

The local weak solution of the wave equation

Giai Giang Vo* and Toai Quang Ton

Abstract. *This article deals with the local existence of weak solutions for a wave equation with a nonlinear integral equation at the boundary.*

1 Introduction

In this paper, we investigate the following one-dimensional wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \mu(t, \|u(t)\|^2, \|u_t(t)\|^2)u_t = f(x, t, u, \|u(t)\|^2, \|u_t(t)\|^2), \quad (1.1)$$

associated with mixed boundary conditions

$$u(1, t) = 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u_x(0, t) = g(t) + h(u(\xi_1, t)) + \int_0^t k(t - s, u(\xi_1, s), \dots, u(\xi_N, s))ds, \quad (1.3)$$

and initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad (x, t) \in (0, 1) \times (0, T), \quad (1.4)$$

where $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_N$ are constants such that $0 = \xi_1 < \xi_2 < \dots < \xi_N < 1$ and $f, g, h, k, \mu, u_0, u_1$ are given functions satisfying conditions specified later. See below for some typical works.

J. Pöschel [12] showed the existence of quasi-periodic solutions for the nonlinear wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = \sum_{j=1}^n K_j u^{2j-1}, \quad (1.5)$$

on the finite x -interval $[0, \pi]$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = u(\pi, t) = 0, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.6)$$

where $K_1 < 0, K_2 \neq 0, K_3, \dots, K_n$ are constants.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35C20, 35L20, 35L70, 35Q72.

Keywords: Wave equation, Energy-type estimates, Compactness, Local solution.

*Corresponding author.

In [6], M. Li considered the existence and uniqueness of weak solutions for the Emden-Fowler type wave equation

$$t^2 u_{tt} - u_{xx} = |u|^{p-2} u, \quad (1.7)$$

subject to zero boundary values and initial values

$$u(x, 1) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 1) = u_1(x), \quad (x, t) \in (a, b) \times (1, T), \quad (1.8)$$

where $p > 2$ is a constant and u_0, u_1 are given functions.

F. Ficken and B. Fleishman [4] established the global existence and stability of solutions for the following wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \mu u_t = Ku + hu^3 + l, \quad (1.9)$$

where K, h, l, μ are given constants.

M. Santos [15] considered the decay rate of the solutions of the following problem

$$u_{tt} - \rho(t)u_{xx} = 0, \quad (1.10)$$

$$u(0, t) = 0, \quad (1.11)$$

$$u(1, t) + \int_0^t k(t-s)\rho(s)u_x(1, s)ds = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad (1.13)$$

with k, ρ, u_0, u_1 are given functions. Also, we see that the boundary (1.12) is equivalent to

$$-\rho(t)u_x(1, t) = g(t) + hu(1, t) + lu_t(1, t) + \int_0^t \widehat{k}(t-s)u(1, s)ds, \quad (1.14)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} g(t) = -\frac{u_0(1)}{k(0)}\widehat{k}(t), & h = \frac{\widehat{k}(0)}{k(0)}, & l = \frac{1}{k(0)}, \\ k(0)\widehat{k}(t) + \int_0^t k'(t-s)\widehat{k}(s)ds = k'(t). \end{cases} \quad (1.15)$$

Moreover, authors in [8] constructed the asymptotic formula for the solutions of the following wave equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} + \mu u_t = Ku + f(x, t), \quad (1.16)$$

$$u(1, t) = 0, \quad (1.17)$$

$$u_x(0, t) = g(t) + hu(0, t) + lu_t(0, t) + \int_0^t k(t-s)u(0, s)ds, \quad (1.18)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) = u_1(x), \quad (1.19)$$

in which K, h, l, μ are constants and f, g, k, u_0, u_1 are given functions.

Our paper can be regarded as the relative extension and improvement of the corresponding results of [1, 3, 6 – 9, 11, 13, 15 – 22].

2 Preliminaries

Firstly, we denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively the scalar product and the norm in $L^2(0, 1)$.

Let $u(t)$, $u'(t) = u_t(t) = \dot{u}(t)$, $u''(t) = u_{tt}(t) = \ddot{u}(t)$, $u_x(t)$ and $u_{xx}(t)$ denote $u(x, t)$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x, t)$, $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}(x, t)$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x, t)$ and $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}(x, t)$ respectively.

Also, we define a closed subspace of the Sobolev space $H^1(0, 1)$ below

$$W = \{v \in H^1(0, 1) : v(1) = 0\}, \tag{2.1}$$

with the following scalar product and norm

$$\langle u, v \rangle_W = \langle u_x, v_x \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \|u\|_W = \|u_x\|. \tag{2.2}$$

Then the following lemmas are well-known.

Lemma 2.1. *The embedding $W \hookrightarrow C^0([0, 1])$ is compact and*

$$\|v\|_{C^0([0,1])} \leq \|v\|_W \leq \|v\|_{H^1(0,1)} \leq \sqrt{2}\|v\|_W, \quad \forall v \in W. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.2. *The embedding $H^1(0, 1) \hookrightarrow C^0([0, 1])$ is compact and*

$$\|v\|_{C^0([0,1])}^2 \leq \varepsilon \|v_x\|^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|v\|^2, \quad \forall v \in H^1(0, 1), \quad \varepsilon > 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Furthermore, we have the following results.

Lemma 2.3 (see [18]). *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_j = (2j - 1)\frac{\pi}{2}$, $j = \overline{1, k}$. Then*

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sin \mu_j x}{\mu_j} \right| \leq 1 + \frac{4}{\pi}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.5}$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $(a, b, c_j, k) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{N}$ and $\mu_j = (2j - 1)\frac{\pi}{2}$, $j = \overline{1, k}$. Then*

$$\int_a^b \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \sin(\mu_j s) \right)^2 ds \leq 2(|a| + |b| + 2) \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \sin(\mu_j s) \right)^2 ds, \tag{2.6}$$

$$\int_a^b \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \cos(\mu_j s) \right)^2 ds \leq 2(|a| + |b| + 2) \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{j=1}^k c_j \cos(\mu_j s) \right)^2 ds. \tag{2.7}$$

The proof of this lemma is not difficult, so we omit the details.

Lemma 2.5 (Integral inequality of Gronwall type [10]). *Suppose that $\alpha \in C^1([0, T])$ and $\beta, v \in C^0([0, T])$, β is a nonnegative function. Moreover, if*

$$v(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \int_0^t \beta(s)v(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \tag{2.8}$$

then

$$v(t) \leq \alpha(0) \exp\left(\int_0^t \beta(s)ds\right) + \int_0^t \exp\left(\int_s^t \beta(\tau)d\tau\right) \alpha'(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \tag{2.9}$$

3 Local weak solution

Now we make the following assumptions:

(A₁) $(u_0, u_1) \in (W \cap H^2(0, 1)) \times W,$

(A₂) $\mu \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^3), f \in C^2([0, 1] \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_+^2),$

(A₃) $g \in W^{2,1}(\mathbb{R}_+), h \in C^2(\mathbb{R}), k \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N),$

(A₄) f, g, h, u_0 satisfy the following compatibility conditions:

$$f(\cdot, 0, u_0, \|u_0\|^2, \|u_1\|^2) = u_{0x}(0) = g(0) + h(u_0(0)) = 0.$$

Let $T_* > 0$ be a fixed constant, for each $\rho > 0, T \in (0, T_*]$, we put

$$\begin{cases} c(\rho, f) = \|f\|_{C^2([0,1] \times [0,T_*] \times [-\rho,\rho] \times [0,\rho^2]^2)}, \\ c(g) = \|g\|_{W^{2,1}([0,T_*])}, \quad c(\rho, h) = \|h\|_{C^2([-\rho,\rho])}, \\ c(\rho, k) = \|k\|_{C^2([0,T_*] \times [-\rho,\rho]^N)}, \quad c(\rho, \mu) = \|\mu\|_{C^1([0,T_*] \times [0,\rho^2]^2)}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} W(\rho, T) = \{v \in L^\infty(0, T; W) : v_t \in L^\infty(0, T; W), \\ v_{tt} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)), v(\xi_i, \cdot) \in H^2(0, T), \text{ with } \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W)}, \\ \|v_t\|_{L^\infty(0,T;W)}, \|v_{tt}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2(0,1))}, \|v(\xi_i, \cdot)\|_{H^2(0,T)} \leq \rho, i = \overline{1, N}\}, \\ W_*(\rho, T) = \{v \in W(\rho, T) : v \in L^\infty(0, T; W \cap H^2(0, 1))\}. \end{cases}$$

Then we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (A₁)-(A₄) hold. Then there exist constants $\rho, T > 0$ and a recurrent sequence $\{v_m\} \subset W_*(\rho, T)$ ($v_0 = u_0$) satisfying the following variational problem*

$$\begin{cases} \langle v_m''(t), \varphi \rangle + \langle v_{mx}(t), \varphi_x \rangle + w_m(t)\varphi(0) \\ + \mu_m(t) \langle v_m'(t), \varphi \rangle = \langle f_m(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in W, \\ v_m(0) = u_0, \quad v_m'(0) = u_1, \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mu_m(t) = \mu(t, \|v_{m-1}(t)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(t)\|^2), \\ f_m(x, t) = f(x, t, v_{m-1}(t), \|v_{m-1}(t)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(t)\|^2), \\ w_m(t) = g(t) + h(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t)) + \int_0^t k(t-s, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, s), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, s))ds. \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The proof is based on the strong induction and Galerkin method. Indeed, it is not difficult to see that Theorem 3.1 holds for $m = 1$.

Suppose that it holds for $2, \dots, m - 1$ ($m \geq 2$). Now we show below that the theorem also holds for m .

Step 1. Galerkin approximation. We use an orthonormal basis of W as follows

$$\varphi_j(x) = \sqrt{2/(1 + \mu_j^2)} \cos(\mu_j x), \quad \mu_j = (2j - 1)\frac{\pi}{2}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.3)$$

Put $v_m^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_{mj}^{(k)}(t)\varphi_j$, where the functions $\omega_{mj}^{(k)}(t)$ satisfy the following system of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(t), \varphi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle v_{m,x}^{(k)}(t), \varphi_{jx} \right\rangle + w_m(t)\varphi_j(0) \\ + \mu_m(t) \left\langle \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(t), \varphi_j \right\rangle = \langle f_m(\cdot, t), \varphi_j \rangle, \quad j = \overline{1, k}, \\ v_m^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{mj}^{(k)}\varphi_j = u_0, \quad \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0) = \sum_{j=1}^k b_{mj}^{(k)}\varphi_j = u_1. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Consequently

$$\begin{cases} \ddot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(t) + \mu_j^2 \omega_{mj}^{(k)}(t) = -\mu_m(t)\dot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(t) + \frac{1}{\|\varphi_j\|^2} [\langle f_m(\cdot, t), \varphi_j \rangle - w_m(t)\varphi_j(0)], \\ \omega_{mj}^{(k)}(0) = a_{mj}^{(k)}, \quad \dot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(0) = b_{mj}^{(k)}, \quad j = \overline{1, k}. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Put $\rho_j(t) = \sin(\mu_j t)/\mu_j$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{mj}^{(k)}(t) &= a_{mj}^{(k)}\dot{\rho}_j(t) + b_{mj}^{(k)}\rho_j(t) - \int_0^t \rho_j(t-s)\mu_m(s)\dot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(s)ds \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\varphi_j(0)} \int_0^t ds \int_0^s \rho_j(t-s)k(s-\tau, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, \tau), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, \tau))d\tau \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{\varphi_j^2(0)} \int_0^t \rho_j(t-s) \langle f_m(\cdot, s), \varphi_j \rangle ds \\ &\quad - \frac{2}{\varphi_j(0)} \int_0^t \rho_j(t-s)[h(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, s)) + g(s)]ds, \quad j = \overline{1, k}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

By the Banach fixed-point theorem, we easily deduce from the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 that the system (3.6) has a unique solution $(\omega_{m1}^{(k)}(t), \dots, \omega_{mk}^{(k)}(t))$ on the interval $[0, T]$ (see [2]).

Step 2. A priori estimates. This step includes two stages below.

Stage 1. A priori estimates 1. On account of (3.6), thus $v_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, t)$ can be rewritten as follows

$$v_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, t) = g_{mi}^{(k)}(t) - 2 \int_0^t k_i^{(k)}(t-s)w_m(s)ds, \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} g_{mi}^{(k)}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(\xi_i) [a_{mj}^{(k)} \dot{\rho}_j(t) + b_{mj}^{(k)} \rho_j(t)] \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(\xi_i) \int_0^t \rho_j(t-s) \mu_m(s) \dot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(s) ds \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\cos(\mu_j \xi_i)}{\varphi_j(0)} \int_0^t \rho_j(t-s) \langle f_m(\cdot, s), \varphi_j \rangle ds, \\ k_i^{(k)}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^k \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \rho_j(t), \quad i = \overline{1, N}. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.8}$$

Put $S_{1m}^{(k)}(t) = \left\| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| v_{mx}^{(k)}(t) \right\|^2$, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \dot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds \leq T c_*(\rho, f) + c_*(u) + c_*(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s) ds, \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_*(\rho, \mu) = 6NT_*(T_* + 4)c^2(\rho, \mu), \\ c_*(u) = 12N(T_* + 4)(\|u_{0x}\|^2 + \|u_1\|^2), \\ c_*(\rho, f) = 38NT_*(4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)^2c^2(\rho, f). \end{cases} \tag{3.10}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. We define

$$\dot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) = a_{mi}^{(k)}(t) + b_{mi}^{(k)}(t) + c_{mi}^{(k)}(t), \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{mi}^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) [b_{mj}^{(k)} \cos(\mu_j t) - \mu_j a_{mj}^{(k)} \sin(\mu_j t)], \\ b_{mi}^{(k)}(t) = - \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \int_0^t \cos(\mu_j(t-s)) \mu_m(s) \dot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(s) ds, \\ c_{mi}^{(k)}(t) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\cos(\mu_j \xi_i)}{\varphi_j(0)} \int_0^t \frac{\sin(\mu_j(t-s))}{\mu_j} \langle f'_m(\cdot, s), \varphi_j \rangle ds, \quad i = \overline{1, N}. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^t \left| \dot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds \leq 3 \sum_{I \in \{a, b, c\}} \int_0^t \left| I_{mi}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds = \sum_{j=1}^3 I_j(t). \tag{3.13}$$

We will estimate each term on the right-hand side of this inequality.
First integral. We remark that

$$\begin{cases} 2 \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \cos(\mu_j t) = \cos(\mu_j(t + \xi_i)) + \cos(\mu_j(t - \xi_i)), \\ 2 \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \sin(\mu_j t) = \sin(\mu_j(t + \xi_i)) + \sin(\mu_j(t - \xi_i)). \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

Hence it follows from (3.14) and Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(t) &\leq \frac{3}{2} \int_{\xi_i}^{t+\xi_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) (b_{m_j}^{(k)} \cos(\mu_j s) - \mu_j a_{m_j}^{(k)} \sin(\mu_j s)) \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{2} \int_{-\xi_i}^{t-\xi_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) (b_{m_j}^{(k)} \cos(\mu_j s) - \mu_j a_{m_j}^{(k)} \sin(\mu_j s)) \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 6(T_* + 2\xi_i + 2) \|u_{0x} - u_1\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

Second integral. From (3.12)₂ and the assumption (A₂), which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{m_i}^{(k)}(t)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} T c^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j(t - s + \xi_i)) \dot{\omega}_{m_j}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} T c^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j(t - s - \xi_i)) \dot{\omega}_{m_j}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Using the Fubini theorem and Lemma 2.4, it follows from (3.16) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_2(t) &\leq \frac{3}{2}Tc^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \int_\tau^t \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j(s - \tau + \xi_i)) \dot{\omega}_{m_j}^{(k)}(\tau) \right|^2 ds d\tau \\
 &+ \frac{3}{2}Tc^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \int_\tau^t \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j(s - \tau - \xi_i)) \dot{\omega}_{m_j}^{(k)}(\tau) \right|^2 ds d\tau \\
 &= \frac{3}{2}Tc^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \left(\int_{\xi_i}^{t-\tau+\xi_i} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j z) \dot{\omega}_{m_j}^{(k)}(\tau) \right|^2 dz \right) d\tau \\
 &+ \frac{3}{2}Tc^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \left(\int_{-\xi_i}^{t-\tau-\xi_i} \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j z) \dot{\omega}_{m_j}^{(k)}(\tau) \right|^2 dz \right) d\tau \\
 &\leq 6T(T + 2\xi_i + 2)c^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \left\| \dot{\psi}_m^{(k)}(\tau) \right\|^2 d\tau \\
 &\leq 6T_*(T_* + 2\xi_i + 2)c^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Third integral. Thanks to (3.12)₃ and Lemma 2.3, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |c_{mi}^{(k)}(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sin(\mu_j(t - s + \xi_i + x))}{\mu_j} \right| |f'_m(x, s)| dx ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sin(\mu_j(t - s + \xi_i - x))}{\mu_j} \right| |f'_m(x, s)| dx ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sin(\mu_j(t - s - \xi_i + x))}{\mu_j} \right| |f'_m(x, s)| dx ds \\
 &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\sin(\mu_j(t - s - \xi_i - x))}{\mu_j} \right| |f'_m(x, s)| dx ds \\
 &\leq 2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) \int_0^t \|f'_m(\cdot, s)\|_{L^1(0,1)} ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

In addition

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f'_m(x, s)| &\leq \left| D_2 f(x, s, v_{m-1}(s), \|v_{m-1}(s)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| \\
 &\quad + \left| D_3 f(x, t, v_{m-1}(s), \|v_{m-1}(s)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| |v'_{m-1}(s)| \\
 &\quad + 2 \left| D_4 f(x, t, v_{m-1}(s), \|v_{m-1}(s)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| \|v'_{m-1}(s)\| \|v_{m-1}(s)\| \\
 &\quad + 2 \left| D_5 f(x, t, v_{m-1}(s), \|v_{m-1}(s)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| \|v''_{m-1}(s)\| \|v'_{m-1}(s)\| \\
 &\leq (4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)c(\rho, f).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

From (3.18), (3.19), we arrive at

$$I_3(t) = 3 \int_0^t |c_{mi}^{(k)}(s)|^2 ds \leq 6 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^2 T^2 (4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)^2 c^2(\rho, f). \tag{3.20}$$

From (3.13), (3.15), (3.17) and (3.20), we obtain Lemma 3.2.

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2. □

Remark 3.3. Lemma 8 in [18] is a special case of Lemma 3.2, with $\mu = 0$, $f(x, t, u, v, w) = f_1(x, u)$, $k(t, v_1, \dots, v_N) = k_1(t)k_2(v_1, \dots, v_N)$, where f_1, k_1, k_2 are given functions.

With the help of Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following lemma.

Lemma 3.4. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 ds \leq T c_{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k) + c_{**}(u) + c_{**}(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s) ds, \tag{3.21}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c_{**}(\rho, \mu) = 12NT_*(T_* + 4)c^2(\rho, \mu) \\ c_{**}(u) = 24N(T_* + 4)(\|u_{0x}\|^2 + \|u_1\|^2), \\ c_{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k) = 75N(2\rho + 1)^4(T_* + 1)^4 [c(g) + \sum_{j \in \{f, h, k\}} c(\rho, j)]^2. \end{cases} \tag{3.22}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.4. By (3.2)₃, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 |w'_m(t)| &\leq |g'(t)| + |h'(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t))| |v'_{m-1}(\xi_1, t)| \\
 &\quad + |k(0, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, t))| \\
 &\quad + \int_0^t |D_1 k(t - s, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, s), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, s))| ds \\
 &\leq |g'(t)| + \rho c(\rho, h) + (T_* + 1)c(\rho, k).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Using (3.7), (3.23) and Lemma 2.3, which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, t) \right| &= \left| \dot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) - 2k_i^{(k)}(t)v_m(0) - 2 \int_0^t k_i^{(k)}(t-s)w'_m(s)ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \dot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) \right| + 2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) \int_0^t |w'_m(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left| \dot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) \right| + 5[c(g) + T_*\rho c(\rho, h) + T_*(T_* + 1)c(\rho, k)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Combining (3.24) and Lemma 3.2, we get Lemma 3.4. □

Next, we replace φ_j in (3.4)₁ by $\dot{v}_m^{(k)}(t)$. Then integrating from 0 to t , we get after some calculations

$$\begin{aligned} S_{1m}^{(k)}(t) &\leq S_{1m}^{(k)}(0) + Tc^2(\rho, f) + [2c(\rho, \mu) + 1] \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s)ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t [g(s) + h(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, s))] \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s)ds \\ &\quad - 2 \int_0^t \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s)ds \int_0^s k(s-\tau, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, \tau), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, \tau))d\tau \\ &= \|u_1\|^2 + \|u_{0x}\|^2 + Tc^2(\rho, f) \\ &\quad + [2c(\rho, \mu) + 1] \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s)ds + I(t) + J(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.4, we obtain the estimates for $I(t)$ and $J(t)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= -2 \int_0^t [g(s) + h(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, s))] \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s)ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s) \right|^2 ds + 2c^2(g) + 2Tc^2(\rho, h) \\ &\leq 2Tc_{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k) + 2c^2(g) + c_{**}(u) + c_{**}(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s)ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J(t) &= -2 \int_0^t \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s)ds \int_0^s k(s-\tau, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, \tau), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, \tau))d\tau \\ &\leq \int_0^t \left| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s) \right|^2 ds + T^3c^2(\rho, k) \\ &\leq T[c_{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k) + T^{*2}c^2(\rho, k)] + c_{**}(u) + c_{**}(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s)ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Combining (3.25)-(3.27) shows that

$$S_{1m}^{(k)}(t) \leq Td_*(\rho, f, g, h, k) + d_*(u) + d_*(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{1m}^{(k)}(s)ds, \tag{3.28}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d_*(\rho, \mu) = 24NT_*(T_* + 4)c^2(\rho, \mu) + 2c(\rho, \mu) + 2, \\ d_*(u) = [24N(T_* + 4) + 1](\|u_{0x}\|^2 + \|u_1\|^2) + 2c^2(g), \\ d_*(\rho, f, g, h, k) = 150N(2\rho + T_* + 2)^4[c(g) + \sum_{j \in \{f, h, k\}} c(\rho, j) + 1]^2. \end{cases} \quad (3.29)$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain

$$\begin{cases} S_{1m}^{(k)}(t) \leq d_*(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu), \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 ds \leq c_{**}(u) + d_{**}(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu), \end{cases} \quad (3.30)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d_*(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) = [Td_*(\rho, f, g, h, k) + d_*(u)] \exp(Td_*(\rho, \mu)), \\ d_{**}(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) = Tc_{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k) + Tc_{**}(\rho, \mu)d_*(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu). \end{cases} \quad (3.31)$$

Stage 2. *A priori estimates 2.* Put $S_{2m}^{(k)}(t) = \left\| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(t) \right\|^2 + \left\| \dot{v}_{m,x}^{(k)}(t) \right\|^2$. We need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \ddot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds \leq Tc^*(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) + c^*(u) + c^*(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds, \quad (3.32)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c^*(u) = 8N(T_* + 4)\|u_{0xx} + u_{1x} - \mu_*u_1\|^2, \\ c^*(\rho, \mu) = 16NT_*(T_* + 4)(4\rho^2 + 1)^2c^2(\rho, \mu), \\ c^*(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) = 200Nc^2(\rho, f)(2\rho + 1)^8(T_* + T_*^{-1})^2 \\ \quad \times [c(g) + \sum_{j \in \{f, h, k, \mu\}} c(\rho, j) + 1]^2. \end{cases} \quad (3.33)$$

Proof of Lemma 3.5. On account of (3.11), we deduce that

$$\ddot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) = \alpha_{mi}^{(k)}(t) + \beta_{mi}^{(k)}(t) + \gamma_{mi}^{(k)}(t) + \delta_{mi}^{(k)}(t), \quad i = \overline{1, N}, \quad (3.34)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} \alpha_{mi}^{(k)}(t) &= - \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \mu_j b_{mj}^{(k)} \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \sin(\mu_j t) \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) [\mu_j^2 a_{mj}^{(k)} + \mu_m(0) b_{mj}^{(k)}] \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \cos(\mu_j t), \\ \beta_{mi}^{(k)}(t) &= - \sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) \cos(\mu_j \xi_i) \int_0^t \cos(\mu_j(t-s)) (\mu'_m \dot{w}_{mj}^{(k)} + \mu_m \ddot{w}_{mj}^{(k)})(s) ds, \\ \gamma_{mi}^{(k)}(t) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\cos(\mu_j \xi_i)}{\varphi_j(0)} \frac{\sin(\mu_j t)}{\mu_j} \langle f'_m(\cdot, 0), \varphi_j \rangle, \\ \delta_{mi}^{(k)}(t) &= 2 \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{\cos(\mu_j \xi_i)}{\varphi_j(0)} \int_0^t \frac{\sin(\mu_j(t-s))}{\mu_j} \langle f''_m(\cdot, s), \varphi_j \rangle ds. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{3.35}$$

By the inequality $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \delta)^2 \leq 4(\alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 + \delta^2)$, $\forall \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{R}$, we get

$$\int_0^t \left| \check{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds \leq 4 \sum_{J \in \{\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta\}} \int_0^t \left| J_{mi}^{(k)}(s) \right|^2 ds = \sum_{j=1}^4 J_j(t). \tag{3.36}$$

Put $\mu_* = \mu_m(0) = \mu(0, \|u_0\|^2, \|u_1\|^2)$. Similarly as in Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_1(t) &\leq 2 \int_{\xi_i}^{t+\xi_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) (\mu_j b_{mj}^{(k)} \sin(\mu_j s) + (\mu_j^2 a_{mj}^{(k)} + \mu_* b_{mj}^{(k)}) \cos(\mu_j s)) \right)^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{-\xi_i}^{t-\xi_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^k \varphi_j(0) (\mu_j b_{mj}^{(k)} \sin(\mu_j s) + (\mu_j^2 a_{mj}^{(k)} + \mu_* b_{mj}^{(k)}) \cos(\mu_j s)) \right)^2 ds \\ &\leq 8(T_* + 2\xi_N + 2) \|u_{0xx} + u_{1x} - \mu_* u_1\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(t) &\leq 16T_*(T_* + 2\xi_N + 2) \|\mu'_m\|_{C^0([0, T_*])}^2 \int_0^t \left\| \check{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \right\|^2 ds \\ &\quad + 16T_*(T_* + 2\xi_N + 2) \|\mu_m\|_{C^0([0, T_*])}^2 \int_0^t \left\| \check{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \right\|^2 ds, \end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

$$J_3(t) \leq 16T \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^2 \|f'_m(\cdot, 0)\|_{L^1(0,1)}^2 \leq 16T \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^2 (4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)^2 c^2(\rho, f), \tag{3.39}$$

$$J_4(t) \leq 16 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi}\right)^2 \int_0^t \left(\int_0^s \|f''_m(\cdot, \tau)\|_{L^1(0,1)} d\tau \right)^2 ds. \tag{3.40}$$

Furthermore, we remark that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\mu'_m(t)| &\leq \left| D_1\mu(t, \|v_{m-1}(t)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(t)\|^2) \right| \\
 &\quad + 2 \left| D_2\mu(t, \|v_{m-1}(s)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| \|v'_{m-1}(s)\| \|v_{m-1}(s)\| \\
 &\quad + 2 \left| D_3\mu(t, \|v_{m-1}(s)\|^2, \|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2) \right| \|v''_{m-1}(s)\| \|v'_{m-1}(s)\| \\
 &\leq (4\rho^2 + 1)c(\rho, \mu),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

Using Lemma 2.1, we obtain from (3.38) and (3.41) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_2(t) &\leq 16T_*(T_* + 2\xi_N + 2)(4\rho^2 + 1)^2c^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \left(\|\ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(s)\|^2 + \|\dot{v}_m^{(k)}(s)\|^2 \right) ds \\
 &\leq 16T_*(T_* + 2\xi_N + 2)(4\rho^2 + 1)^2c^2(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.42}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f''_m(x, t)| &\leq \left[(1 + |v'_{m-1}(t)|) + 2|\langle v'_{m-1}(t), v_{m-1}(t) \rangle| + 2|\langle v''_{m-1}(t), v'_{m-1}(t) \rangle| \right]^2 \\
 &\quad + |v''_{m-1}(t)| + 2\|v'_{m-1}(s)\|^2 + 2\langle v''_{m-1}(t), v_{m-1}(t) \rangle \\
 &\quad + 2\|v''_{m-1}(s)\|^2 + 2|\langle v'''_{m-1}(t), v'_{m-1}(t) \rangle| \Big] c(\rho, f) \\
 &\leq c(\rho, f) \left[2|\langle v'''_{m-1}(t), v'_{m-1}(t) \rangle| + |v''_{m-1}(t)| + (4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)^2 + 6\rho^2 \right].
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.43}$$

Also, we differentiate the equations (3.1)₁ (for $m - 1$) with respect to t , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle v'''_{m-1}(t), \varphi \rangle + \langle \nabla v'_{m-1}(t), \varphi_x \rangle + w'_{m-1}(t)\varphi(0) + \mu'_{m-1}(t) \langle v'_{m-1}(t), \varphi \rangle \\
 + \mu_{m-1}(t) \langle v''_{m-1}(t), \varphi \rangle = \langle f'_{m-1}(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in W.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

Take $\varphi = v'_{m-1}(t)$ in (3.44), then from (3.19), (3.23), (3.41) and Lemma 2.1, it is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\langle v'''_{m-1}(t), v'_{m-1}(t) \rangle| &\leq \|\nabla v'_{m-1}(t)\|^2 + |w'_{m-1}(t)| |v'_{m-1}(0, t)| \\
 &\quad + |\mu'_{m-1}(t)| \|v'_{m-1}(t)\|^2 + |\mu_{m-1}(t)| |\langle v''_{m-1}(t), v'_{m-1}(t) \rangle| \\
 &\quad + |\langle f'_{m-1}(\cdot, t), v'_{m-1}(t) \rangle| \\
 &\leq \rho(|g'(t)| + \rho c(\rho, h) + (T_* + 1)(\rho, k)) \\
 &\quad + \rho(4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)c(\rho, f) + \rho^2(4\rho^2 + 2)c(\rho, \mu) + \rho^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.45}$$

Hence it follows from (3.40), (3.43) and (3.45) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_4(t) &\leq 100TT_*^2c^2(\rho, f)[2\rho(4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)c(\rho, f) \\
 &\quad + 2\rho(T_*^{-1}c(g) + \rho c(\rho, h) + (T_* + 1)(\rho, k)) \\
 &\quad + 2\rho^2(4\rho^2 + 2)c(\rho, \mu) + (4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)^2 + 8\rho^2 + \rho]^2.
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

Combining (3.36), (3.37), (3.39), (3.42) and (3.46), we get Lemma 3.5. □

Next Lemma 3.5, we have the following result.

Lemma 3.6. *We have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 ds \leq Tc^{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) + c^{**}(u) + c^{**}(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds, \tag{3.47}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} c^{**}(u) = 16N(T_* + 4)\|u_{0xx} + u_{1x} - \mu_* u_1\|^2, \\ c^{**}(\rho, \mu) = 32NT_*(T_* + 4)(4\rho^2 + 1)^2 c^2(\rho, \mu), \\ c^{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) = 50N(2\rho + 1)^4(T_* + 1)^4 [2c(g) + \sum_{j \in \{h, k\}} c(\rho, j)]^2 \\ + 800Nc^2(\rho, f)(2\rho + 1)^8(T_* + T_*^{-1})^2 [c(g) + 2 \sum_{j \in \{h, k, \mu\}} c(\rho, j) + 2]^2. \end{cases} \tag{3.48}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Using Lemma 2.1, we get from (3.2)₃ that

$$\begin{aligned} |w_m''(t)| &= \left| g''(t) + h''(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t)) |v'_{m-1}(\xi_1, t)|^2 \right. \\ &\quad + h'(v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t)) v''_{m-1}(\xi_1, t) + D_1 k(0, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, t)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^N D_{i+1} k(0, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, t), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, t)) v'_{m-1}(\xi_i, t) \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^t D_1^2 k(t-s, v_{m-1}(\xi_1, s), \dots, v_{m-1}(\xi_N, s)) ds \right| \\ &\leq |g''(t)| + c(\rho, h)(|v''_{m-1}(\xi_1, t)| + \rho^2) + (\rho + T_* + 1)c(\rho, k). \end{aligned} \tag{3.49}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t |w_m''(s)| ds &\leq \|g''\|_{L^1(0, T_*)} + T_*(\rho + T_* + 1)c(\rho, k) \\ &\quad + c(\rho, h)(\sqrt{T_*} \|v''_{m-1}(\xi_1, \cdot)\|_{L^2(0, T_*)} + \rho^2 T) \\ &\leq c(g) + \rho\sqrt{T_*}(\rho\sqrt{T_*} + 1)c(\rho, h) + T_*(\rho + T_* + 1)c(\rho, k). \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

By Lemma 2.3 and the assumption (A₄), we deduce from (3.7) and (3.50) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, t) \right| &= \left| \ddot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) - 2k_i^{(k)}(t-s)w'_m(0) - 2 \int_0^t k_i^{(k)}(t-s)w''_m(s) ds \right| \\ &\leq \left| \ddot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) \right| + 2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) |w'_m(0)| + 2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{\pi} \right) \int_0^t |w''_m(s)| ds \\ &\leq \left| \ddot{g}_{mi}^{(k)}(t) \right| + 5\{2c(g) + (\rho + 1)^2(T_* + 1)^2 [c(\rho, h) + c(\rho, k)]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Thus, using (3.51) and Lemma 3.5, we have Lemma 3.6. □

Remark 3.7. Due to the proof of Lemma 3.6, we obtain the estimates for the sequences $\{u_{tt}^m(\xi_i, \cdot)\}$, $i = \overline{0, N}$ in the proof of Theorem 5 of [18] as follows:

$$\int_0^t |u_{tt}^m(\xi_i, s)|^2 ds \leq C_T, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad i = \overline{0, N}, \tag{3.52}$$

where C_T is a positive constant independent of m .

By Lemma 3.6, we can estimate the terms $S_{2m}^{(k)}(t)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 ds$. Indeed, we differentiate the equations (3.4)₁ with respect to t , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\langle \ddot{v}_{mt}^{(k)}(t), \varphi_j \right\rangle + \left\langle \dot{v}_{mx}^{(k)}(t), \varphi_{jx} \right\rangle + w'_m(t) \varphi_j(0) \\ & + \mu'_m(t) \left\langle \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(t), \varphi_j \right\rangle + \mu_m(t) \left\langle \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(t), \varphi_j \right\rangle = \left\langle f'_m(\cdot, t), \varphi_j \right\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.53}$$

We multiply the j^{th} equation of (3.53) by $\ddot{\omega}_{mj}^{(k)}(t)$, then summing up with respect to j and integrating with respect to the time variable from 0 to t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2m}^{(k)}(t) & \leq S_{2m}^{(k)}(0) + 2c(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds + 2 \int_0^t |\mu'_m(s)| \left| \left\langle \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(s), \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \right\rangle \right| ds \\ & + 2 \int_0^t \left\langle f'_m(\cdot, s), \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \right\rangle ds - 2 \int_0^t w'_m(s) \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s) ds \\ & = \|u_{1x}\|^2 + 2c(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds + \sum_{j=1}^4 K_j(t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.54}$$

Now we estimate the following terms in the right-hand side of (3.54) as follows.

Estimating $K_1(t) = \left\| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0) \right\|^2$. Using (3.4)₁ and the compatibility condition (A₄), then

$$\left\| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0) \right\|^2 = \left\langle u_{0xx} - \mu_m(0)u_1, \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0) \right\rangle \leq \|u_{0xx} - \mu_m(0)u_1\| \left\| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0) \right\|. \tag{3.55}$$

Consequently

$$K_1(t) \leq 2\|u_{0xx}\|^2 + 2\mu^2(0, \|u_0\|^2, \|u_1\|^2)\|u_1\|^2. \tag{3.56}$$

Estimating $K_2(t) = 2 \int_0^t |\mu'_m(s)| \left| \left\langle \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(s), \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \right\rangle \right| ds$. Thanks to Lemma 2.1 and (3.41), which yields that

$$K_2(t) \leq 2 \int_0^t |\mu'_m(s)| \left\| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \right\| \left\| \dot{v}_{mx}^{(k)}(s) \right\| ds \leq (4\rho^2 + 1)c(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds. \tag{3.57}$$

Estimating $K_3(t) = 2 \int_0^t \langle f'_m(\cdot, s), \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(s) \rangle ds$. We deduce from (3.19) that

$$K_3(t) \leq \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds + T(4\rho^2 + \rho + 1)^2 c^2(\rho, f). \quad (3.58)$$

Estimating $K_4(t) = -2 \int_0^t w'_m(s) \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s) ds$. From (3.23) and Lemma 3.5, then

$$\begin{aligned} K_4(t) &\leq \int_0^t |w'_m(s)|^2 ds + \int_0^t \left| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(0, s) \right|^2 ds \\ &\leq c^{**}(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds + c^{**}(u) + T\{c^{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) \\ &\quad + [(T_* + T_*^{-1})c(g) + \rho c(\rho, h) + (T_* + 1)c(\rho, k)]^2\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

Therefore, from (3.54) and (3.56)- (3.59), we arrive at

$$S_{2m}^{(k)}(t) \leq Td^*(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) + d^*(u) + d^*(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S_{2m}^{(k)}(s) ds, \quad (3.60)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d^*(u) = 16N(T_* + 4)\|u_{0xx} + u_{1x} - \mu_* u_1\|^2, \\ \quad + 2\|u_{0xx}\|^2 + \|u_{1x}\|^2 + 2\mu^2(0, \|u_0\|^2, \|u_1\|^2)\|u_1\|^2, \\ d^*(\rho, \mu) = 32NT_*(T_* + 4)(4\rho^2 + 1)^2[c(\rho, \mu) + 1]^2 + 1, \\ d^*(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) = 1600N[c^2(\rho, f) + 1](2\rho + 1)^8(T_* + 1)^4 \\ \quad \times (T_* + T_*^{-1})^2[c(g) + \sum_{j \in \{f, h, k, \mu\}} c(\rho, j) + 1]^2. \end{cases} \quad (3.61)$$

By Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 3.6, we obtain from (3.60) that

$$\begin{cases} S_{2m}^{(k)}(t) \leq d^*(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu), \\ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 ds \leq c^{**}(u) + d^{**}(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu). \end{cases} \quad (3.62)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} d^*(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) = [Td^*(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) + d^*(u)] \exp(Td^*(\rho, \mu)), \\ d^{**}(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) = Tc^{**}(\rho, f, g, h, k, \mu) + Tc^{**}(\rho, \mu)d^*(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu). \end{cases} \quad (3.63)$$

Choose $\rho^2 = (d^* + d_*)(u) + 1$. So, from (3.22), (3.29), (3.31), (3.48), (3.61), (3.63) and the assumption (A_2) , (A_3) , we have

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} (d^{**} + d_{**})(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) = 0, \\ \lim_{T \rightarrow 0^+} (d^* + d_*)(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) = (d^* + d_*)(u). \end{cases} \quad (3.64)$$

Consequently, there exists a positive constant $T \leq \min \{1, T_*\}$ such that

$$(d^* + d_* + d^{**} + d_{**})(\rho, T, f, g, h, k, \mu) \leq \rho^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.65)$$

Finally, we deduce from (3.30), (3.62) and (3.65) that

$$S_{1m}^{(k)}(t) + S_{2m}^{(k)}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^t \left(\left| \dot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 + \left| \ddot{v}_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, s) \right|^2 \right) ds \leq \rho^2, \quad (3.66)$$

$\forall t \in [0, T]$. Therefore $v_m^{(k)} \in W(\rho, T)$, $\forall k, m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Step 3. Limiting process. Thanks to (3.66), we can extract a subsequence of sequence $\{v_m^{(k)}\}$, still labeled by the same notation, such that

$$\begin{cases} v_m^{(k)} \rightarrow v_m & \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), \\ \dot{v}_m^{(k)} \rightarrow v'_m & \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), \\ \ddot{v}_m^{(k)} \rightarrow v''_m & \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)), \\ v_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, \cdot) \rightarrow v_m(\xi_i, \cdot) & \text{weakly in } H^2(0, T), \quad i = \overline{1, N}, \end{cases} \quad (3.67)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and $v_m \in W(\rho, T)$.

Using the compactness of the imbedding $H^2(0, T) \hookrightarrow C^1([0, T])$ and the lemma of J.L. Lions [5], then (3.67) leads to the existence of a subsequence still denoted by $\{v_m^{(k)}\}$, such that

$$\begin{cases} v_m^{(k)} \rightarrow v_m & \text{strongly in } L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)) \text{ and a.e. in } (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ \dot{v}_m^{(k)} \rightarrow v'_m & \text{strongly in } L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)) \text{ and a.e. in } (0, 1) \times (0, T), \\ v_m^{(k)}(\xi_i, \cdot) \rightarrow v_m(\xi_i, \cdot) & \text{strongly in } C^1([0, T]), \quad i = \overline{1, N}. \end{cases} \quad (3.68)$$

Passing to the limit in (3.4) by (3.67)_{1,3} and (3.68), we obtain that v_m satisfies the problem (3.1), (3.2) for m .

On the other hand, it is not difficult to show that

$$v_{mxx} = v''_m + \mu_m(t)v'_m - f_m(x, t) \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)). \quad (3.69)$$

Accordingly $v_m \in W_*(\rho, T)$. Theorem 3.1 is proved. \square

Remark 3.8. Theorem 3.1 gives no conclusion of the existence of a recurrent sequence $\{v_m\} \subset W_*(\rho, T)$ when the term u_t in the equation (1.1) is replaced by the nonlinear term $\varphi(u_t)$.

Applying Theorem 3.1, we obtain the existence and uniqueness theorem of weak solutions for the problem (1.1)-(1.4) below.

Theorem 3.9. *Let (A₁)-(A₄) hold. Then there exist positive constants ρ and T such that the problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique weak solution $v \in W_*(\rho, T)$.*

Remark 3.10. By Theorem 3.9, the problem (1.1)-(1.4) has a unique weak solution v satisfying

$$\begin{cases} v \in L^\infty(0, T; W \cap H^2(0, 1)) \cap C^0(0, T; W) \cap C^1(0, T; L^2(0, 1)), \\ v' \in L^\infty(0, T; W), v'' \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)), v(\xi_i, \cdot) \in H^2(0, T), i = \overline{1, N}. \end{cases}$$

In addition, we can see that the solution $v \in H^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H^2(0, 1))$. Thus the solution v is almost classical which is rather natural since the initial data $(u_0, u_1) \notin C^2([0, 1]) \times C^1([0, 1])$.

Proof of Theorem 3.9. We devide it into two steps.

Step 1. Existence of weak solutions. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, it is not difficult to show that there exists a sequence $\{v_m\}$ satisfying the problem (3.1), (3.2) and the following inequalities

$$\|v'_m(t)\|^2 + \|v_{mx}(t)\|^2 + \|v''_m(t)\|^2 + \|v'_{mx}(t)\|^2 \leq \rho^2, \tag{3.70}$$

$$\int_0^t \left(|v_m(\xi_i, s)|^2 + |v'_m(\xi_i, s)|^2 + |v''_m(\xi_i, s)|^2 \right) ds \leq \rho^2, \tag{3.71}$$

$\forall t \in [0, T], i = \overline{1, N}$, with the positive constants ρ and T satisfy (3.65).

Thus, we can extract from $\{v_m\}$ a subsequence, still denoted $\{v_m\}$, such that

$$\begin{cases} v_m \rightarrow v & \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; H^1(0, 1)), \\ v''_m \rightarrow v'' & \text{weakly* in } L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1)), \\ v_m \rightarrow v & \text{strongly in } L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)), \\ v'_m \rightarrow v' & \text{strongly in } L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)), \\ v_m(\xi_i, \cdot) \rightarrow v(\xi_i, \cdot) & \text{strongly in } C^1([0, T]), i = \overline{1, N}, \end{cases} \tag{3.72}$$

and $v \in W(\rho, T)$. Also, we note that

$$\begin{cases} \left| \mu_{m+1}(t) - \mu(t, \|v(t)\|^2, \|v'(t)\|^2) \right| \\ \leq 2\rho c(\rho, \mu) (\|(v_m - v)(t)\| + \|(v'_m - v')(t)\|), \\ \left| f_{m+1}(x, t) - f(x, t, v, \|v(t)\|^2, \|v'(t)\|^2) \right| \\ \leq (2\rho + 1)c(\rho, f) (\|(v_m - v)(t)\| + \|(v'_m - v')(t)\|), \\ |k(t, v_m(\xi_1, t), \dots, v_m(\xi_N, t)) \\ - k(t, v(\xi_1, t), \dots, v(\xi_N, t))| \leq c(\rho, k) \sum_{i=1}^N |(v_m - v)(\xi_i, t)|. \end{cases} \tag{3.73}$$

Hence it follows from (3.72)₃₋₅ and (3.73) that

$$\begin{cases} f_m(x, t) \rightarrow f(x, t, v, \|v(t)\|^2, \|v'(t)\|^2) & \text{strongly in } L^2((0, 1) \times (0, T)), \\ \mu_m(t) \rightarrow \mu(t, \|v(t)\|^2, \|v'(t)\|^2) & \text{strongly in } L^2(0, T), \\ w_m \rightarrow w & \text{strongly in } C^0([0, T]), \end{cases} \tag{3.74}$$

where

$$w(t) = g(t) + h(v(\xi_1, t)) + \int_0^t k(t-s, v(\xi_1, s), \dots, v(\xi_N, s)) ds. \tag{3.75}$$

Passing to the limit in (3.1)₁ by (3.72)_{1,2,4} and (3.74), we see that v satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \langle v''(t), \varphi \rangle + \langle v_x(t), \varphi_x \rangle + w(t)\varphi(0) + \mu(t, \|v(t)\|^2, \|v'(t)\|^2) \langle v', \varphi \rangle \\ = \langle f(\cdot, t, v, \|v(t)\|^2, \|v'(t)\|^2), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in W, \\ v(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad v'(x, 0) = u_1(x). \end{cases} \tag{3.76}$$

Similarly as in (3.69), we have $v_{xx} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2(0, 1))$. Thus $v \in W_*(\rho, T)$.

The existence of weak solutions is proved.

Step 2. Uniqueness of weak solutions. Let $v_1, v_2 \in W_*(\rho, T)$ be two weak solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.4). Then $v = v_1 - v_2$ is a weak solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \langle v''(t), \varphi \rangle + \langle v_x(t), \varphi_x \rangle + (w_1 - w_2)(t)\varphi(0) + \mu_1(t) \langle v'(s), \varphi \rangle \\ + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)(t) \langle v'_2(s), \varphi \rangle = \langle (f_1 - f_2)(\cdot, t), \varphi \rangle, \quad \forall \varphi \in W, \\ v(x, 0) = v'(x, 0) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{3.77}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mu_i(t) = \mu(t, \|v_i(t)\|^2, \|v'_i(t)\|^2), \\ f_i(x, t) = f(x, t, v_i(t), \|v_i(t)\|^2, \|v'_i(t)\|^2), \\ w_i(t) = h(v_i(\xi_1, t)) + \int_0^t k(t-s, v_i(\xi_1, s), \dots, v_i(\xi_N, s)) ds, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases} \tag{3.78}$$

Taking $\varphi = v'$ in (3.77)₁ and then integrating both sides of it from 0 to t , we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(t) &= -2 \int_0^t \langle \mu_1(s)v'(s) + (\mu_1 - \mu_2)(s)v'_2(s), v'(s) \rangle ds \\ &+ 2 \int_0^t \langle (f_1 - f_2)(\cdot, s), v'(s) \rangle ds - 2 \int_0^t v'(0, s) \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^{i-1} h(v_i(\xi_1, s)) ds \\ &- 2 \int_0^t v'(0, s) ds \int_0^s \sum_{i=1}^2 (-1)^{i-1} k(s-\tau, v_i(\xi_1, \tau), \dots, v_i(\xi_N, \tau)) d\tau \\ &= L_1(t) + L_2(t) + L_3(t) + L_4(t), \end{aligned} \tag{3.79}$$

in which $S(t) = \|v'(t)\|^2 + \|v_x(t)\|^2$.

We easily estimate the integrals on the right-hand side of (3.79) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1(t) &\leq 2 \int_0^t |\mu_1(s)| \|v'(s)\|^2 ds + 2\rho \int_0^t |(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(s)| \|v'(s)\| ds \\
&\leq 2c(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t \|v'(s)\|^2 ds + 2\rho^2 c(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t (\|v(s)\|^2 + 3\|v'(s)\|^2) ds \quad (3.80) \\
&\leq 2(3\rho^2 + 1)c(\rho, \mu) \int_0^t S(s) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
L_2(t) &\leq 2 \int_0^t \|(f_1 - f_2)(\cdot, s)\| \|v'(s)\| ds \\
&\leq 2(2\rho + 1)c(\rho, f) \int_0^t (2\|v(s)\| + \|v'(s)\|) \|v'(s)\| ds \quad (3.81) \\
&\leq 4(2\rho + 1)c(\rho, f) \int_0^t S(s) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L_3(t) &= -v^2(0, t) \int_0^1 h'((v_2 + \tau v)(0, t)) d\tau \\
&\quad + \int_0^t v^2(0, s) ds \int_0^1 h''((v_2 + \tau v)(0, s)) (\tau v'_1 + (1 - \tau)v'_2)(0, s) d\tau \quad (3.82) \\
&\leq c(\rho, h) \left(v^2(0, t) + \rho \int_0^t S(s) ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$L_4(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} S(t) + [2N^2 T c^2(\rho, k) + N(T + 3)c(\rho, k)] \int_0^t S(s) ds. \quad (3.83)$$

In addition, from Lemma 2.2, we get

$$v^2(0, t) \leq \varepsilon S(t) + (1 + \varepsilon^{-1})T \int_0^t S(s) ds, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0. \quad (3.84)$$

Hence it follows from (3.82) and (3.84) that

$$L_3(t) \leq \varepsilon c(\rho, h) S(t) + [(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})T + \rho] c(\rho, h) \int_0^t S(s) ds. \quad (3.85)$$

Choosing $4\varepsilon c(\rho, h) \leq 1$, we get from (3.79)-(3.81), (3.83) and (3.85) that

$$S(t) \leq 4c(\rho, T, f, h, k, \mu) \int_0^t S(s) ds, \quad (3.86)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
c(\rho, T, \varepsilon, f, h, k, \mu) &= 4(2\rho + 1)c(\rho, f) + [(1 + \varepsilon^{-1})T + \rho]c(\rho, h) \\
&\quad + 2N^2 T c^2(\rho, k) + N(T + 3)c(\rho, k) + 2(3\rho^2 + 1)c(\rho, \mu). \quad (3.87)
\end{aligned}$$

Using the Gronwall inequality, we obtain $S(t) = 0$, i.e. $v_1 = v_2$.

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.9. \square

Remark 3.11. (i) In the special case of $\mu = 0$, $f(x, t, u, v, w) = f_1(x, u)$ and $k(t, v_1, \dots, v_N) = k_1(t)k_2(v_1, \dots, v_N)$, in which f_1 , k_1 , k_2 are given functions, we get some results as in [18].

(ii) If we replace the term u_t in the equation (1.1) by the nonlinear term $\varphi(u_t)$, then we have no conclusion about the existence of weak solutions of the problem (1.1)-(1.4). This is an open problem.

References

- [1] V. Barbu, I. Lasiecka, M.A. Rammaha, *On nonlinear wave equations with degenerate damping and source terms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **357** (2005), 2571-2611.
- [2] E. Coddington, N. Levinson, *Theory of ordinary differential equations*, McGraw-Hill, (1955).
- [3] E. Feireisl, *Global attractors for semilinear damped wave equations with supercritical exponent*, J. Diff. Equations, **116** (1995), 431-447.
- [4] F. Ficken, B. Fleishman, *Initial value problems and time periodic solutions for a nonlinear wave equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **10** (1957), 331-356.
- [5] J.L. Lions, *Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non-linéaires*, Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, (1969), p. 57.
- [6] M.R. Li, *Existence and uniqueness of local weak solutions for the Emden-Fowler wave equation in one dimension*, Electronic J. Diff. Equations, **2015** (2015), 1-10.
- [7] K. Maruo, *Existence of solutions of some nonlinear wave equations*, Osaka J. Math., **22** (1985), 21-30.
- [8] L.T. Nguyen, G. Giang Vo, *A wave equation associated with mixed nonhomogeneous conditions: Global existence and asymptotic expansion of solutions*, Nonlinear Anal., **66** (2007), 1526-1546.
- [9] L.T. Nguyen, G. Giang Vo, T.X. Le, *A shock problem involving a nonlinear viscoelastic bar associated with a nonlinear boundary condition*, Demonstratio Math., **41** (2008), 85-108.
- [10] J.A. Oguntuase, *On an inequality of Gronwall*, J. Inequalities in Pure and Appl., **2** (2001), 1-6.
- [11] E.L. Ortiz, D.P. Alain, *Linear recursive schemes associated with some nonlinear partial differential equations in one dimension and the Tau method*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **18** (1987), 452-464.
- [12] J. Pöschel, *Quasi-periodic solutions for a nonlinear wave equation*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **71** (1996), 269-29.
- [13] M.A. Rammaha, T.A. Strei, *Global existence and nonexistence for nonlinear wave equations with damping and source terms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **354** (2002), 3621-3637.
- [14] J. Rivera, D. Andrade, *Exponential decay of a nonlinear wave equation with a viscoelastic boundary condition*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci. **23** (2000), 41-61.
- [15] M.L. Santos, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions to wave equations with a memory condition at the boundary*, Electronic J. Diff. Equations, **73** (2001), 1-11.
- [16] Q. Tiehu, *Global solvability of nonlinear wave equation with a viscoelastic boundary condition*, Chin. Ann. Math. Series B, **3** (1993), 335-346.
- [17] L.V. Ut, *A semilinear wave equation with space-time dependent coefficients and a memory boundarylike antiperiodic condition: Regularity and stability*, J. Math. Phys., **51**, (2010), 103504.

- [18] G. Giang Vo, *A semi-linear wave equation with a boundary condition of many-point type: Global existence and stability of weak solutions*, *Abstract and Appl. Anal.*, **2015** (2015), 16 pages.
- [19] G. Giang Vo, *Global existence and stability of solutions for a nonlinear wave equation*, *Electronic J. Math. Analysis and Appl.*, **4** (2016), 107-128.
- [20] G. Giang Vo, *On a nonlinear wave equation with mixed boundary conditions of many-point type*, *Adv. Diff. Eq. Control Processes*, **1** (2016), 57-87.
- [21] G. Giang Vo, *Existence of weak solutions to a wave equation associated with a nonlinear integral equation at the boundary*, *J. Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, **3** (2016), 239-264.
- [22] G. Giang Vo, L. Khanh Le, T. Minh Tran, N. Thi Le, *On the nonlinear wave equation associated with the Dirichlet boundary condition: Existence and asymptotic expansion of solutions*, *J. S. Natural Sciences, Uni. Peda. HCMC*, **16** (2009), 13-25.

Received: March 18, 2017.

Accepted: October 13, 2017.

Giai Giang Vo

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Information Technology, Huflit University,
155 SuVanHanh Str., Dist. 10, HCMC, Vietnam*

g.giangvo@gmail.com

Toai Quang Ton

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Information Technology, Huflit University,
155 SuVanHanh Str., Dist. 10, HCMC, Vietnam*

toaiquanton@yahoo.com