# Boundedness of solutions to some linear elliptic equations with right hand side in the Morrey space $\mathbf{L}^{1, \lambda}$ 

## A. D'OTTAVIO - F. LEONETTI - C. MUSCIANO

RiASSUNTO: Si dimostra la limitatezza delle soluzioni delle equazioni lineari ellittiche (1.1) con secondo membro in $L^{1, \lambda}$.

Abstract: We prove boundedness of distributional solutions $u$ to linear elliptic equations $-\operatorname{div}(a(x) D u(x))=-\operatorname{div} f(x)+f_{0}(x)$ where the right hand side $f, f_{0}$ is only in $L^{1, \lambda}$

## 1 - Introduction

We consider linear equations in divergence form

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u(x)\right)=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_{i} f_{i}(x)+f_{0}(x), \quad x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{i}=\partial / \partial x_{i}$, the coefficients $a_{i j}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are measurable, bounded and elliptic, $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{1, r}, r \geq 1$. When

[^0]the gradient $D u$ is in $L^{2}$ (and the right hand side $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$ fulfill suitable assumptions) the solution $u$ enjoys regularity properties which are well known, [7], [18], [26], [28], [34], in particular, $u$ is hölder continuous when $f_{0}=f_{1}=\cdots=f_{n}=0$. On the contrary, when the gradient $D u$ is no longer assumed in $L^{2}$ but in some $L^{r}$ with $r<2$, then $u$ may not enjoy all the nice properties of the case $r=2$, in particular, $u$ may be unbounded, even if $f_{0}=f_{1}=\cdots=f_{n}=0$, [32]. Let us name solutions $u \in W^{1, r}, r<2$, weak solutions in order to emphasize that the gradient is not assumed to be in $L^{2}$. Recently, a great deal of work has been done in order to understand the behaviour of such weak solutions: higher integrability of the gradient for nonlinear elliptic systems has been studied in [17], [21], [12], [22], [13], [24], [25]; examples of weak solutions are in [32], [23]; uniqueness of weak solutions is studied in [1], [10], [13], [15]. On related topics, we also quote [8], [27]. In the present paper we prove boundedness of weak solutions to (1.1) in dimension 2 and 3 , provided the coefficients $a_{i j}$ are $\theta$-hölder continuous, for suitable $\theta$ 's, see the next section. Let us come back to the linear equation (1.1): bounded measurable coefficients $a_{i j}$ allow solutions $u \in W^{1, r}, r<2$, to be unbounded, even if $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}=0$, see [32]. On the contrary, if $a_{i j}$ are hölder continuous, higher integrability on the right hand side $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in L^{p}$ improves the integrability of the gradient $D u$ as in [5], [16], [33], [9], thus giving continuity of $u$ by Sobolev imbedding theorem, if $p$ is large enaugh. In this paper we show that boundedness of $u$ can be achieved without higher integrability on the right hand side but assuming that it belongs to suitable Morrey spaces $L^{1, \lambda}$. [30] shows in $L^{1, \lambda}$ a function $g$ enjoing no higher integrability than $L^{1}$, thus $L^{p}$ theory cannot be used in such a case, see the example in Section 4 at the end of the paper. Elliptic equations with $L^{1}$ data have been also studied in [1], [3], [6], [11], [29], [2].

## 2 - Notation and results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$. For $i, j=1, \ldots, n$ we consider functions $a_{i j}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and we assume that the matrix $\left\{a_{i j}\right\}$ is elliptic: for some constants $0<l \leq L$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
l|\xi|^{2} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{i} \leq L|\xi|^{2}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also assume $\theta$-hölder continuity, that is, for some $\theta \in(0,1]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i j} \in C^{0, \theta}(\bar{\Omega}), \quad \forall i, j=1, \ldots, n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we consider functions $f_{i}: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, i=0,1, \ldots, n$, satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0} \in L^{1, \lambda-1}(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq n-1<\lambda \leq n \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in L^{1, \lambda}(\Omega) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L^{1, \nu}(\Omega)$ is the Morrey space, that is the set of all $v \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\sup \rho^{-\nu} \int_{\Omega \cap B(x, \rho)}|v(y)| d y<\infty
$$

the supremum being taken over all $x \in \Omega$ and all $\rho>0, B(x, \rho)$ being the open ball around $x$ with radius $\rho$. Let $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belong to the Sobolev space $W^{1, r}(\Omega)$ and verify

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}(x) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x= & \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x+  \tag{2.5}\\
& +\int_{\Omega} f_{0}(x) \phi(x) d x
\end{align*}
$$

for every $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$. We prove the following
THEOREM. Assume that (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) hold; if $u \in W^{1, r}(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{n}{1+\theta}<r \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $u$ solves the equation (2.5), then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(\Omega) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 1. Note that $1 \leq \frac{n}{1+\theta}$ in (2.6).
Remark 2. Let us come back to (2.6) in our Theorem: $\frac{n}{1+\theta}<2$ only if $n=2$ or $n=3$. Thus, our Theorem deals with weak solutions in dimension 2 or 3 .

REmark 3. We note that $\frac{n}{2}=\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 1} \frac{n}{1+\theta}$. Thus, our Theorem implies that, if $u \in W^{1, r}(\Omega), \frac{n}{2}<r$, solves (2.5) with (2.1), (2.3), (2.4), then $u \in L_{\text {loc }}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, provided the coefficients $a_{i j}$ are hölder continuous for a suitable exponent $\theta$.

REMARK 4. When $a_{i j}$ are constant, a careful inspection of the proof shows that our Theorem holds true with (2.6) replaced by $1<r$.

Remark 5. The proof of our Theorem collects ideas and techniques contained in [4], [14], [19], [20].

## 3 - Proof of the Theorem

We split the matrix $a(x)$ into its symmetric part $a^{+}(x)$ and skewsymmetric one $a^{-}(x)$ :

$$
a_{i j}^{+}(x)=\frac{a_{i j}(x)+a_{j i}(x)}{2}, \quad a_{i j}^{-}(x)=\frac{a_{i j}(x)-a_{j i}(x)}{2} .
$$

Since

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{-}(x) \xi_{i} \xi_{j}=0
$$

then (2.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
l|\xi|^{2} \leq \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}(x) \xi_{j} \xi_{i} \leq L|\xi|^{2}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $x^{0} \in \Omega$ we consider the $n \times n$ real matrix $a^{+}\left(x^{0}\right)=\left\{a_{i j}^{+}\left(x^{0}\right)\right\}$ : because of (3.1), it is symmetric and positive, thus its eigenvalues are positive real numbers $\lambda^{1}, \ldots, \lambda^{n}$; we select eigenvectors $w^{1}, \ldots, w^{n}$ such that they are an orthonormal basis in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the linear mapping

$$
G: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad G(x)=\left(G_{1}(x), \ldots, G_{n}(x)\right)
$$

by means of

$$
G_{i}(x)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\lambda^{i}\right)^{-1 / 2} w_{j}^{i} x_{j} \quad \forall i=1, \ldots, n
$$

where $w^{i}=\left(w_{1}^{i}, \ldots, w_{n}^{i}\right)$ and $x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$. Let us remark that eigenvalues, eigenvectors depend on $x^{0}$, thus $G$ itself depends on $x^{0}$; because of ellipticity (3.1) we can give the following estimates independent on $x^{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-1}\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2} \leq\left|G(x)-G\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|^{2} \leq l^{-1}\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{2}, \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-n / 2} \leq|\operatorname{det} J G| \leq l^{-n / 2} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}(J G)_{i j}^{2} \leq n l^{-1} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J G$ is the Jacobian matrix of $G$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(J G)_{i j}=\frac{\partial G_{i}}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix $J G$ diagonalizes $a^{+}\left(x^{0}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}(J G)_{\alpha i} a_{i j}^{+}\left(x^{0}\right)(J G)_{\beta j}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}, \quad \forall \alpha, \beta=1, \ldots, n \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{\alpha \beta}=1$ when $\alpha=\beta, \delta_{\alpha \beta}=0$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$. We set $y^{0}=G\left(x^{0}\right)$. Using (3.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{0}, \partial \Omega\right) \leq \operatorname{dist}\left(y^{0}, \partial(G(\Omega))\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{l} R\right) \subset G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, R\right)\right) \subset B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{L} R\right), \quad \forall R>0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B(z, \rho)$ is the open ball around $z$, with radius $\rho$ and $\partial A$ is the boundary of the set $A$. For every $\sigma$ with $0<\sigma<L^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{0}, \partial \Omega\right)$, we
have $G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right) \subset \Omega$, thus (2.5) holds true for every smooth function $\phi$ with compact support in $G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)$ :

$$
\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}\left(x^{0}\right)-a_{i j}(x)\right) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x+  \tag{3.9}\\
& +\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x+\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} f_{0}(x) \phi(x) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\int \sum_{i, j} a_{i j}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u D_{i} \phi=\int \sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{+}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u D_{i} \phi+\int \sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{-}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u D_{i} \phi
$$

Since $\phi$ is smooth with compact support and $a^{-}\left(x^{0}\right)$ is skewsymmetric, we have

$$
\int \sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{-}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u D_{i} \phi=-\int u \sum_{i, j} a_{i j}^{-}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} D_{i} \phi=0
$$

thus

$$
\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
= & \int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}\left(x^{0}\right)-a_{i j}(x)\right) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x+  \tag{3.10}\\
& +\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x+\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} f_{0}(x) \phi(x) d x .
\end{align*}
$$

Let us remark that (3.10) holds true for every test function $\phi$ lipschitz continuous, vanishing on the boundary of $\left(G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)\right)$, then we may
insert

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\sigma^{2}-\left|G(x)-y^{0}\right|^{2} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

into (3.10). From now on, $\phi$ will be the function in (3.11). Let us treat the left-hand side of (3.10): changing variable, setting $y=G(x), v(y)=$ $u\left(G^{-1}(y)\right), \psi(y)=\phi\left(G^{-1}(y)\right)$, using the chain rule and (3.6) yield

$$
\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}\left(x^{0}\right) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x=
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =|\operatorname{det} J G|^{-1} \int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)^{i, j=1}} \sum_{i j}^{n} a_{i j}^{+}\left(x^{0}\right) \sum_{\beta=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{\beta}}(y)(J G)_{\beta j} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_{\alpha}}(y)(J G)_{\alpha i} d y=  \tag{3.12}\\
& =|\operatorname{det} J G|^{-1} \int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_{\alpha}}(y) \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_{\alpha}}(y) d y=(I)
\end{align*}
$$

Integration by parts yields

$$
\begin{align*}
(I)= & |\operatorname{det} J G|^{-1}\left\{\int_{\partial B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y_{\alpha}}(y) N_{\alpha}(y) d \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y)+\right. \\
& \left.-\int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi}{\partial y_{\alpha}^{2}}(y) d y\right\}=  \tag{3.13}\\
= & |\operatorname{det} J G|^{-1}\left\{-2 \sigma \int_{\partial B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y)+2 n \int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d y\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to deal with the right-hand side of $(3.10)$, we recall $(2.2),(3.11)$, (3.4) and (3.8), so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\phi| \leq \sigma^{2}, \quad|D \phi| \leq 2 \sqrt{n / l} \sigma \quad \text { in } G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left(a_{i j}\left(x^{0}\right)-a_{i j}(x)\right) D_{j} u(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x\right| \leq \\
& \leq 2 \sqrt{n / l} \sigma \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{L} \sigma\right)}\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\left(x^{0}\right)-a_{i j}(x)\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}|D u(x)| d x \leq \\
& \leq 2 \sqrt{n / l} \sigma[a](\sqrt{L} \sigma)^{\theta}\left(\int_{B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{L} \sigma\right)}|D u(x)|^{r} d x\right)^{1 / r}\left|B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{L} \sigma\right)\right|^{1-1 / r} \leq \\
& \leq 2 \sqrt{n / l}[a](\sqrt{L})^{\theta+n(1-1 / r)} \omega_{n}^{1-1 / r}\|D u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)} \sigma^{1+\theta+n(1-1 / r)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega_{n}=|B(0,1)|,|$.$| stands for the n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure,

$$
[a]=\left(\sum_{i, j=1}^{n}\left[a_{i j}\right]^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad \text { and }\left[a_{i j}\right]=\sup \left|a_{i j}(x)-a_{i j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right| /\left|x-x^{\prime}\right|^{\theta}
$$

the supremum being taken over $x, x^{\prime} \in \bar{\Omega}$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x) D_{i} \phi(x) d x\right| \leq 2 \sqrt{n / l} \sigma \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{L} \sigma\right)}|f| d x \leq  \tag{3.16}\\
& \leq 2 \sqrt{n / l} \sigma\|f\|_{L^{1, \lambda}(\Omega)}(\sqrt{L} \sigma)^{\lambda}= \\
& =2 \sqrt{n / l}(\sqrt{L})^{\lambda}\|f\|_{L^{1, \lambda}(\Omega)} \sigma^{1+\lambda}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$, and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)\right)} f_{0}(x) \phi(x) d x\right| \leq \sigma^{2} \int_{B\left(x^{0}, \sqrt{L} \sigma\right)}\left|f_{0}\right| d x \leq  \tag{3.17}\\
& \leq \sigma^{2}\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{1, \lambda-1}(\Omega)}(\sqrt{L})^{\lambda-1} \sigma^{\lambda-1}=(\sqrt{L})^{\lambda-1}\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{1, \lambda-1}(\Omega)} \sigma^{1+\lambda}
\end{align*}
$$

Equality (3.10) and the previous estimates merge into

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sigma \int_{\partial B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(y)+n \int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d y \leq c_{1} \sigma^{1+\gamma} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{1}= & \frac{l^{-n / 2}}{2}\left\{2 \sqrt{n / l}[a](\sqrt{L})^{\theta+n(1-1 / r)} \omega_{n}^{1-1 / r}\|D u\|_{L^{r}(\Omega)}+\right.  \tag{3.19}\\
& \left.+2 \sqrt{n / l}(\sqrt{L})^{\lambda}\|f\|_{L^{1, \lambda}(\Omega)}+(\sqrt{L})^{\lambda-1}\left\|f_{0}\right\|_{L^{1, \lambda-1}(\Omega)}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\min \left\{\theta+n\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right), \lambda\right\} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

inequality (3.18) holds true for almost every $\sigma \in(0,1]$ with $\sqrt{L} \sigma<$ $\operatorname{dist}\left(x^{0}, \partial \Omega\right)$. If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\sigma)=\int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d y \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

then inequality (3.18) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \frac{d}{d \sigma} h(\sigma) \geq n h(\sigma)-c_{1} \sigma^{1+\gamma} \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}(\sigma)=\int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d y+K \sigma^{1+\gamma} \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and find $K \geq 0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \frac{d}{d \sigma} \tilde{h}(\sigma) \geq n \tilde{h}(\sigma) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, taking into account $(3.22), K(1+\gamma-n) \geq c_{1}$. Since we assumed (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6), $1+\gamma-n$ turns out to be positive, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{c_{1}}{1+\gamma-n} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an admissible value and (3.24) holds true. Because of (3.24), $\sigma \rightarrow$ $\sigma^{-n} \tilde{h}(\sigma)$ is increasing, thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{-n} \int_{B\left(y^{0}, \rho\right)} v(y) d y \leq \sigma^{-n}\left(\int_{B\left(y^{0}, \sigma\right)} v(y) d y+K \sigma^{1+\gamma}\right) \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\rho, \sigma$ such that $0<\rho \leq \sigma \leq \min \left\{1,(4 L)^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{0}, \partial \Omega\right)\right\}$. Now we come back to $u(x)=v(G(x))$ : we change variable, we use (3.3) and (3.8) in order to get
(3.27) $f_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \rho\right)\right)} u(x) d x \leq \sigma^{-n}(L / l)^{n / 2} \omega_{n}^{-1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} l^{-n / 2}+K \sigma^{1+\gamma}\right)$,
where

$$
f_{A} u(x) d x=|A|^{-1} \int_{A} u(x) d x
$$

Inequality (3.27) gives us an estimate from above. In order to get the corresponding estimate from below, we consider $-u$. Since $-u$ solves (2.5) with $-f_{0},-f_{1}, \ldots,-f_{n}$ instead of $f_{0}, f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}$, then inequality (3.27) holds for $-u$ too; thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \rho\right)\right)} u(x) d x\right| \leq \sigma^{-n}(L / l)^{n / 2} \omega_{n}^{-1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} l^{-n / 2}+K \sigma^{1+\gamma}\right) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x^{0}=G^{-1}\left(y^{0}\right) \in \Omega, 0<\rho \leq \sigma \leq \min \left\{1,(4 L)^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{dist}\left(x^{0}, \partial \Omega\right)\right\}$. Because of (3.8), we may use Theorem 8.8 in [31], thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} f_{G^{-1}\left(B\left(y^{0}, \rho\right)\right)} u(x) d x=u\left(x^{0}\right) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

for almost every $x^{0} \in \Omega$. Now, it is easy to see that $u$ is locally bounded; this ends the proof, yet, let us write explicitly the estimate for $\sup |u|$. For every $\epsilon \in(0,2 \sqrt{L}]$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\epsilon}=\{x \in \Omega: \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)>\epsilon\} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we can use (3.28) for every $x^{0} \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$ and $0<\rho \leq \sigma=\epsilon(4 L)^{-1 / 2}$ : for almost every $x^{0} \in \Omega_{\epsilon}$ we let $\rho$ go to zero and we get

$$
\left|u\left(x^{0}\right)\right| \leq\left(\frac{2 L}{\epsilon \sqrt{l}}\right)^{n} \omega_{n}^{-1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} l^{-n / 2}+K\right)
$$

thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{\epsilon}\right)} \leq\left(\frac{2 L}{\epsilon \sqrt{l}}\right)^{n} \omega_{n}^{-1}\left(\|u\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} l^{-n / 2}+K\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\epsilon \in(0,2 \sqrt{L}]$.

## 4 - An example

Consider $1 \leq r<\infty, 0<\mu<1$ and let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g \geq 0$ be a measurable function such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{-1}^{1} g^{r}(x) d x<\infty  \tag{4.1}\\
\int_{-\epsilon}^{\epsilon} g^{p}(x) d x=\infty, \quad \forall p>r, \quad \forall \epsilon>0 \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

there exists a positive constant $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{I_{l}} g^{r}(x) d x \leq c_{2} l^{\mu} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every interval $I_{l}=(a, b)$ with $I_{l} \subset(-1,1)$ where $l=b-a$. Such a function can be obtained from [30], pages $12, \ldots, 20$. For the convenience of the reader, we write it at the end of this section. Now we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
u: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad u(x, y)=\int_{0}^{x} g(t) d t \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(4.7) $|D u| \in L^{1, \lambda}\left(\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right) \times\left(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)\right), \quad \lambda=\frac{1+\mu}{r}+2-\frac{2}{r}, \quad 1<\lambda<2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u| \in L^{r}((-1,1) \times(-1,1)) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D u| \notin L^{p}((-\epsilon, \epsilon) \times(-\epsilon, \epsilon)), \quad \forall p>r, \quad \forall \epsilon>0 \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such a function $u$ verifies $-\operatorname{div}(D u)=-\operatorname{div}(f)$, with $f=D u$, thus (2.1), $\ldots,(2.5)$ are fulfilled with $a_{i j}=\delta_{i j}, l=L=1, \theta=1, n=2, f_{0}=0$, $f_{i}=\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_{i}}, \lambda=\frac{1+\mu}{r}+2-\frac{2}{r}$. (2.6) is fulfilled, provided $1<r$. Let us write explicitly the function $g$, taken from [30], pages $12, \ldots, 20$. For $0<\mu<1,1 \leq r<\infty$, set

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{i}=\left(2^{-i}\right)^{1 / \mu} \\
s_{i}=\left(1-2^{-1 / \mu}\right) \rho_{i}^{1-\mu}\left(e^{-2^{i}}\right)^{1 /(1-\mu)-1} \\
\psi_{i}=\text { the integer part of }\left[\left(\rho_{i}-\rho_{i+1}\right) / s_{i}\right] \\
d_{i}=\left(e^{-2^{i}}\right)^{1 /(1-\mu)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$j(\mu)$ is a suitable large positive integer, depending on $\mu$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{i}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}:-\rho_{i}<x<\rho_{i}\right\} \\
D_{i}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\psi_{i}-1}\left\{x \in I_{i}: \rho_{i}-k s_{i}-d_{i}<x<\rho_{i}-k s_{i}\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

$1_{D_{i}}$ is the characteristic function of the set $D_{i}$,
then,

$$
g(x)=\sum_{i=j(\mu)}^{\infty}\left(e^{2^{i}}\right)^{1 / r} 1_{D_{i}}(x)
$$
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