

On necessary conditions for the weak lower semicontinuity of integral functionals in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces

Elhoussine Azroul, Mohamed Badr Benboubker*,
Houssam Chrayteh and Khaled Kouhaila

Abstract. *In the present work, we prove an approximation result in Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces and we give an application of this approximation result to a necessary condition in the calculus of variations.*

1. Introduction

There are many application problems involving variational integrals of the form

$$\min J(u),$$

for open $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, where $J(u) = \int_{\Omega} f(x, u, \nabla u)$ and u is a vector valued function and $f(x, u, \nabla u)$ is convex in ∇u . For example, such minimization problems are used in image denoising and edge detection, modeling the deformation of a thin plate and determining a surface of minimal area with prescribed boundary conditions. In fact, Hilbert's 19th and 20th problems deal with these regular problems in the calculus of variations. In 1912, Bernstein [3] used the calculus of variations method to establish existence and regularity results for the 2-dimensional real-valued Dirichlet problem. Serrin [13] applied similar methods to extend these results to n -dimensions. The major problem in the calculus of variations is to find the elements u checking in the boundary conditions required by the nature of the problem and minimizing the functional J .

It will turn out that in the L^p case the search of sufficient conditions to secure those functionals attain an extreme value has a long history (see [10]). The most important problem is to verify the weak lower semi-continuity of those functionals with respect to the space involved. This usually involves hypothesis that the integrand f is convex with respect to the gradient.

In 1992 Landes [10] studied the reverse problem at a fixed level set and have been showed that if J is weakly lower semi-continuous at one fixed (nonvoid) level set then this partial level set is an extreme value of f or the defining function f

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H17, 47H05, 47H09.

Keywords: Musielak-Orlicz functions, Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces, level set, calculus of variations.

© The Author(s) 2020. This article is an open access publication.

*Corresponding author.

is convex in the gradient. The above statement for f as function of u (or of x and u) is not hard to prove (see [10]) but when $f = f(x, \nabla u)$ or $f = f(x, u, \nabla u)$ this is due to an approximation result in Sobolev-spaces.

Note that Azroul and Benkirane [2] proved the same results as Landes in the case of Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W^1L_M(\Omega)$. Kouhaila, Azroul and Benkirane extend in [8, 9] this result in the case of Weighted Sobolev spaces $W^{1,p}(\Omega, \omega)$ and Weighted Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W^1L_M(\Omega, \rho)$ respectively.

In the present work, our first main goal is to prove an approximation theorem in the more general setting of the Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces (for almost all $x_0 \in \Omega$, it is possible to alter any function $u \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ in such a way that u is constant in small ball with center x_0 and the altered function remain within an ϵ -neighborhood of the original function.) and the second main goal is to give an application of this approximation result to a necessary condition in the calculus of variations in the same functional framework of $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$. However we prove when $f = f(x, \nabla u)$ that if J is weakly lower semi-continuous at one fixed level set H_μ in the space $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ then H_μ is an extreme value of J or the function f is convex with respect to the gradient.

2. Preliminaries

This section presents, some definitions and well-known about Musielak-Orlicz functions, Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

2.1. Musielak-Orlicz functions.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and let $\varphi: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and satisfying the following conditions:

- a) $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is an N-function, i.e, continuous, convex, increasing with $\varphi(x, 0) = 0$, $\varphi(x, t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $\varphi(x, t)/t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{\varphi(x,t)}{t} \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.
- b) $\varphi(\cdot, t)$ is a measurable function.

A function $\varphi(x, t)$, which satisfies the conditions a) and b) is called a Musielak-Orlicz function.

We define the functional

$$\varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx. \quad (2.1)$$

2.2. Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev space.

Let Ω be an open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , and let φ a Musilak-Orlicz fonction. The Musilak-Orlicz class $K_\varphi(\Omega)$ (resp. the Musilak-Orlicz spaces $L_\varphi(\Omega)$) is the set of all real-valued measurable functions u defined in Ω and satisfying,

$$\varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |u(x)|) dx < \infty \text{ (resp. } \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(\frac{u}{\lambda}) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}) dx < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0).$$

Let

$$\bar{\varphi}(x, s) = \sup_{t \geq 0} \{st - \varphi(x, t)\},$$

that $\bar{\varphi}$ is the Musielak-Orlicz function complementary to φ (or conjugate to φ) in the sense of Young with respect to the variable s . In the space $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ we define two norms:

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}) dx \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

which is called Luxemburg norm and the so called Orlicz norm by;

$$\| \|u\| \|v\|_{\varphi, \Omega} = \sup_{\|v\|_{\bar{\varphi}} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)v(x)| dx. \tag{2.3}$$

For two complementary Musielak-Orlicz functions φ and $\bar{\varphi}$ we have the Young inequality [11]:

$$s.t \leq \varphi(x, t) + \bar{\varphi}(x, s) \quad \text{for } t, s \geq 0 \quad \text{and } x \in \Omega.$$

We recall that the Musielac function φ is said to satisfy the Δ_2 -condition (or doubling) if there exists $k > 0$ and a non-negative function C , integrable on Ω , we have

$$\varphi(x, 2t) \leq k\varphi(x, t) + C(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \Omega \text{ and for all } t \geq 0$$

For any fixed nonnegative integer m we define the closure in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ of the set of bounded measurable function with compact support in $\bar{\Omega}$ denoted by $E_\varphi(\Omega)$ (we have usual $E_\varphi(\Omega) \subset K_\varphi(\Omega) \subset L_\varphi(\Omega)$). The equality $L_\varphi(\Omega) = E_\varphi(\Omega)$ hold if and only if φ satisfies the Δ_2 -condition, for all t or for t large according to whether Ω has a infinite measure or not. The dual of $E_\varphi(\Omega)^*$ can be identified with $L_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Omega)$ by means of the pairing $\int_{\Omega} u(x)v(x)dx$ where $u \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ and $v \in L_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Omega)$.

We return now to the Orlicz-Sobolev spaces $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^1E_\varphi(\Omega)$) is the space of all function u such that u and its distributional derivatives up to order 1 lie in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ (resp. $E_\varphi(\Omega)$). For $u \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$, we define

$$\bar{\varrho}_{\varphi, \Omega}(u) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq 1} \varrho_{\varphi, \Omega}(D^\alpha u)$$

and,

$$\|u\|_{\varphi, 1} = \|u\|_{\varphi, \Omega, 1} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \bar{\varrho}_{\varphi, \Omega}(\frac{u}{\lambda}) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

These functionals are a convex modular, and a norm on $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ respectively, and $(W^1L_\varphi(\Omega), \|u\|_{\varphi, 1})$ is a Banach space if φ satisfies the following condition: there exists a constant c such that

$$\inf_{x \in \Omega} \varphi(x, 1) \geq c.$$

Thus $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ and $W^1E_\varphi(\Omega)$ can be identified with subspaces of $\prod L_\varphi$, we have the weak topology $\sigma(\prod L_\varphi, \prod E_{\overline{\varphi}})$. The space $W^1_0E_\varphi(\Omega)$ (resp. $W^1_0L_\varphi(\Omega)$) is defined by the closure of $D(\Omega)$ in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ for the norm (resp. for the topology) $\sigma(\prod L_\varphi, \prod E_{\overline{\varphi}})$.

Definition 2.1. The sequence u_n converges to u in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence (denoted by $u_n \rightarrow u \pmod{L_\varphi(\Omega)}$) if $\varrho_{\varphi,\Omega}(\frac{u_n-u}{\lambda}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda \succ 0$.

Definition 2.2. The sequence u_n converges to u in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ for the modular convergence (denoted by $u_n \rightarrow u \pmod{W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)}$) if $\overline{\varrho}_{\varphi,\Omega}(\frac{u_n-u}{\lambda}) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for some $\lambda \succ 0$.

Lemma 2.3 (see [12, Lemma 4.1]). *Let φ be an Musielak-Orlicz function. If $u_n \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ converges a.e. to u and u_n bounded in $L_\varphi(\Omega)$, then $u \in L_\varphi(\Omega)$ and $u_n \rightarrow u$ for the topology $\sigma(L_\varphi(\Omega), E_{\overline{\varphi}}(\Omega))$.*

3. Approximation result

The next lemma is preparatory for the proof of the Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.1. *For almost all $x_0 \in \Omega$, there exists a sequence $\alpha_k \succ 0$ with $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\int_{B(x_0, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda|u(x) - u(x_0)|}{\alpha_k}\right) \rho(x) dx \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for some $\lambda \succ 0$.*

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \Omega$. For each $t \succ 0$, we define the set $\Omega_t = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \succ t\}$. Let $\alpha_0 \succ 0$. For $\alpha \prec \alpha_0$, we consider the function $\phi_\alpha : \Omega_{2\alpha_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\phi_\alpha(y) = \int_{B(y, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda|u(x) - u(y)|}{\alpha}\right) dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Since

$$\phi_\alpha(y) = \int_{\Omega} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda|u(x) - u(y)|}{\alpha}\right) \chi_{B(y, 2\alpha)} dx,$$

then the function $\phi_\alpha : \Omega_{2\alpha_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable; χ_E , as usual denotes the characteristic function of the set E . For all $\alpha_0 \succ 0$, we shall show that:

$$|\phi_\alpha(y)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } L^1(\Omega_{2\alpha_0}) \text{ as } \alpha \rightarrow 0, \quad \alpha \prec \alpha_0. \tag{3.2}$$

This obviously implies the statement of Lemma 3.1, (because if (3.2) is satisfied, then there is a subsequence α_k converges at 0 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and such that $\phi_{\alpha_k}(y) \rightarrow 0$ a.e. y in $\Omega_{2\alpha_0}$). Since α_0 is arbitrary, then the previous convergence is true for a.e. x_0 in Ω .

To verify (3.2), we denote by $u_\delta = u * \varphi_\delta$ the mollification of u , where $\varphi_\delta \in D(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi_\delta = 1$ for $|x| \leq \delta$, $\varphi_\delta \geq 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi_\delta(x) dx = 1$. Hence, φ_δ is well defined in $\Omega_{2\alpha_0}$ for $\delta \prec \alpha \prec \alpha_0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} |\phi_\alpha(y)| dy &= \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(y, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda |u(x) - u(x_0)|}{\alpha}\right) dx dy \\ &\leq \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda |u_\delta(y-x) - u_\delta(y)|}{\alpha}\right) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Since u_δ is continuously differentiable, we may estimate

$$I_\alpha = \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda |u_\delta(y-x) - u_\delta(y)|}{\alpha}\right) dx dy.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha &\leq \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, \frac{\lambda \int_0^1 |\nabla u_\delta(y-tx)| |x| dt}{\alpha}\right) dx dy \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi\left(x, 2\lambda \int_0^1 |\nabla u_\delta(y-tx)| dt\right) dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then, it follows by Jensen's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} I_\alpha &\leq \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \int_0^1 \varphi(x, 2\lambda |\nabla u_\delta(y-tx)|) dt dx dy \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi(x, 2\lambda \left| \int_{B(0, \delta)} \nabla u(y-tx-z) \varphi_\delta(z) dz \right|) dt dx dy \\ &\leq k_2 \int_0^1 \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \int_{B(0, \delta)} \varphi(x, 2k_1 \lambda |\nabla u(y-tx-z)|) dt dx dy dz \\ &= k_2 \int_0^1 \int_{B(0, \delta)} \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \left(\int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi(x, 2k_1 \lambda |\nabla u(y-tx-z)|) dx \right) dy dt dz \\ &\leq k_2 \int_0^1 \int_{B(0, \delta)} \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi(x, 2k_1 \lambda \left| \frac{\partial u(y-tx-z)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx \right) dy dt dz \\ &\leq \frac{k_3}{N} \int_0^1 \int_{B(0, \delta)} \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} dy dt dz \\ &\leq k_4 \left(\frac{\sigma_N}{N} \right) \delta^N \\ &\leq k_4 \left(\frac{\sigma_N}{N} \right) \alpha^N \quad (\text{because } \alpha \succ \delta) \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants k_1, k_2, k_3 , and k_4 , (σ_N denotes the measure of the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^N). So we obtain $I_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Then it follows for $\alpha_0 \succ 0$ that $\int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} |\phi_\alpha(y)| dy \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ $\alpha \prec \alpha_0$, which allows us to conclude for almost every $x_0 \in \Omega$, that we have $\phi_{\alpha_k}(x_0) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To justify (*), we recall that

in $\Omega_{2\alpha_0}$ the differentiation and the mollification commutant for $\delta \prec \alpha \prec \alpha_0$, which proves the statement of Lemma 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , let φ be a Musielak-Orlicz function and $u \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$, then for almost all $x_0 \in \Omega$, there is ball $B(x_0, \alpha)$ ($\alpha > 0$) and a function $u_\alpha \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$, such that:*

- i) $u_\alpha \rightarrow u(mod)$ in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$,*
- ii) $u_\alpha \equiv c(x_0, \alpha)$ in $B(x_0, \alpha)$, where $c(x_0, \alpha) = u(x_0)$.*

Proof. Let Ψ_α be a C_0^∞ cut-off function with support in $B(0, 2\alpha)$ such that $\Psi_\alpha \equiv 1$ in $B(0, \alpha)$ and $|\nabla\Psi_\alpha| \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}$. Let x_0 be a Lebesgue point of the function u in Ω , hence we can take $c(x_0, \alpha) = u(x_0)$. We define in Ω the function u_α by

$$u_\alpha(x) = u(x)(1 - \Psi_\alpha(x - x_0)) + u(x_0)\Psi_\alpha(x - x_0). \tag{3.3}$$

First we observe that $u_\alpha \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$. In fact since $u \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$, then there exist real numbers $\lambda_i \succ 0$, $0 \leq i \leq N$, such that

$$\int_\Omega \varphi\left(x, \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda_0}\right) dx < \infty$$

and

$$\int_\Omega \varphi\left(x, \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right| \right) dx \prec \infty \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq N$$

Let $\lambda \succ 0$, since $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ is a convex function, then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \varphi(x, \frac{|u_\alpha(x)|}{\lambda}) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \varphi(x, \frac{2}{\lambda} |u(x)(1 - \Psi_\alpha(x - x_0))|) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \varphi(x, \frac{2}{\lambda} |u(x_0)\Psi_\alpha(x - x_0)|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega \varphi(x, 2k_1 \frac{|u(x)|}{\lambda}) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{B(0, 2\alpha)} \varphi(x, \frac{2k'}{\lambda} |u(x_0)|) dx \\ &\prec \infty \end{aligned}$$

where

$$k_1 = \sup_{B(0, 2\alpha)} |1 - \Psi_\alpha(x - x_0)|, \quad \lambda = 2k_1\lambda_0 \quad \text{and} \quad k' = \sup_{B(0, 2\alpha)} |\Psi_\alpha(x - x_0)|.$$

Remains to show that

$$\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} \in L_\varphi(\Omega), \quad 1 \leq i \leq N.$$

By a simple calculation we find that

$$\frac{\partial u_\alpha}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} (1 - \Psi_\alpha(x - x_0)) + (u(x_0) - u(x)) \frac{\partial \Psi_\alpha(x - x_0)}{\partial x_i}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{1}{\lambda} \left| \frac{\partial u_{\alpha}}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| (1 - \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0)) \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| (u(x) - u(x_0)) \frac{\partial \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{2}{\lambda} k_1 \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \frac{2}{\lambda} \left| (u(x) - u(x_0)) \frac{\partial \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $u \in W^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$, then the first term on the right side of the inequality is finite. In addition we will show in Lemma 3.1, that

$$I'_{\alpha} = \int_{\Omega_{2\alpha_0}} \int_{B(y, 2\alpha)} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\lambda |u(x) - u(y)|}{\alpha} \right) dx dy < \infty,$$

then

$$\int_{B(y, 2\alpha)} \varphi \left(x, \frac{\lambda |u(x) - u(y)|}{\alpha} \right) dx < \infty \quad \text{a.e.},$$

which implies that the second term is finite. Thus $u_{\alpha} \in W^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$. It is clear by using the Lebesgue theorem that

$$u_{\alpha} \rightarrow u \pmod{L_{\varphi}(\Omega)} \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0. \tag{3.4}$$

therefore, it remains to show that

$$\frac{\partial u_{\alpha_k}}{\partial x_i} \rightarrow \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \pmod{L_{\varphi}(\Omega)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N, \tag{3.5}$$

for the sequence α_k with $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By a simple calculation we find that:

$$\frac{\partial(u - u_{\alpha})(x)}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0) + \frac{\partial \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0)}{\partial x_i} (u(x) - u(x_0))$$

and the convexity of $\varphi(x, \cdot)$ we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, \lambda \left| \frac{\partial(u - u_{\alpha})(x)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, 2\lambda \left| \frac{\partial u(x)}{\partial x_i} \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0) \right|) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, 2\lambda \left| (u(x) - u(x_0)) \frac{\partial \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx. \end{aligned}$$

By virtue of Lebesgue theorem, the first term in the expression right of the above inequality converges to zero as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, so it remains to show that:

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, 2\lambda \left| (u(x) - u(x_0)) \frac{\partial \Psi_{\alpha}(x - x_0)}{\partial x_i} \right|) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } \alpha \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.6}$$

Using the above Lemma 3.1 we conclude directly, which completes the proof of Theorem 3.2. \square

Remark 3.3.

1. In the particular case when $\varphi(x, t) = M(t)\rho(x)$, M an N-function and ρ the weight function, we recover the statement of [9, Theorem 4.1].
2. In the particular case when $\varphi(x, t) = M(t)$, M an N-function, we recover the statement of [2, Lemma 2].
3. In the particular case when $\varphi(x, t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ we recover the statement of [10, Lemma 2-1].

4. Functional depending on x and ∇u

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N , let φ be an Musielak-Orlicz function. We consider the functional $J: W^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as the following

$$J = \int_{\Omega} f(x, \nabla u) dx. \quad (4.1)$$

Where $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying

$$|f(x, \xi)| \leq T(x)G(|\xi|). \quad (4.2)$$

for some nondecreasing function $G: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and some $T(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$. For each μ , we write H_μ for the level set of the functional J , i.e.,

$$H_\mu = \{u \in W^1L_\varphi(\Omega) : J(u) = \mu\},$$

and \overline{H}_μ^w is the closure of H_μ in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ with respect to the weak topology $\sigma(\prod L_\varphi(\Omega), \prod E_{\overline{\varphi}}(\Omega))$.

Definition 4.1. A functional $J: W^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called weakly lower semicontinuous at a level set H_μ . If $J(u) \leq \mu$ for all $u \in \overline{H}_\mu^w$.

Remark 4.2. Note that this definition does not imply that $J_{/\overline{H}_\mu^w}$ is weakly lower semicontinuous.

Definition 4.3. A function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a Carathéodory-function if

- $f(\cdot, \xi): \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^N$,
- $f(x, \cdot): \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for almost all $x \in \Omega$.

We have the following result:

Theorem 4.4 (see [2, Theorem 6]). *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^N .*

Let $J: W^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a functional defined as in (4.1), with a Carathéodory function $f: \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying (4.2).

If J is weakly lower semicontinuous at nonvoid level set H_μ , then we have two alternatives: either μ is an extreme value of J or for almost all $x \in \Omega$ the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is convex.

Proposition 4.5. *The sequence of function \hat{c}_n defined by*

$$\hat{c}_n(x) = \langle \xi^*, x \rangle + \int_0^{\langle \xi - \xi^*, x \rangle} g_\lambda(nt) dt$$

satisfying the following properties:

- i) $\hat{c}_n(x) \rightarrow \hat{c}_0(x)$ for almost all $x \in \Omega$ where $\hat{c}_0(x) = \langle \lambda\xi + (1 - \lambda)\xi^*, x \rangle$,*
- ii) \hat{c}_n is bounded in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$.*

It is clear to check the two conditions of proposition (see [10] and [2]).

Remark 4.6. By Definition 4.3 the functional $J: W^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined in (4.1) is continuous.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Let assume that μ is not an extreme value of J , then we show that

$$f(x, \lambda\xi + (1 - \lambda)\xi^*) \leq \lambda f(x, \xi) + (1 - \lambda)f(x, \xi^*)$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, for all $\xi, \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. We can assume that $\mu = 0$ and that there exist two functions \hat{a}_1 and \hat{a}_2 in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ such that $J(\hat{a}_1) < -\epsilon_0$ and $J(\hat{a}_2) > \epsilon_0$ for some $\epsilon_0 > 0$.

Let x_0 be a Lebesgue point for $f(x, \xi)$ for every $\xi \in \mathbb{Q}^N$. We can assume that $x_0 = 0$. By the continuity of the functional J and by Theorem 3.2, there exists a ball $B(0, R_0) \subset \Omega$ and there exist \bar{b}, \bar{b}_1 and \bar{b}_2 (see [10]) such that

$$\nabla \bar{b} = \nabla \bar{b}_1 = \nabla \bar{b}_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } B(0, R_0). \tag{4.3}$$

$$J(\bar{b}_1) < \frac{7}{8}\epsilon_0, \quad J(\bar{b}_2) > \frac{7}{8}\epsilon_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |J(\bar{b})| < \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_0. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, for all function \bar{a} satisfying $|J(\bar{a})| < \frac{7}{8}\epsilon_0$ there is $t_i \in [0, 1]$ with $i = i(\bar{a}) \in \{1, 2\}$ such that the function $\bar{c} = \bar{a} + t_i(\bar{b}_i - \bar{a})$ lies in the level set N_0 , i.e. $J(\bar{c}) = 0$.

Let us now fix $\lambda \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $\xi, \xi^* \in \mathbb{Q}^N$. We define the sequence of functions

$$\hat{c}_n(x) = \langle \xi^*, x \rangle + \int_0^{\langle \xi - \xi^*, x \rangle} g_\lambda(nt) dt,$$

where \langle, \rangle denotes the usual inner product in \mathbb{R}^N and

$$g_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \prec t \prec \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda \prec t \prec 1 \end{cases}$$

We recall that

$$g_n(x) \rightharpoonup^* \lambda \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega)$$

and

$$(1 - g_n(x)) \rightharpoonup^* (1 - \lambda) \quad \text{in } L^\infty(\Omega).$$

(see [10]). Moreover, \hat{c}_n is bounded in $W^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$, and converges almost everywhere $\hat{c}_n(x) \rightarrow \hat{c}_0(x)$ where $\hat{c}_0(x) = \langle \lambda \xi + (1 - \lambda) \xi^*, x \rangle$ (see [2, 10]). Hence,

$$\hat{c}_n \rightarrow \hat{c}_0 \quad \text{in } W^1 L_\varphi(\Omega) \quad \text{for } \sigma(\prod L_\varphi(\Omega), \prod E_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Omega)).$$

Let $\psi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a C^∞ -function with support in the interval $(-1, 1)$ and $\psi(t) = 1$ for all $|t| \prec \frac{1}{2}$. Defining $\bar{c}_R(x) = \psi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \hat{c}_0(x)$ for all $R \succ 0$, we have

$$\nabla \bar{c}_R(x) = \psi' \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) \frac{|x|}{R} \hat{c}_0(x) + \psi \left(\frac{|x|}{R} \right) \nabla \hat{c}_0(x).$$

Moreover, the function $\bar{c}_R(x) = \psi\left(\frac{|x|}{R}\right) \hat{c}_0(x)$ satisfying the following properties (see [10, Proposition 3.1]):

$$|\nabla \bar{c}_R(x)| \leq c \quad \text{in } \Omega. \tag{4.5}$$

$$\int_{B(0,R)} f(x, \nabla \bar{c}_R(x)) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } R \rightarrow 0. \tag{4.6}$$

Note that (4.2) is used for to prove (4.6). Next we consider the sequence $\hat{c}_n(x)$ in a ball $B(0, r)$, say. We will show that is possible alter each element of the sequence $\hat{c}_n(x)$ in such a manner that it coincides with limit $\hat{c}_0(x)$ in the boundary.

The following lemma is a generalization of [10, Proposition 3.2] to the Musielak-Orlicz-Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a sequence $a_n(x) \in W^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ such that:*

- i) $a_n(x) = \hat{c}_0(x) = \langle \lambda \xi + (1 - \lambda) \xi^*, x \rangle$ in $\partial B(0, r)$,
- ii) $a_n - \hat{c}_n \rightarrow 0$ (mod) in $W^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- iii) $a_n \rightarrow \hat{c}_0$ in $W^1 L_\varphi(\Omega)$ for $\sigma(\prod L_\varphi(\Omega), \prod E_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Omega))$,
- iv) $\|\nabla a_n\|_\infty + \|\nabla \hat{c}_n\|_\infty \leq c$,

$$v) \left| \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \nabla \hat{c}_n) dx - \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \nabla a_n) dx \right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$vi) \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \nabla a_n) dx \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Now, we are in the position to complete the proof of Theorem 4.4. For $R \leq R_0$ and $r = \frac{R}{2}$, we define the sequence:

$$\widehat{b}_n(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{b}(x) & \text{if } x \in \Omega \setminus B(0, R), \\ \bar{b}(x) + \bar{c}_R(x) & \text{if } x \in B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r), \\ \bar{b}(x) + a_n(x) & \text{if } x \in B(0, r); \end{cases}$$

which converges in $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$ for the weak topology $\sigma(\prod L_\varphi(\Omega), \prod E_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Omega))$ to

$$\widehat{b}_0(x) = \begin{cases} \bar{b}(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega \setminus B(0, R), \\ \bar{b}(x) + \bar{c}_R(x) & \text{for } x \in B(0, R). \end{cases}$$

Combining (4.5), (4.6) and Lemma 4.7, (as in [10] and [2]), we have for $R > 0$ small enough $|J(\widehat{b}_n)| < \frac{7}{8}\epsilon_0$ for all n . Hence for any n , we find numbers $t_n \in [0, 1]$ and $i_n \in \{1, 2\}$, such that for $b_n = \widehat{b}_n + t_n(\bar{b}_{i_n} - \widehat{b}_n)$ we have $J(b_n) = 0$. Now choosing a subsequence t_n such that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ and $i_n = i$; $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have

$$b_n \rightarrow b_0 \quad \text{in } W^1L_\varphi(\Omega) \quad \text{for } \sigma(\prod L_\varphi(\Omega), \prod E_{\bar{\varphi}}(\Omega)).$$

Because of the continuity of J with respect to the strong topology of $W^1L_\varphi(\Omega)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J(\bar{b} + t_n(\bar{b}_{i_n} - \bar{b})) = J(\bar{b} + t_0(\bar{b}_i - \bar{b})),$$

and by construction

$$f(x, \nabla(\bar{b} + t_n(\bar{b}_i - \bar{b}))) = f(x, 0) \text{ in } B(0, R).$$

Since

$$\nabla \bar{b} = \nabla \bar{b}_1 = \nabla \bar{b}_2 = 0 \text{ in } B(0, R)$$

which yields

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} f(x, \nabla b_n(x)) dx \geq \int_{B(0,R)} f(x, \nabla b_0(x)) dx.$$

Since $b_n = b_0$ in $B(0, R) \setminus B(0, r)$, $r = \frac{R}{2}$, we finally get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \lambda \xi + (1-\lambda)\xi^*) dx &= \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \nabla b_0(x)) dx \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \nabla b_n(x)) dx \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B(0,R)} f(x, \nabla a_n(x)) dx \\ &= \lambda \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \xi) dx + (1-\lambda) \int_{B(0,r)} f(x, \xi^*) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above inequality can be obtained for all $B(0, r)$ with radius $r < \frac{R}{2}$, we conclude that $f(x_0, \lambda \xi + (1-\lambda)\xi^*) \leq \lambda f(x_0, \xi) + (1-\lambda)f(x_0, \xi^*)$ for all $\lambda \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{Q}$

and all $\xi, \xi^* \in \mathbb{Q}^N$. It then follows by the continuity of $f(x, \xi)$ with respect to ξ , that the above inequality holds for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and all $\xi, \xi^* \in \mathbb{R}^N$. \square

We now prove Lemma 4.7.

Proof of Lemma 4.7. Let $\tilde{\omega}_\delta$ be a C^∞ -function with support in $[-1, 1]$ such that $\tilde{\omega}_\delta = 1$ for all $|t| < 1 - \delta$ and $|\tilde{\omega}'_\delta| < \frac{2}{\delta}$ for all t .

Defining the function $\omega_\delta(x) = \tilde{\omega}_\delta(\frac{|x|}{r})$ and $a_{n,\delta}(x) = \hat{c}_0(x) + \omega_\delta(x)(\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x))$ by Proposition 3.2 in [10] we have that

$$|\nabla(\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x))|(1 - \omega_\delta(x)) \leq c'r(|\xi^*| + |\xi|)(1 - \omega_\delta(x)), \tag{4.7}$$

$$|\nabla\omega_\delta(x)||\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x)| \leq O(n^{-1})\frac{1}{\delta}\chi_{\text{supp}(\nabla\omega_\delta)}. \tag{4.8}$$

for some positive constants c and c' . Assume that the following formula is true

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n|)dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |\nabla(a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n)|)dx \\ & \leq O(\delta) + c \int_{B(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x))(1 - \omega_\delta(x))|)dx \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

hence we get

$$\omega_\delta(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0, r) \\ 1 & \text{in } B(0, (1 - \delta)r) \\ \tilde{\omega}_\delta(\frac{|x|}{r}) & \text{in } \overline{B}(0, r) \setminus B(0, (1 - \delta)r) \end{cases}$$

which implies that

$$a_{n,\delta}(x) - \hat{c}_n(x) = \begin{cases} \hat{c}_0(x) - \hat{c}_n(x) & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0, r) \\ 0 & \text{in } B(0, (1 - \delta)r) \\ (1 - \tilde{\omega}_\delta(\frac{|x|}{r}))(\hat{c}_0(x) - \hat{c}_n(x)) & \text{in } \overline{B}(0, r) \setminus B(0, (1 - \delta)r) \end{cases}$$

and

$$\nabla(a_{n,\delta}(x) - \hat{c}_n(x)) = \begin{cases} \nabla(\hat{c}_0(x) - \hat{c}_n(x)) & \text{in } \Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0, r) \\ 0 & \text{in } B(0, (1 - \delta)r) \\ \nabla(\tilde{\omega}_\delta(\frac{|x|}{r}))(\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x)) + \\ (1 - \tilde{\omega}_\delta(\frac{|x|}{r}))\nabla((\hat{c}_0(x) - \hat{c}_n(x))) & \text{in } \overline{B}(0, r) \setminus B(0, (1 - \delta)r) \end{cases}$$

Hence, we get the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n|)dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |\nabla(a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n)|)dx \\ & \leq O(\delta) + c \int_{B(0,r) \setminus B(0,(1-\delta)r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x))(1 - \omega_\delta(x))|)dx \\ & \leq O(\delta) + c \int_{B(0,r) \setminus B(0,(1-\delta)r)} \varphi(x, c_1 O(n^{-1})\frac{1}{\delta})dx. \end{aligned}$$

Selecting numbers δ_n such that $O(n^{-1})\frac{1}{\delta_n} = 1$, this implies that $O(\delta_n) = O(n^{-1})$ and $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n|)dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |\nabla(a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n)|)dx \\ & \leq O(n^{-1}) + c \int_{B(0,r) \setminus B(0,(1-\delta)r)} \varphi(x, c_1 O(n^{-1})\frac{1}{\delta})dx \end{aligned}$$

which converge to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We define the functions $a_n = a_{n,\delta}$ and we have $a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n \rightarrow 0 \pmod{W^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)}$ as $n \rightarrow 0$. Which gives (ii) in Lemma 4.7 and

$$a_n - \hat{c}_0 = (a_n - \hat{c}_n) + (\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } W^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$$

with respect to $\sigma(\prod L_{\varphi}(\Omega, \cdot), \prod E_{\overline{\varphi}}(\Omega))$ (because $(\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0) \rightarrow 0$ in $W^1 L_{\varphi}(\Omega)$ for $\sigma(\prod L_{\varphi}(\Omega), \prod E_{\overline{\varphi}}(\Omega))$).

The properties i), iv) and vi) are satisfied by the definition of a_n . Now, it remains to prove the inequality (4.9). Indeed we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n|)dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |\nabla(a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n)|)dx \\ & = \int_{\overline{B}(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0|(1 - \omega_{\delta}))dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0|)dx \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0)|)dx + \int_{\overline{B}(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0)(1 - \omega_{\delta})|)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(1 - \omega_{\delta}(x)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ a.e. in } \overline{B}(0, r) \quad \text{as } \delta \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$\int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0|)dx + \int_{\Omega \setminus \overline{B}(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(\hat{c}_n - \hat{c}_0)|)dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n|)dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x, |\nabla(a_{n,\delta} - \hat{c}_n)|)dx \\ & \leq O(\delta) + c \int_{B(0,r)} \varphi(x, |\nabla(\hat{c}_n(x) - \hat{c}_0(x))(1 - \omega_{\delta}(x))|)dx. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies the inequality (4.9). □

Corollary 4.8. *Under the same assumptions as in theorem suppose that there is a nonvoid weakly closed level set H_{μ} . If μ is not an extreme value of J , then the function $f(x, \nabla u(x))$ is affine in the gradient.*

Remark 4.9. 1) In the particular case when $\varphi(x, t) = M(t)$, M is an N-function, we recover the statement of [2, Theorem 6].

2) In the particular case when $\varphi(x, t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p}$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, we recover the statement of [10, Theorem 3-1].

Acknowledgements. We thank the anonymous referee for her/his careful reading of our manuscript and his/her many insightful comments and suggestions.

References

- [1] Adames, R.: Sobolev spaces. Academic Press, New York (1975)
- [2] Azroul, E., Benkirane, A.: On a necessary condition in the calculus of variations in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Math. Slovaca*, **51**, 93–105 (2001)
- [3] Bernstein, S.: Sur les équations du calcul des variations. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (3), **29**, 431–485 (1912)
- [4] Chraytch, H.: Qualitative Properties of Eigenvectors Related to Multivalued Operators and some existence Results. *J. Optim Theory Appl.* **155** 507-533, (2012)
- [5] Dacorogna, B.: Weak continuity and weak lower semicontinuity of nonlinear functional. *Lecture Notes in Math.* 922, Springer, Berlin, (1982)
- [6] Eklund, I., Temam, R.: Analyse convexe et problèmes variationnels. Dunod S. Gauthier, Villars (1974)
- [7] Gossez, J. P.: Some approximation properties in Orlicz-Sobolev spaces. *Studia Math.* **74**, 17–24 (1982)
- [8] Kouhaila, K., Azroul, E., Benkirane, A.: Some characterization results in the Calculus of Variations in the degenerate case. *Applied Mathematics and Bioinformatics*, **3**, 41–58 (2013)
- [9] Kouhaila, K., Azroul, E., Benkirane, A.: On some Calculus of Variations results in non standard setting. *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* **3** 1015–1038 (2013)
- [10] Landes, R. : On a necessary condition in the calculus of variations. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo* (2) LXI, 369–387 (1992)
- [11] Musielak, J.: Modular spaces and Orlicz spaces. *Lectures Notes in Math.* **1034** (1983)
- [12] Ould Mohameden Val, M.: Thèse en Sciences Mathématiques, Université sidi Mohamed ben Abdellah , Faculté des sciences Dhar-Mehraz, Fes (2012).
- [13] Serrin, J.: The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear elliptic differential equations with many independent variables, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, **264**, 413–496 (1969)

Received: 29 September 2019/Accepted: 30 July 2020/Published online: 7 August 2020

Elhoussine Azroul

Laboratory LAMA, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Dhar El Mahraz, B.P 1796 Atlas Fez, Morocco.

Mohamed Badr Benboubker

National School of Applied Sciences, Abdelmalek Essaadi University, B.P 2222 M'hannech Tetuan, Morocco.

simo.ben@hotmail.com

Houssam Chrayteh

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science III, Lebanese University, Ras Maska BP 1352, Lebanon.

Khaled Kouhaila

Laboratory LAMA, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Dhar El Mahraz, B.P 1796 Atlas Fez, Morocco.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.