Rendiconti di Matematica, Serie VII Volume 37, Roma (2016), 123 – 131

Ekeland sequences compact in L^{∞}

LUCIO BOCCARDO

dedié à Ivar Ekeland

ABSTRACT: In this paper we use Ekeland's ε -variational principle and we prove that, for some integral functionals, there exists a minimizing sequence compact in L^{∞} .

1 – Introduction

Let us recall Ekeland's ε -variational principle (see [8, 9]).

LEMMA 1.1. Let (V, d) be a complete metric space, and let $\mathcal{F} : V \to (-\infty, +\infty]$ be a lower semicontinuous function such that $\inf_V \mathcal{F}$ is finite. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $u \in V$ be such that

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \le \inf_{v \in V} \mathcal{F}(v) + \varepsilon \,.$$

Then there exists $v \in V$ such that

(i) d(u, v) ≤ √ε;
(ii) F(v) ≤ F(u);
(iii) v minimizes the functional G(w) = F(w) + √ε d(v, w).

Many papers used the above ε -variational principle in many different frameworks: it is impossible to quote all of them; we only recall [1, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 20] (and the references therein) and the papers referred below.

In this paper we carry on the study of additional properties of the Ekeland sequences (begun in [5] and developed in [7]), in the case of functionals defined through multiple integrals.

KEY WORDS AND PHRASES: *Ekeland sequences – Ekeland principle* A.M.S. CLASSIFICATION: 49J45, 49N60.

L. BOCCARDO

In [5], we considered some properties of the minimizing sequences for integral functionals J. Thanks to the Ekeland Lemma, we proved the existence of a minimizing sequence compact in $L^{s}(\Omega)$ or in $C^{0,\alpha}$ for functionals which do not need to have a minimum, without using the integral representation of the relaxed functional J^{*} .

In [7], we improved the study done in the paper [5], under the assumption that the functional J has a minimum belonging to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Using again Ekeland's ε variational principle, we proved that there exists a minimizing sequence uniformly converging to a minimum u.

In this paper, we prove similar results if the coefficients satisfy a control assumption (quite natural and introduced in [2]; see (2.3) below).

2 – Setting and statement of the result

Let Ω be an open, bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \ge 2$, and let p be a real number, with $2 \le p < N$. We will denote by p^* the Sobolev exponent of p: $p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$.

Let $j: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Carathéodory function (i.e., measurable with respect to x for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, and continuous with respect to ξ for almost every $x \in \Omega$) convex with respect to ξ , and such that

$$\alpha |\xi|^p \le j(x,\xi) \le \beta |\xi|^p, \qquad (2.1)$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where α , β are positive real numbers. Let $J: W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$J(v) = \int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla v) + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^r - \int_{\Omega} f(x) v, \qquad v \in W_0^{1, p}(\Omega),$$

where

$$r > 1, \tag{2.2}$$

and the coefficient b(x) and the datum f(x), belonging to $L^{1}(\Omega)$, satisfy the dominated assumption

there exists $Q \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $|f(x)| \le Q b(x)$. (2.3)

Note that (2.1) implies

$$J(v) \ge \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^p + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^r - \int_{\Omega} f(x) v(x)$$

First of all, we point out that the assumptions (2.3) and (2.2) imply

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^{r} - \int_{\Omega} f(x) v \ge \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |v|^{r} - \int_{\Omega} Q b(x) |v| \\ = \int_{|v|^{r-1} \ge Q} b(x) [|v|^{r-1} - Q] |v| + \int_{|v|^{r-1} < Q} b(x) [|v|^{r-1} - Q] |v|, \tag{2.4}$$

where $b(x)[|v|^{r-1}-Q]|v|$ is positive on the set $\{|v|^{r-1} \ge Q\}$ and $b(x)[|v|^{r-1}-Q]|v|$ belongs to L^1 on the set $\{|v|^{r-1} < Q\}$.

Thus, under the above assumptions J is well defined on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ even though f is only in $L^1(\Omega)$; possibly with value $+\infty$.

Since J is both weakly lower semicontinuous and coercive on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, there exists a minimum u of J.

Moreover the assumption (2.1) and the inequality (2.4) say that the functional J is strongly lower semicontinuous in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, so that it is possible to apply the Ekeland Lemma 1.1.

Also we have the following results on the summability of minima of J.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let u be a minimum of J on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then

- (i) in [19] (see also [14]) is proved that, if b(x) = 0, $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $m > \frac{N}{p}$, then u belongs to $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$;
- (ii) in [4] is proved that, if b(x) = 0, $f \in L^m(\Omega)$, $1 < m < \frac{N}{p}$, then u belongs to $L^{\sigma}(\Omega)$, $\sigma = \frac{(pm)^*}{p'}$;
- (iii) in [2] is proved that, under the assumptions (2.2) and (2.3), $u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with the explicit bound

$$|u(x)| \le Q^{\frac{1}{r-1}}.$$
(2.5)

REMARK 2.2. Let $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ be a minimizing sequence. Recall the definitions (k > 0)

$$G_k(s) = (|s| - k)^+ \frac{s}{|s|}, \qquad T_k(s) = s - G_k(s).$$

Before proving Theorem 2.3, note that, under the assumptions (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), since we have estimate (2.5), the sequence $\{u_n\}$, with $u_n = T_M(\bar{u}_n)$, $M = Q^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$, $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, satisfies

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla T_M(\bar{u}_n)) + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |T_M(\bar{u}_n)|^r - \int_{\Omega} f(x) T_M(\bar{u}_n) \\ &\leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) [|T_M(\bar{u}_n)|^r - |\bar{u}_n|^r] + \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(\bar{u}_n) \,, \\ &\leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n + \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(\bar{u}_n) \,, \end{split}$$

and since

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(\bar{u}_n) = \int_{\Omega} f(x) G_M(u) = 0,$$

we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla u_n) + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^r - \int_{\Omega} f(x) u_n \leq \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \bar{\varepsilon}_n \,.$$

That is, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence for J, and it is bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$: $|u_n(x)| \leq M$.

We assume that

there exists $a(x,\xi) = j_{\xi}(x,\xi)$, which satisfies the Leray-Lions assumptions (2.6)

(see [16]); that is $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory function such that the following holds for almost every $x \in \Omega$, for every $\xi \neq \eta \in \mathbb{R}^N$:

$$\begin{cases} a(x,\xi)\xi \ge \alpha \,|\xi|^p \,, \\ |a(x,\xi)| \le \beta \,|\xi|^{p-1} \,, \\ [a(x,\xi) - a(x,\eta)](\xi - \eta) > 0 \,, \end{cases}$$
(2.7)

where α , β are positive constants. Then the minimum $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is a solution of the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} b(x) \, u |u|^{r-2} \varphi = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \, \varphi, \quad \forall \, \varphi \in W_0^{1, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega).$$
(2.8)

With respect to the above Remark 2.2, if we assume (2.6), in Theorem 2.3, we prove more (as in [7], even with different assumptions): thanks to the ε -variational principle, it is possible to build a minimizing sequence not only bounded in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, but also strongly convergent to u in the same space.

Our main result is the following.

THEOREM 2.3. We assume (2.1), (2.2), (2.3). Let u be a minimum of J on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, and let $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ be any minimizing sequence for J,

$$\|\bar{u}_n\| \le M = Q^{\frac{1}{r-1}}, \text{ thanks to Remark 2.2.}$$
(2.9)

Then the minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ built after $\{\bar{u}_n\}$ using the ε -variational principle satisfies

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|u_n - u\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} = 0, \qquad (2.10)$$

and

the sequence
$$\{u_n\}$$
 is compact in $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. (2.11)

Proof.

STEP 1. Let ε_n be a sequence of positive real numbers, converging to zero, and let \bar{u}_n be such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$J(\bar{u}_n) \le \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n$$

Let us now consider the complete metric space $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$, endowed with the distance

$$d_n(w,v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon_n}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w - \nabla v|.$$

Thanks to Fatou lemma, to the fact that $j(x,\xi) \ge 0$ and to (2.4), we have that J is strongly lower semicontinuous on $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$. Thus, in view of Lemma 1.1, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in $W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla \bar{u}_n| \le \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \,,$$

and

$$J(u_n) \le J(\bar{u}_n) \le \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n , \qquad (2.12)$$

$$J(u_n) \le J(w) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n - \nabla w|, \qquad \forall w \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega).$$
 (2.13)

Using the growth properties of J we now prove that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. By (2.1), we have (recall (2.4))

$$\int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla u_n) + \int_{\{|u_n|^{r-1} < Q\}} \left[\frac{1}{r} b(x) |u_n|^r - f(x) u_n \right]$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega} j(x, \nabla u_n) + \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^r - \int_{\Omega} f(x) u_n.$$

Thus

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \le J(u_n) \le \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n + \int_{\{|u_n|^{r-1} < Q\}} \left[f(x) \, u_n - \frac{1}{r} \, b(x) |u_n|^r \right].$$

and

$$\alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^p \le J(u_n) \le \inf_{v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} J(v) + \varepsilon_n + C_Q,$$

which implies that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus $||u_n||_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)} \leq R$ and, up to subsequences, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, there exists a function u in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_n \to u$$
 weakly in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and almost everywhere in Ω . (2.14)

By the weak lower semicontinuity of J on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, and by (2.12), u is a minimum of J on this space.

Now we follow a classic method by I. Ekeland and we use (2.6). Choosing $w = u_n - t \psi$ in (2.13), where t is a positive real number and ψ is a function in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$J(u_n - t\psi) - J(u_n) + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} t \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi| \ge 0.$$

Dividing by t, and letting t tend to zero, we get, since J is differentiable,

$$-\langle J'(u_n),\psi\rangle + \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi| \ge 0$$

so that

$$\langle J'(u_n),\psi\rangle \le \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla\psi|.$$
 (2.15)

Recalling that J'(u) = 0 since u is a minimum, we have

$$\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), \psi \rangle \le \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \psi|,$$

for every ψ in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Observe that

$$\langle J'(u_n),\psi\rangle = \int_{\Omega} a(x,\nabla u_n)\nabla\psi - \int_{\Omega} f(x)\psi, \qquad (2.16)$$

that is

$$\langle J'(u_n) - J'(u), \psi \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left[a(x, \nabla u_n) - a(x, \nabla u) \right] \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} b(x) (u_n |u_n|^{r-2} - u|u|^{r-2}) \psi.$$

Choosing $\psi = T_k(u_n - u)$, and using the fact that $s \mapsto s|s|^{r-2}$ is monotone, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{|u_n-u|\leq k} [a(x,\nabla u_n)-a(x,\nabla u)]\nabla(u_n-u) \\ \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_k(u_n-u)| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_n-u)| \leq C_R \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} . \end{bmatrix}$$

Here we use the Fatou lemma, as $k \to \infty$, and we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} [a(x, \nabla u_n) - a(x, \nabla u)] \nabla (u_n - u) \le C_R \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \,.$$

A result by J. Leray and J.-L. Lions says that the above limit implies that

$$\nabla u_n(x)$$
 converges a.e. to $\nabla u(x)$. (2.17)

Then in [6] is proved that, under our assumption on the function $a(x,\xi)$, this a.e. convergence implies (2.10).

STEP 2. Let $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ be a smooth function and let $\varphi_k(t) = T_1[G_k(t)]$, with $k \geq M = Q^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$. Choose $\psi = \varphi_k(u_n) \zeta^p$ in (2.15); then we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u_n) \nabla [\varphi_k(u_n) \zeta^p] + \int_{\Omega} b(x) \, u_n |u_n|^{r-2} \varphi_k(u_n) \, \zeta^p - \int_{\Omega} f(x) \varphi_k(u_n) \, \zeta^p \\ \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla [\varphi_k(u_n) \, \zeta^p]| \end{bmatrix}$$

and, recalling (2.3), (2.4), (2.9),

$$\begin{bmatrix} \int_{\Omega} a(x, \nabla u_n) \nabla [\varphi_k(u_n) \zeta^p] \\ \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla [\varphi_k(u_n) \zeta^p]| + \int_{\{|u_n|^{r-1} < Q\}} b(x) [Q - |u_n|^{r-1}] |\varphi_k(u_n)| \zeta^p \\ = \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla [\varphi_k(u_n) \zeta^p]|, \end{bmatrix}$$

which implies (with $B_{n,k} = \{x \in \Omega : k \le |u_n(x)| < k+1\}, A_{n,k} = \{x \in \Omega : k \le |u_n(x)|\}$).

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \int_{B_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^p \zeta^p \\ \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \int_{B_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n| |\zeta^p| + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta| |\varphi_k(u_n)| \zeta^{p-1} \\ + p\beta \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n| \zeta)^{p-1} |\nabla \zeta| |\varphi_k(u_n)| \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \int_{B_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n|^p \zeta^p \\ \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_n} \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \int_{B_{n,k}} |\nabla u_n| \zeta^p + p \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta| |\varphi_k(u_n)| \zeta^{p-1} \\ + p\beta \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla u_n| \zeta)^{p-1} |\nabla \zeta| |\varphi_k(u_n)|. \end{bmatrix}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=j}^{+\infty} \varphi_k(t) = G_j(t) \,,$$

we have (note that $\nabla u_n G_j(u_n) = \nabla G_j(u_n) G_j(u_n)$ and use the Young inequality with $0 < B < \frac{\alpha}{2}$)

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_{j}(u_{n})|^{p} \zeta^{p} \\ &\leq \sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla G_{j}(u_{n})| \zeta] \zeta^{p-1} + p \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta| |G_{j}(u_{n})| \zeta^{p-1} \\ &+ p\beta \int_{\Omega} (|\nabla G_{j}(u_{n})| \zeta)^{p-1} |\nabla \zeta| |G_{j}(u_{n})|, \\ &\leq B \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_{j}(u_{n})|^{p} \zeta^{p} + C_{B} (\sqrt{\varepsilon_{n}})^{p'} \int_{A_{n,j}} \zeta^{p} \\ &+ C_{1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^{p} |G_{j}(u_{n})|^{p} + C_{2} \int_{A_{n,j}} \zeta^{p} \\ &+ B \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_{j}(u_{n})|^{p} \zeta^{p} + C_{B} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^{p} |G_{j}(u_{n})|^{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$(\alpha - 2B) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla G_j(u_n)|^p \zeta^p \le (C_1 + C_B) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \zeta|^p |G_j(u_n)|^p + (C_2 + C_B(\sqrt{\varepsilon_n})^{p'}) \int_{A_{n,j}} \zeta^p.$$

This estimate implies (see [13, 15]) that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in the De Giorgi class $\mathcal{B}_2(\Omega, M)$; that is the functions u_n are equi-Hölder continuous in Ω and we proved the statement (2.11).

REMARK 2.4. In [3] we presented a different method to prove the compactness in $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ of minimizing sequences proved in (2.10).

REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. AUBIN I. EKELAND: Applied nonlinear analysis, Wiley-Sons, New York, 1984.
- [2] L. BOCCARDO D. ARCOYA: Regularizing effect of the interplay between coefficients in some elliptic equations, J. Funct. Anal., 268 (2015), 1153–1166.
- [3] L. BOCCARDO T. GALLOUET: Compactness of minimizing sequences; Nonlinear Anal., 137 (2016), 213–221.
- [4] L. BOCCARDO D. GIACHETTI: A nonlinear interpolation result with application to the summability of minima of some integral functionals, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, Series B, 11 (2009), 31–42.
- [5] L. BOCCARDO V. FERONE N. FUSCO L. ORSINA: Regularity of minimizing sequences for functionals of the calculus of variations via the Ekeland principle, Differential Integral Equations, 12 (1999), 119–135.
- [6] L. BOCCARDO F. MURAT J.-P. PUEL: Existence of bounded solutions for nonlinear elliptic unilateral problems, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 152 (1988), 183–196.

- [7] L. BOCCARDO L. ORSINA: A consequence of Djairo's Lectures on the Ekeland variational principle, In: "Contributions to Nonlinear Elliptic Equations and Systems: A tribute to to Djairo Guedes de Figueiredo on the occasion of his 80th birthday", Progress in Nonlinear vol. 86, 2015.
- [8] I. EKELAND: Nonconvex minimization problems, Bull. Am. Math. Soc., 1 (1979), 443–474.
- [9] I. EKELAND: On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl, 47 (1974) 324–353.
- [10] I. EKELAND: Convexity methods in Hamiltonian mechanics, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [11] I. EKELAND: On the variational principle, lecture at Prague Charles University, October, 2014.
- [12] I. EKELAND R. TEMAM: Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnels, Dunod & Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1974.
- [13] E. GIUSTI: Metodi diretti nel calcolo delle variazioni, Unione Matematica Italiana, Bologna, 1994.
- [14] P. HARTMAN G. STAMPACCHIA: On some nonlinear elliptic differential-functional equations, Acta Math., 115 (1966) 271–310.
- [15] O. LADYZENSKAYA N. URALT'SEVA: Linear and quasilinear elliptic equations; Translated by Scripta Technica Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [16] J. LERAY J. L. LIONS: Quelques résultats de Visik sur les problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod & Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1969.
- [17] M. SQUASSINA: On Ekeland's variational principle, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl., 10 (2011) 191–195.
- [18] M. SQUASSINA: On a result by Boccardo-Ferone-Fusco-Orsina, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, 22 (2011), 505–511.
- [19] G. STAMPACCHIA: Le problème de Dirichlet pour les équations elliptiques du second ordre à coefficients discontinu, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 15 (1965), 189–258.
- [20] C. A. STUART: Locating Cerami sequences in a mountain pass geometry, Commun. Appl. Anal., 15 (2011), 569–588.

Lavoro pervenuto alla redazione il 15 marzo 2016 ed accettato per la pubblicazione il 12 aprile 2016

Lucio Boccardo – Dipartimento di Matematica – "Sapienza" Università di Roma – P.
le A. Moro5-00185Roma, Italy

E-mail: boccardo@mat.uniroma1.it