\omega: E \rightarrow T^*E \otimes_E VE$ be an invariant connection form, $\rho \equiv \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]: E \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*E \otimes_E VE$ its curvature. Let $\tilde{\omega}: E \rightarrow T^*E \otimes \mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{\rho}: E \rightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*E \otimes \mathcal{G}$ be the corresponding \mathcal{G} -valued forms. We then have:*

$$\tilde{\rho} = d\tilde{\omega} + \frac{1}{2}[\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\omega}].$$

□

PROOF. Let $u, v: E \rightarrow TE$ be invariant fields. From the definition of Froelicher-Nijenhuis bracket we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\omega, \omega](u, v) &= \frac{1}{2} \left([\omega(u), \omega(v)] - [\omega(v), \omega(u)] - \omega[u, \omega(v)] + \omega[v, \omega(u)] + \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \omega[u, \omega(v)] + \omega[v, \omega(u)] + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\omega([u, v])) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\omega([v, u])) + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}\omega(\omega([u, v])) - \frac{1}{2}\omega(\omega([v, u])) \right) = \\ &= [\omega(u), \omega(v)] - \omega[u, \omega(v)] + \omega[v, \omega(u)] + \omega([u, v]); \end{aligned}$$

as $\omega(v)$ is vertical then $[u, \omega(v)]$ is vertical, thus $\omega([u, \omega(v)]) = [\omega, \omega(v)]$. Finally, we have

$$[\omega, \omega](u, v) = [\omega(u), \omega(v)] - [u, \omega(v)] + [v, \omega(u)] + \omega([u, v])$$

which, taking into account the definition of d and $[\cdot, \cdot]$ for \mathcal{G} -valued forms gives us the result. \square

2.5 – The system of invariant connection forms

The methodology of systems can be easily applied to the vertical approach to connections. We give here only a short exposition, leaving the details to the reader. Let

$$W \hookrightarrow H^* \otimes_B A$$

be the subbundle of all linear projections $H \longrightarrow A$, i.e. the subbundle characterized by the constraints

$$(e^i \otimes e_j)|_W = \delta_j^i.$$

Then a section $w: B \longrightarrow W$ characterizes a unique invariant connection form

$$w: E \longrightarrow T^*E \otimes_E VE.$$

Namely, the restriction to W of the system $\Lambda\eta \otimes \bar{\eta}$ of invariant vertical-valued forms ([1], 3.6, b) is a system, the *system of invariant connection forms*. The semi-basic A -valued form

$$\frac{1}{2}[w, w] \equiv \rho_w: B \longrightarrow \Lambda^2 T^*B \otimes_B A$$

corresponds, via the system of semi-basic vertical-valued forms ([1], 3.6, d), to the curvature of w .

The *universal connection-form* is connection form

$$\omega_{\Gamma}: \tilde{C} \longrightarrow T^*\tilde{C} \otimes_{\tilde{C}} VE \subset T^*\tilde{C} \otimes_{\tilde{C}} V_{\tilde{C}}\tilde{C}$$

associated with the universal connection (1.6). It can be characterized by the relation

$$(\omega_r, (u, v)) = (k, \langle \omega_k, v \rangle)$$

where $k \equiv \pi_C(u) \in C$, $(u, v) \in T\tilde{C} = TC \times_{TB} TE$. The universal connection-form is a particular invariant connection form on the principal bundle $\tilde{C} \rightarrow C$, hence from the first proposition in 2.4 we see that the universal curvature is given by

$$\rho_r = \frac{1}{2} [\omega_r, \omega_r].$$

Further details on various equivalent ways to view the universal connection and curvature can be found in [2].

A final remark concerns the application of the system methodology to the approach based on \mathcal{G} -valued forms. An invariant \mathcal{G} -valued form is *not* associated with a form $B \rightarrow \Lambda H^* \otimes_B \mathcal{G}$. Rather, from the commuting diagram of the proposition in 2.2 we see that an invariant \mathcal{G} -valued form is associated with a form

$$B \rightarrow \Lambda H^* \otimes_B ((E \times \mathcal{G})/G)$$

where $(E \times \mathcal{G})/G$ is the quotient of $E \times \mathcal{G}$ by the *adjoint* action. But this is *canonically* isomorphic to $A \equiv VE/G$. Thus the system (i.e. quotient) descriptions of the vertical and \mathcal{G} -valued approaches coincide.

However, we remark that the choice of a local gauge $s_0: B \rightarrow E$ induces also another (not canonical) local description. Consider the local fibred isomorphism over E

$$\Phi_0: VE \rightarrow E \times \mathcal{G}: v \mapsto (s_0 \circ p \circ \pi_E(v), \Phi(v_0))$$

where v_0 is ([1], 2.2) the unique element of $[v]_G$ such that $\pi_E(v_0) = s_0 \circ p \circ \pi_E(v)$. Then we have, for all $g \in G$, the commuting diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} VE & \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} & \mathcal{G} \\ T\tau_g \downarrow & & \downarrow Id_g \\ VE & \xrightarrow{\Phi_0} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

Identifying a vertical-valued form with a \mathcal{G} -valued form via Φ_0 , and performing the quotient with respect to the group action, we then see that an invariant vertical-valued form is characterized uniquely by a section $B \rightarrow \Lambda H^* \otimes \mathcal{G}$. Actually, it is known [8] that the choice of a gauge determines locally a fibred isomorphism $A \cong B \times \mathcal{G}$ over B .

Acknowledgements

The authors are very grateful to the referee for several remarks and suggestions. Thanks are also due to Prof. I. Kolar (Brno).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. CABRAS - D. CANARUTTO: *Systems of principal tangent-valued forms*, Rend. Mat. VII, 11 (1991), 471-493.
- [2] A. CABRAS: *On the universal connection of a system of connections*, Note di Matematica, Vol. VIII (1987), 173-209.
- [3] M. CRAMPIN: *Generalized Bianchi identities for horizontal distributions*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 94 (1983), 125-132.
- [4] M. CRAMPIN - L.A. IBORT: *Graded Lie algebras of derivations and Ehresmann connections*, preprint.
- [5] M. FERRARIS - M. FRANCAVIGLIA - F. TUBIELLO: *Connections Over the Bundle of Second-Order Frames*, in "Differential Geometry and its applications", Proc. Conf. Aug. 27-Sept. 2 (1989), Brno, Czechoslovakia. World Scientific, Singapore, 1990, 33-46.
- [6] A. FROELICHER - A. NIJENHUIS: *Theory of vector valued differential forms. Part I: Derivations in the graded ring of differential forms*, Indag. Math., 18 (1956), 338-385.
- [7] A. FROELICHER - A. NIJENHUIS: *Invariance of vector form operations under mappings*, Comment. Math. Helv., 34 (1960), 227-248.
- [8] P.L. GARCIA: *Gauge algebras, curvature and symplectic structure*, J. Diff. Geom., 12 (1977), 209-227.
- [9] C. GODBILLON: *Géométrie différentielle et mécanique analytique*, Hermann, Paris (1969).
- [10] S. KOBAYASHI - K. NOMIZU: *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, John Wiley and Sons (1969).

- [11] L. MANGIAROTTI - M. MODUGNO: *Graded Lie algebras and connections on a fibred space*, J. Math. Pur. et Appl., **63** (1984), 111-120.
- [12] M. MODUGNO: *Systems of vector valued forms on a fibred manifold and applications to gauge theories*, Proc. Conf. Diff. Geom. Meth. in Math. Phys. Salamanca, 1985, Lect. Not. Math. 1251, Springer 1987, 238-264.
- [13] M. NARASIMHAN - S. RAMAN: *Existence of universal connections*, Am. J. Math. **83** (1961), 563-572.
- [14] H.K. NICKERSON: *On differential operators and connections*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **99** (1961), 509-539.

*Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 14 novembre 1990
ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 5 marzo 1991
su parere favorevole di M. Modugno e di S. Marchiafava*

INDIRIZZO DEGLI AUTORI:

A. Cabras - Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata "G. Sansone"- Via S. Marta, 3 - 50139 Firenze - Italia

D. Canarutto - Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata "G. Sansone"- Via S. Marta, 3 - 50139 Firenze - Italia